

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



May 2015 No 859

Egyptians debunk Biblical fantasy that Israelites were slaves in Egypt

By John Kaminski, pseudoskylax@gmail.com, <http://therebel.org/en/kaminski>



Jews lie. Jews have always lied. And most assuredly, Jews are still lying today about everything that has to do with themselves and their sordid history.

So considering how they have wrecked the United States, Russia, Germany and so many other countries by mentally lobotomizing and financially castrating all of them, it should come as no surprise that Jews have falsified their own history from the very beginning.

Or to put it more concisely in the words of an Egyptian medical doctor named Ashraf Ezzat, "The truth is that ancient Egypt never knew any Pharaohs nor any Israelites. Egypt was never the land of Exodus and Palestine was never the Promised Land."

Backed by every reputable expert in the known world, Ezzat argues that ancient Hebrew history as we know it today is based on one colossal lie — that events described as happening in Egypt, if they happened at all, really happened in Arabia. Which means that according to his version, the tales of Joseph, Moses and the Exodus might still be true, but the location in which they are alleged to have happened are false.

To the average person, this would seem a farfetched assertion were it not for the supportive testimony of the

world's top Egyptologists, from James Henry Breasted to Donald Redford to Israel Finkelstein. Even some Israeli experts agree, including the head of archeology at Tel Aviv University. Prof. Ze'ev Herzog, in a 1999 article in Ha'aretz, said: "The Israelites were never in Egypt, did not wander in the desert, did not conquer the land in a military campaign and did not pass it on to the 12 tribes of Israel."

Needless to say, this revelation has profound implications for all of the world's major monotheistic religions: Judaism, Christianity and Islam, which all base their deeply flawed legitimacy on these questionable Old Testament legends.

For Dr. Ezzat, the ferreting out of the real story of the Jews is a matter of defending the reputation of his illustrious homeland, the oldest known human civilization, the mysterious land of the pyramids and the Sphinx. Ezzat seeks merely to uncover the truth buried beneath two thousand years of deliberate and slanderous misrepresentation of a culture that provided the foundation of the monotheistic religions which have "borrowed" heavily from Egyptian tradition but

neglected to mention the source as they claimed these well-known rituals as their own.

Ezzat has labored at this task for several years on his Pyramidion website <https://ashraf62.wordpress.com>, but now has taken a big step forward with the publication of "Egypt Knew No Pharaohs Nor Israelites". The book is available in a Kindle edition for a mere \$5 and contains lots of very valuable live links, including Breasted's famous "Dawn of Conscience" essay and instructive background material from the Roman writer Plutarch, Egyptologist Donald Redford and contemporary reporter Juan Cole using archivist Peter Myers' encyclopedic background data.

The book is a real treasure trove of objective Biblical history, an essential counterweight to the overabundance of unreliable religious proselytization material that handicaps objective historical scholars everywhere with self-absorbed misinformation.

Defending Egypt's honorable tradition

Many factors set Ezzat on this detective trail of the Biblical deception that castigates Ancient Egypt as an immoral, slave-keeping society.

The first clue was that the Old Testament never mentioned the pyramids, making the writers of that document the first and probably the only visitors to Egypt who never mentioned these awe-inspiring structures. Ezzat's contention, backed by solid empirical scholarship, is that the events of the Old Testament occurred in southwestern Arabia, in a province called Mizraim, or Misr, a location now near modern day Yemen, which is where he says the tribe of Israel was really born.

The second tipoff was the terrain, which in the OT more resembles hilly Arabia rather than flat Egypt. Also, the Bible recounts droughts, which Egypt never had, only fluctuations in the flooding of the Nile. Many of the events ascribed to the area of present day Palestine actually occurred much further south down the coast of the Red Sea, Ezzat insists. Furthermore an even more telling detail in the Joseph story was the camel caravan carrying "gum, balm and myrrh", which were products of Arabian trade, not Egypt's.

The third and most revealing clue was the notion of slavery, which Ezzat claims was never practiced in Egypt until the Greeks and Persians brought the practice with them a thousand years later than the supposed time of Moses and Joseph. Some stories state the pyramids were built by slaves, but history shows us the pyramid builders were willing volunteers, as the village of the pyramid builders attests. http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2002/08/08_05_020805_giza.html

And the fourth aspect of this millennia-old Old Testament fraud was the label of pharaoh, which no Egyptian document ever uses. But the leader of the Mizraim tribe was called Faraon, which made the switch from Hebrew to Greek and the creation of a villainous pharaoh many centuries later an easy trick to pull off. Egypt, one of the best documented of all ancient civilizations, never once used the term pharaoh.

This is truly a tale of two Egypts: Egypt was mentioned in the Bible around 700 times; the Israelites were not mentioned once in the Egyptian records.

The 5th century BC historian Herodotus, commonly known as "the father of history", never mentioned pharaohs, only kings. Herodotus also never mentioned

Israel, repeatedly mentioned Palestine, Syria and the Phoenicians, never mentioned Jews or Canaanites, and never mentioned any Jewish holy temple.

But more than anything else, it was the insult to Ancient Egypt's extremely enlightened religious philosophy — moral practices that have never been equalled by the civilizations that came later — that compelled Ezzat to defend the honor of a remarkable culture that survived for the better part of three millennia, longer — you make take note — than any other culture in world history.

"Deceitfully linking the story of Moses and his Pharaoh has tarnished the image of one of humanity's greatest civilizations," Ezzat writes. "Ancient Egypt has been stabbed in the heart by this two-thousand year duplicity. And this deception is likely to continue if we don't expose the truth about the Israelite stories and their Arabic origin and the Septuagint fraud."

Corrupt Jewish translators

In the 2nd century BC, the Hebrew bible was translated from Aramaic to Greek at the legendary Library of Alexandria. Seventy Jewish scribes, hence the designation of the Septuagint Bible, were assigned this task by the Ptolemies in which they cunningly replaced this obscure tribal leader Faraon with the mighty Egypt and its king. The Greek version, with this malicious distortion of ancient history, has been the source for all translations of the Bible worldwide ever since.

The Septuagint deception had been the result of a Greek-Jewish bond very similar to nowadays' American-Israeli one. (Controlling and manipulating world superpowers — ancient and modern — is obviously an old Jewish proficiency also demonstrable in the old Jewish/Persian arrangement that led to the release from Babylonian Captivity and the complicity with the Roman Empire to control and contain Christianity.)

Replacing the Arabic town of Mizraim/Misr with pharaonic Egypt in the stories of the Patriarchs has not only distorted the Israelite stories but the historiography of the whole ancient Near East.

The dangers this misrepresentation presents to modern times are numerous.

Number one, modern Egyptians have been detached from their own culture.

Number two, the lies presented in the Bible that have filtered into other religions present a violent danger based on mistaken information.

For instance, the Salafis, hardened Islamists and jihadists, want to demolish all of ancient Egypt's monument and temples, including the Pyramids and the Sphinx, because they believe these are the idols Pharaoh worshipped while rejecting the true word of God delivered by Moses.

So here is a closed-minded mass murder plot based on the fairy tale of Jewish slaves building the Pyramids

The Exodus tale is about slaves, toiling in 400 years of continuous bondage. The failure of his brothers to murder Joseph is told both in the Qu'ran and the Bible. The brothers sold him to the Ishmaelites, not the Egyptians, for 20 shekels of silver (Genesis 37:26-28).

The Septuagint deception repeated through contemporary filmmaking was evident in the recent Ridley Scott film (which flopped at the box office!) *Exodus: Gods and Kings*.

Egypt banned this movie for its "Zionist view of history". The same scam perpetrated by the Jews for

3000 years — changing history to dupe the public — is still being run today in Hollywood.

Although *Exodus: Gods and Kings* is set in Egypt, you won't actually be able to see it there. According to Deadline magazine (and reported by Ezzat), Scott's adaptation of the biblical story of Moses has run afoul of Egyptian censors. Abdul Sattar Fathi, the head of the Egyptian state censorship board, harshly criticized the film, citing "historical mistakes" such as claiming the Jews built the pyramids and portraying Moses as a general, not a prophet.

"Furthermore," Fathi said, "it shows ancient Egyptians as a mob group persecuting peaceful Jews. Our board has refused this out of respect for Egyptians' feelings."

(Peaceful Jews, I like that. Could be the only example in history of peaceful Jews. No surprise that only Hollywood could come with a concept like peaceful Jews, which is surely a type of human being that has never before been seen in history. Go tell the Palestinians about peaceful Jews.)

Says Ezzat, who remains scrupulously impartial when it comes to contemporary politics, Egypt is simply not where this story took place.

At the time, Israel wasn't there

Jews lie. How many modern examples do you need? Six million dead in a Holocaust that never happened? People all over the world paying reparations for crimes they didn't commit and being thrown in jail when they ask for proof of these crimes. A Jewish company producing poison food and a Jewish government forcing people to eat it. Jews murdering thousands of unarmed peasants and calling it self defense, triggering wars all over the world through covert manipulation of governments it has bought.

Current Israeli rabble rouser Binyamin Netanyahu said recently in a speech that the Jewish people were building Jerusalem 3000 years ago. Yet another Jewish lie.

Ezzat says no. "The Jewish people were not building Jerusalem 3000 years ago, i.e. 1000 BC . . . There was no invasion of geographical Palestine from Egypt by former slaves in the 2200s BCE . . . The chronicle of events of the reign of Ramses II on the wall in Luxor does not know about any major slave results or flights by same into the Sinai peninsula. Egyptian sources never heard of Moses or the 12 plagues etc."

Jerusalem not only was not being built by the likely then non-existent "Jewish people" in 1000 BCE, but Jerusalem probably was not even inhabited at that point in history. Jerusalem appears to have been abandoned between 1000 BCE and 900 BCE, the traditional dates for the united kingdom under David and Solomon.

So Jerusalem was not 'the city of David,' since there was no city there when he is said to have lived there. No sign of magnificent palaces or great states has been found in the archeology of this period, and the Assyrian tablets, which recorded even minor events throughout the Middle East, such as the actions of Arab queens, don't know anything about any great kingdom of David and Solomon in geographical Palestine.

Not a thing.

Palestine was not the homeland for the kingdom of Israel and the stories of its early patriarchs. The inception of Judaism and the stories of Abraham, Isaac, Joseph and Moses happened in Arabia and Yemen,

Ezzat writes. The blatant failure of Biblical archeology in the land of Palestine is primarily due to a premise completely flawed and a Bible (Septuagint) cunningly tampered with (by the same evil creeps who manipulate our news and educational materials today). Egypt's written records date back to 1870 BC. No trace of the Israelites.

Slavery was a common tradition in Arabia but not in Egypt. Slavery didn't come to Egypt until the 7th to 4th century BC, due to the influence of the Persian/Greek invasions.

This tradition of slavery lingers on in Yemen, where foreigners aren't allowed to get a job without the sponsorship of a native called a kafeel who control all aspects of their lives.

In his breakthrough theory "Bible Came from Arabia" Dr. Kamal Salibi has discovered more than one hundred place names in Arabia and North Yemen that amazingly matched the ones mentioned in the Torah, Ezzat writes. "Placing the Israelites back in their native Arabic land will no longer make the pervasive future of slavery in the stories of Joseph and Moses alien or inexplicable, or the walls and fortifications of mountainous villages tumbled by Joshua unreal and unverifiable.

"The land Joshua conquered was a small territory in North Yemen. The Egypt of the Bible is not the Egypt of the Nile Valley but an obscure little town in the southwestern desert of ancient Arabia called Mizraim, or Misr in Hebrew and Arabic.

"The Exodus took place in a much humbler way and on a much narrower scale in an obscure little village in ancient South Arabia," Ezzat writes.

"If Egypt knew no Pharaohs, then it goes without saying that Egypt never knew Moses, either. And if Moses never set foot in Egypt, then the Exodus' road map into the Promised Land has to be redrawn."

A conception of world harmony

The lies began a long time ago. According to the Old Testament, written by Jews, ancient Egypt is the land of idolatry, tyranny and slavery.

It is this slander at which Ezzat bristles, because the precepts of the ancient Egyptian religion were plagiarized by its Christian and Muslim successors lock, stock and barrel.

"Maat is the Egyptian concept of world harmony based on justice, balance and truth. Maat, or the lady of truth, as personified by ancient Egyptians in the shape of a lady wearing the feather of truth on her head and holding the balance of justice, is the code of ethics by which all Egyptians, including monarchs, should follow," Ezzat writes.

The late Prof. Breasted, in a beautiful introduction to his 1933 classic "Dawn of Conscience", writes in a live link from the book

<http://www.goldenageproject.org.uk/dawn.php>:

The Egyptians possessed a standard of morals far superior to that of the Decalogue (the Ten Commandments) over a thousand years before the Decalogue was written.

So Ezzat is not making this stuff up. Breasted is one of the most respected historians ever. And Ezzat's insistence that much of the material in the Old Testament is fabricated, distorted and plagiarized is true.

For instance, the wisdom of Amenemope, preserved in an Egyptian papyrus in the British Museum, was

translated into Hebrew in ancient times and, circulating in Palestine, was the source for a whole section of the Old Testament Book of Proverbs.

"Our moral heritage derives from a wider human past enormously older than the Hebrews, and it has come to us rather through the Hebrews than from them. Man arose to high moral vision two thousand years before the Hebrew nation was born," Ezzat writes.

Just like in modern times, when in World War II Germany was presented as the bad guy while the Jewish countries the U.S., Britain and Soviet Union were presented as the good guys, the Hebrews presented Israelite interlopers as the brave heroes and innocent Egyptians as the villains, which like the juxtaposition of Israeli murderers and Palestinian victims into Jew freedom fighters and Islamic terrorists

is a historical injustice and unforgivable lie of the type that so debilitates our existence today.

Jews lie. They always have, and they always will.

John Kaminski is a writer who lives on the Gulf Coast of Florida, constantly trying to figure out why we are destroying ourselves, and pinpointing a corrupt belief system as the engine of our demise. Solely dependent on contributions from readers, please support his work by mail: 6871 Willow Creek Circle #103, North Port FL 34287 USA.

<http://therebel.is/kaminski/841001-the-first-jewish-lie>

[He chooses to ignore Manetho (~300 BC), who does not mention "Israelites" but who does say that the Jews are descended from a leper colony, confined in a stone quarry on the east bank of the Nile, which escaped when the Assyrian Empire collapsed – PWS.]

The Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and the BRICS Development Bank - proposed by none other than Goldman Sachs - are red herrings and have probably been formed in order to deflect attention from the IMF and World Bank. They will both be charging compound interest

- SG.

14 African Countries Forced by France to Pay Colonial Tax For the Benefits of Slavery and Colonization

By: [Mawuna Remarque KOUTONIN](#)

Tuesday, January 28th, 2014 at 3:41 pm.



Did you know many African countries continue to pay colonial tax to France since their independence till today!

When Sékou Touré of Guinea decided in 1958 to get out of french colonial empire, and opted for the country independence, the french colonial elite in Paris got so furious, and in a historic act of fury the french administration in Guinea destroyed everything in the country which represented what they called the benefits from french colonization.

Three thousand French left the country, taking all their property and destroying anything that which could not be moved: schools, nurseries, public administration buildings were crumbled; cars, books, medicine, research institute instruments, tractors were crushed and sabotaged; horses, cows in the farms

were killed, and food in warehouses were burned or poisoned.

The purpose of this outrageous act was to send a clear message to all other colonies that the consequences for rejecting France would be very high.

Slowly fear spread trough the african elite, and none after the Guinea events ever found the courage to follow the example of Sékou Touré, whose slogan was "**We prefer freedom in poverty to opulence in slavery.**"

[Sylvanus Olympio](#), the first president of the Republic of Togo, a tiny country in west Africa, found a middle ground solution with the French.

He didn't want his country to continue to be a french dominion, therefore he refused to sign the colonisation continuation pact [De Gaule](#) proposed, but agree to pay an annual debt to France for the so called benefits Togo got from french colonization.

It was the only conditions for the French not to destroy the country before leaving. However, the amount estimated by France was so big that the reimbursement of the so called "colonial debt" was close to 40% of the country budget in 1963.

The financial situation of the newly independent Togo was very unstable, so in order to get out the situation, Olympio decided to get out the french colonial money FCFA (the franc for french african colonies), and issue the country own currency.

On January 13, 1963, three days after he started printing his country own currency, a squad of illiterate soldiers backed by France killed the first elected president of newly independent Africa. Olympio was killed by an ex French Foreign Legionnaire army sergeant called [Etienne Gnassingbe](#) who supposedly received a bounty of \$612 from the local French embassy for the hit man job.

Olympio's dream was to build an independent and self-sufficient and self-reliant country. But the French didn't like the idea.

On June 30, 1962, [Modiba Keita](#), the first president of the Republic of Mali, decided to withdraw from the French colonial currency FCFA which was imposed on 12 newly independent African countries. For the Malian president, who was leaning more to a socialist economy, it was clear that colonisation continuation pact with France was a trap, a burden for the country development.

On November 19, 1968, like, Olympio, Keita will be the victim of a coup carried out by another ex French Foreign legionnaire, the Lieutenant [Moussa Traoré](#).

In fact during that turbulent period of African fighting to liberate themselves from European colonization, France would repeatedly use many ex [Foreign legionnaires](#) to carry out coups against elected presidents:

- On January 1st, 1966, [Jean-Bédél Bokassa](#), an ex French foreign legionnaire, carried a coup against [David Dacko](#), the first President of the Central African Republic.

- On January 3, 1966, [Maurice Yaméogo](#), the first President of the Republic of Upper Volta, now called Burkina Faso, was victim of a coup carried by [Aboubacar Sangoulé Lamizana](#), an ex French legionnaire who fought with French troops in Indonesia and Algeria against these countries independence.

- on 26 October 1972, [Mathieu Kérékou](#) who was a security guard to President [Hubert Maga](#), the first President of the Republic of Benin, carried a coup against the president, after he attended French military schools from 1968 to 1970.

In fact, during the last 50 years, a total of 67 coups happened in 26 countries in Africa, 16 of those countries are French ex-colonies, which means 61% of the coups happened in Francophone Africa. Number of [Coups in Africa](#) by country

Ex French colonies		Other countries	
Country	Number of coup	Country	number of coup
Togo	1	Egypte	1

Tunisia	1	Libye	1
Cote d'Ivoire	1	Equatorial Guinea	1
Madagascar	1	Guinea Bissau	2
Rwanda	1	Liberia	2
Algeria	2	Nigeria	3
Congo RDC	- 2	Ethiopia	3
Mali	2	Ouganda	4
Guinea Conakry	2	Soudan	5
SUB-TOTAL 1	13		
Congo	3		
Tchad	3		
Burundi	4		
Central Africa	4		
Niger	4		
Mauritania	4		
Burkina Faso	5		
Comores	5		
SUB-TOTAL 2	32		
TOTAL (1 + 2)	45	TOTAL	22

As these numbers demonstrate, France is quite desperate but active to keep a strong hold on its colonies whatever the cost - no matter what!

In March 2008, former French President [Jacques Chirac](#) said:

"Without Africa, France will slide down into the rank of a third [world] power"

Chirac's predecessor [François Mitterand](#) already prophesied in 1957 that: ***Without Africa, France will have no history in the 21st century.***

At this very moment I'm writing this article, 14 African countries are obliged by France, through a colonial pact, to put 85% of their foreign reserve into France central bank under French minister of Finance control. Until now, 2014, Togo and about 13 other African countries still have to pay colonial debt to France. African leaders who refuse are killed or victim of coup. Those who obey are supported and rewarded by France with lavish lifestyle while their people endure extreme poverty, and desperation.

It's such an evil system even denounced by the European Union, but France is not ready to move from that **colonial system which puts about 500 billions dollars from Africa to its treasury year in year out.**

We often accuse African leaders of corruption and serving western nations interests instead, but there is a clear explanation for that behavior. They behave so because they are afraid they will be killed or victim of a coup. They want a powerful nation to back them in case of aggression or trouble. But, contrary to a friendly nation protection, the western protection is often offered in exchange of these leaders renouncing to serve their own people or nations' interests.

African leaders would work in the interest of their people if they were not constantly stalked and bullied by colonial countries.

In 1958, scared about the consequence of choosing independence from France, [Leopold Sédar Senghor](#) declared: "The choice of the Senegalese people is independence; they want it to take place only in friendship with France, not in dispute."

From then on France accepted only an "independence on paper" for his colonies, but signed binding "Cooperation Accords", detailing the nature of their relations with France, in particular ties to France colonial currency (the Franc), France educational system, military and commercial preferences.

Below are the 11 main components of the Colonisation continuation pact since 1950s:

#1. Colonial Debt for the benefits of France colonization

The newly "independent" countries should pay for the infrastructure built by France in the country during colonization.

I still have to find out the complete details about the amounts, the evaluation of the colonial benefits and the terms of payment imposed on the African countries, but we are working on that (help us with info).

#2. Automatic confiscation of national reserves

The African countries should deposit their national monetary reserves into France Central bank.

France has been holding the national reserves of fourteen African countries since 1961: Benin, Burkina Faso, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Mali, Niger, Senegal, Togo, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo-Brazzaville, Equatorial Guinea and Gabon.

"The monetary policy governing such a diverse aggregation of countries is uncomplicated because it is, in fact, operated by the French Treasury, without reference to the central fiscal authorities of any of the WAEMU or the CEMAC. Under the terms of the agreement which set up these banks and the CFA the Central Bank of each African country is obliged to keep at least 65% of its foreign exchange reserves in an "operations account" held at the French Treasury, as well as another 20% to cover financial liabilities.

The CFA central banks also impose a cap on credit extended to each member country equivalent to 20% of that country's public revenue in the preceding year. Even though the BEAC and the BCEAO have an overdraft facility with the French Treasury, the drawdowns on those overdraft facilities are subject to the consent of the French Treasury. The final say is that of the French Treasury which has invested the foreign reserves of the African countries in its own name on the Paris Bourse.

In short, more than 80% of the foreign reserves of these African countries are deposited in the "operations accounts" controlled by the French Treasury. The two CFA banks are African in name, but have no monetary policies of their own. The countries themselves do not know, nor are they told, how much of the pool of foreign reserves held by the French Treasury belongs to them as a group or individually.

The earnings of the investment of these funds in the French Treasury pool are supposed to be added to the pool but no accounting is given to either the banks or the countries of the details of any such changes. The limited group of high officials in the French Treasury who have knowledge of the amounts in the "operations accounts", where these funds are invested; whether there is a profit on these investments; are prohibited from disclosing any of this information to the CFA banks or the central banks of the African states ." Wrote [Dr. Gary K. Busch](#)

It's now estimated that France is holding close to 500 billions African countries money in its treasury, and would do anything to fight anyone who want to shed a light on this dark side of the old empire.

The African countries don't have access to that money.

France allows them to access only 15% of the money in any given year. If they need more than that, they have to borrow the extra money from their own 65% from the French Treasury at commercial rates.

To make things more tragic, France impose a cap on the amount of money the countries could borrow from the reserve. The cap is fixed at 20% of their public revenue in the preceding year. If the countries need to borrow more than 20% of their own money, France has a veto.

Former French President [Jacques Chirac](#) recently spoke about the African nations money in France banks. Here is a video of him speaking about the French exploitation scheme. He is speaking in French, but here is a short excerpt transcript: "We have to be honest, and acknowledge that a big part of the money in our banks come precisely from the exploitation of the African continent."

#3. Right of first refusal on any raw or natural resource discovered in the country

France has the first right to buy any natural resources found in the land of its ex-colonies. It's only after France would say, "I'm not interested", that the African countries are allowed to seek other partners.

#4. Priority to French interests and companies in public procurement and public bidding

In the award of government contracts, French companies must be considered first, and only after that these countries could look elsewhere. It doesn't matter if the african countries can obtain better value for money elsewhere.

As consequence, in many of the french ex-colonies, all the majors economical assets of the countries are in the hand of french expatriates. In Côte d'Ivoire, for example, french companies own and control all the major utilities – water, electricity, telephone, transport, ports and major banks. The same in commerce, construction, and agriculture.

In the end, as I've written in a previous article, [Africans now Live On A Continent Owned by Europeans!](#)

#5. Exclusive right to supply military equipment and train the country military officers


Through a sophisticated scheme of scholarships, grants, and "Defense Agreements" attached to the Colonial Pact, the africans should send their senior military officers for training in France or French ran-training facilities.

The situation on the continent now is that France has trained hundreds, even thousands of traitors and nourish them. They are dormant when they are not needed, and activated when needed for a coup or any other purpose!

#6. Right for France to pre-deploy troops and intervene military in the country to defend its interests

Under something called "Defence Agreements" attached to the Colonial Pact, France had the legal right to intervene militarily in the African countries, and also to station troops permanently in bases and military facilities in those countries, run entirely by the French.

French military bases in Africa



When President [Laurent Gbagbo](#) of Côte d'Ivoire tried to end the French exploitation of the country, France organized a coup. During the long process to oust Gbagbo, French tanks, helicopter gunships and Special

Forces intervened directly in the conflict, fired on civilians and killed many.

To add insult to injury, France estimated that the French business community had lost several millions of dollars

when in the rush to leave Abidjan in 2006 the French Army massacred 65 unarmed civilians and wounded 1,200 others.

After France succeeded the coup, and transferred power to [Alassane Ouattara](#), France requested Ouattara government to pay compensation to French business community for the losses during the civil war.

Indeed the Ouattara government paid them twice what they said they had lost in leaving.

#7. Obligation to make French the official language of the country and the language for education

Oui, Monsieur. Vous devez parler français, la langue de Molière!

A French language and culture dissemination organization has been created called "Francophonie" with several satellites and affiliates organizations supervised by the French Minister of Foreign Affairs.

As demonstrated in [this article](#), if French is the only language you speak, you'd have access to less than 4% of humanity knowledge and ideas. That's very limiting.

#8. Obligation to use France colonial money FCFA

That's the real milk cow for France, but it's such an evil system even denounced by the European Union, but France is not ready to move from that colonial system which puts about 500 billions dollars from Africa to its treasury.

During the introduction of Euro currency in Europe, other european countries discovered the french exploitation scheme. Many, specially the nordic countries, were appalled and suggested France get rid of the system, but unsuccessfully.

#9. Obligation to send France annual balance and reserve report. Without the report, no money.

Anyway the secretary of the Central banks of the ex-colonies, and the secretary of the bi-annual meeting of the Ministers of Finance of the ex-colonies is carried out by France Central bank / Treasury.

#10. Renonciation to enter into military alliance with any other country unless authorized by France

African countries in general are the ones with will less regional military alliances. Most of the countries have only military alliances with their ex-colonisers! (funny, but you can't do better!).

In the case France ex-colonies, France forbid them to seek other military alliance except the one it offered them.

#11. Obligation to ally with France in situation of war or global crisis

Over one [million africans soldiers](#) fought for the defeat of nazism and fascism during the second world war. Their contribution is often ignored or minimized, but when you think that it took only 6 weeks for Germany to defeat France in 1940, France knows that Africans could be useful for fighting for la "Grandeur de la France" in the future.

There is something almost psychopathic in the relation of France with Africa.

First, France is severely addicted to looting and exploitation of Africa since the time of slavery. Then there is this complete lack of creativity and imagination of french elite to think beyond the past and tradition. Finally, France has 2 institutions which are completely frozen into the past, inhabited by paranoid and

psychopath "haut fonctionnaires" who spread fear of apocalypse if France would change, and whose ideological reference still comes from the 19th century romanticism: they are the Minister of Finance and Budget of France and the Minister of Foreign affairs of France. These 2 institutions are not only a threat to Africa, but to the French themselves.

It's up to us as African to free ourselves, without asking for permission, because I still can't understand for example how 450 french soldiers in Côte d'Ivoire could control a population of 20 millions people!?

People's first reaction when they learn about the French colonial tax is often a question: "Until when?"

For historical comparison, France made [Haiti](#) to pay the modern equivalent of \$21 billion from 1804 till 1947 (almost one century and half) for the losses caused to french slave traders by the [abolition of slavery](#) and the liberation of the Haitian slaves.

African countries are paying the colonial tax only for the last 50 years, so I think one century of payment might be left!

Related Posts

[Wars in Africa Explained to a Beautiful French Girl in Paris The New Rich in Africa!](#)

[A Movie to Free 14 African countries from Currency Slavery](#)

[Colonial Tax: How France Still Gets Away With This in Africa?](#)

[France Continues to Collect Rent on Colonial Buildings in Africa](#)



About [Mawuna Remarque KOUTONIN](#)

Mawuna Koutonin is a world peace activist who relentlessly works to empower people to express their full potential and pursue their dreams, regardless of their background. He is the Editor of SiliconAfrica.com, Founder of Goodbuzz.net, and Social activist for Africa Renaissance. Koutonin's ultimate dream is to open a world-class human potential development school in Africa in 2017. If you are interested in learning more about this venture or Koutonin's other projects, you can reach him directly by emailing at linkcrafter@gmail.com

[Mail](#)

|

[Web](#)

|

[More Posts](#)

<http://www.silicon africa.com/france-colonial-tax/>