

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



November 2014 No 819

Recently we have seen self-confessed Zionist [David Cole](#) insulting Revisionists, but he is only a Johnny-come-lately in this typical trolling behaviour that individuals engage in when they lose an argument.

Remember how in 2009 Eric Hufschmid was exposed as a Jewish agent when he accused David Irving, Fredrick Toben and Ernst Zundel of being Zionist agents?

N.B.: Fredrick Toben did meet Hufschmidt in 2004 because Toben had high regard for Hufschmid's pioneering work on 9/11.

ERIC HUFSCHMID WORKS FOR THE JEW S

Just another WordPress.com weblog

A Deceiver Exposed

Posted in [Uncategorized](#) with tags [911](#), [agent provocateur](#), [daryl bradford smith](#), [disinfo](#), [eric hufschmid](#), [erichufshmid.net](#), [ernst zundel](#), [Frederich toben](#), [iamthewitness.com](#), [jews](#), [takeourworldback](#), [takeourworldback.biz](#), [takeourworldback.com](#) on January 4, 2009 by nousername1



Eric Hufschmid wrote the book *Painful Questions: An Analysis Of The September 11th Attack*. He has also worked on the websites www.iamthewitness.com and his current site www.erichufschmid.net.

In January, 2006 Hufschmid appeared on the Connie Bryan show. He presents himself as a hero of the "911 Truth Movement" and an altruistic crusader against the "Jewish Crime Network."

Eric Hufschmid is a member of the Jewish criminal network that he claims to expose. Most of his information is accurate but if you examine it closely it seems to be mixed with lies.

His main purpose seems to be to cause arguments and mistrust among people who are critically examining the Jewish problem as a whole or various aspects of it. His claim that 99.5% of "truthseekers" are actually "zionists agents" is a deception coming from a man who is working for the Jews himself. He may even be a Jew. Although I have no solid evidence that Hufschmid is a Jew, I see no reason not to slander him in the way that He has slandered so many others. Perhaps he is a Jew and perhaps he is not but he is definitely working for Jews.

Eric's half-sister Kathryn is married to Rupert Murdoch's Son. Eric Hufschmid himself states:

"I just discovered that my half-sister, Kathryn Hufschmid (we have the same father, but different mothers), is probably the same Kathryn Hufschmid who married Rupert Murdoch's son, James.

"I have not seen Kathryn since she was about 4 years old, so she has probably no memory of me. We wondered what happened to her, but my father has no idea because Kathryn did not have enough of an attachment to him to bother keeping in touch.

"This brings me to a question that people often ask me; specifically, how did I get involved in the 9-11 movement?"

Here is the link to Hufschmid's site where he makes this statement:

<http://www.erichufschmid.net/HufschmidMurdochCoincidence.html>

As I mentioned previously, Hufschmid has appeared on CNN. You can see from this screen capture that the nice folks at CNN were kind enough to advertise the site that Hufschmid and his co-conspirator, Daryl Bradford Smith, worked on at the time. This site is www.iamthewitness.com. At the same time CNN also advertised www.takeourworldback.com. This site also claimed that Hufschmid's sister is married to Rupert Murdoch's son.

I am uncertain as to when Hufschmid admitted this fact on his site, but Hufschmid has said that "I think I wrote this in 2004, I forgot to put a date on it." It is possible that Hufschmid admitted to his sister's relationship with Murdoch after it appeared on www.takeourworldback.com.

Did CNN advertise the site www.takeourworldback.com as attempt to smear Hufschmid? I don't think so. It is my belief that Hufschmid and "Poseidon" of www.takeourworldback.com and are in on it together. Let us take a look at a Stormfront thread ([click here](#)) featuring "Poseidon of takeourworldback" where he claims that Brendon O'connell and a host of other people are all "Zionist agents." Although Poseidon has regularly attacked Hufschmid for calling people "Zionist agents", Poseidon does exactly the same.

Read the thread and see how little evidence Poseidon has for his accusations. Do not fall into the trap of trusting him because he tells you a little bit of truth that you have not seen somewhere else. These Jews and Jew agents build each other up and tear each other down as part of their disinformation campaign. These Jews and/or Jew puppets only tell some truth so they can lie to you later.

The thread speaks for itself. I see no reason to explain it all to you. Poseidon's tactics are fairly transparent. He is an evil, lying Jew or agent of world Jewry. Read it yourself and see what kind of garbage Poseidon is. For more information on this scumbag know as Poseidon go to:

www.takeourworldback.biz

He really is quite the obvious piece of trash and anyone who promotes him as a trustworthy character is guilty of much more than gullibility. I believe that anyone who promotes him is without a doubt a Jew or agent of world Jewry. I do not know enough about the proprietor of www.takeourworldback.biz to vouch for him but his information pertaining to this pig who calls himself Poseidon appears to be accurate.

Hufschmid, who basically claims that he is the only out there telling the truth about 911 or the Jewish Question has of course slandered countless people as being "Zionist agents." Hufschmid has even gone so far as to call people who have spent years in Jail for denying the "holocaust" "Zionist agents."

Let us examine some of the people Hufschmid has called "Zionist Agents."



Ernst Zundel is one of many people who Eric Hufschmid has accused of being a "Zionist agent." [Click here](#) to see hufschmid's Accusation. Ernst Zundel, a towering figure in the worldwide Holocaust revisionist movement, was born in 1939 in a small town in the Black Forest region of southwestern Germany. He emigrated to Canada at the age of 19, where he soon married and became the father of two sons. Years later setting aside a thriving career as a graphic artist, he resolved to dedicate himself to the great task, as he sees it, of redeeming the sullied reputation of his fellow Germans. Through his Samisdat publishing house he distributed worldwide a prodigious quantity of books, booklets, leaflets, newsletters, & audio & video cassettes. Zundel is probably best known for his central role in the "Holocaust Trials" of 1985 & 1988.

He was brought to court in Toronto on a charge of "publishing false news," and specifically for publishing a reprint edition of a booklet entitled *Did Six Million Really Die?* Zundel's two lengthy trials — the 1985 trial lasted two months, and the 1988 trial lasted four months — have been the closest thing anywhere to full scale debates on the Holocaust issue. For the first time ever, "Holocaust survivors" and Holocaust historians were closely and critically questioned under oath about their claims and views. As a result of the two trials, an enormous quantity of compelling evidence refuting the Holocaust extermination story was presented to the court and thereby was made part of the permanent public record. The most important of this evidence was the historic testimony of American gas chamber expert Fred Leuchter about his on-site forensic examination of the alleged extermination gas chambers in Poland. Zundel was found guilty in the 1985 trial, but the verdict was set aside by the provincial appeals court, who ruled that the judge in that trial had, among other things, given improper instructions to the jury, and that he had improperly excluded defense evidence.

In May 1988, at the conclusion of the second Zundel trial, the jury declared him guilty. A few days later, he was sentenced to nine months imprisonment. On appeal, Canada's Supreme Court threw out the conviction, declaring on August 27, 1992, that the archaic "false news" law under which he had been convicted was a violation of the country's Charter of Rights. This was not only a personal vindication by Canada's highest court, but also Ernst Zundel had secured an important victory for the rights of all Canadians. After four decades in Canada, including a failed or denied effort to acquire Canadian citizenship, he moved to the United States, where he married Ingrid Rimland in January 2000. For 2 years they lived quietly in eastern Tennessee. Ernst Zundel was then arrested on February 5, 2003, at his home in Tennessee.

He was seized on the pretext that he had missed an interview date with US immigration authorities, even though he had entered the US legally, was married to a US citizen, had no criminal record, and was acting diligently, and in full accord with the law, to secure status as a permanent legal resident. After being held for two weeks, he was deported to Canada. For two years — from mid-February 2003 to March 2005 — he was held in solitary confinement as a supposed threat to "national security." On March 1, 2005, Zundel was deported to Germany,

where he languished in Mannheim prison. He was finally charged with inciting "hatred" by having written or distributed texts that "approve, deny or play down" genocidal actions carried out by Germany's wartime regime, and which "denigrate the memory of the [Jewish] dead."

The first and foremost of the writings cited in the indictment are texts posted on the "Zundel site" web-site, which is registered and maintained by his wife in the United States, where all such writings are entirely legal. Zundel's trial in Germany began on November 8 2005. Fifteen months later, 67 year old Ernst Zundel was then found guilty and sentenced to 5 years in prison on Monday February 19th, 2007. The Canadian Jewish Congress applauded the conviction & sentence as did many Zionist groups around the world. Germany's imprisonment of this dissident is a disgrace.

Do you believe that Mr. Zundel is a "Zionist agent?" I don't.

Eric Hufschmid has also accused Frederick Toben of being a "Zionist Agent."

[Click here](#) to read Hufschmid's accusation of Toben being a "Zionist agent".



Dr. Toben was seized for exercising free speech and free thought because of a dark agenda. After the Third Reich collapsed in 1945, Jewish activists saddled post-WWII Germany with thought crime laws so stringent that anyone who doubted the 6-million figure—even an overheard Australian tourist—could be arrested and jailed. This happened to Dr. Toben in Germany in 1999; he spent seven months in prison for expressing his views to German citizens.

David Irving

Irving spent 10 months in jail for "denying the holocaust" and Hufschmid claims that in the cases of these three men that it was an elaborate charade to scare the people into not criticizing the official story of the holocaust.

Why would Hufschmid make such idiotic statements against men who have dedicated their lives to fighting world Jewry and who have gone to jail for the cause?

There can be only one answer.

Eric Hufschmid works for the Jews.

It is also now clear that anyone who supports Eric Hufschmid is at best a useful idiot and at worst under the employ of the Jews as well.

I Believe that Mike Delaney of www.prothink.org started off as sincere but has since been subverted by Hufschmid.

I will give him the benefit of the doubt and describe him as a useful idiot, possibly unaware of Hufschmid's plot.

Another site to watch for is www.judenfrei.org which constantly promotes Hufschmid's bullshit.

Here is a quote from [Judenfrei](#):

"But what if Eric Hufschmid starts describing judenfrei.org as the work of agents of Jews?

Eric is not a person who labels people agents of Jews without reason. Neither should the reader pre-assign credibility or lack of credibility to a website based on hearsay. The arguments offered should be examined on their merits. Eric is welcome to suspect the intentions of judenfrei.org and criticize it, just as all readers should never let their guard down and suspect the intentions of judenfrei.org and any other website like it.

Incidentally, Eric was informed about judenfrei.org right after it was put online (March 31, 2009), and Eric replied that he liked the website."

Eric Hufschmid's Bumboy Mike Delaney wrote an article entitled "[In Defense of Eric Hufschmid](#)". It is a pathetic attempt to protect Hufschmid. I really do wonder if Delaney is really that stupid or if Hufschmid or the ADL throw Delaney some money. I tried to post a comment in the comment section but was unable to do so. Most of the comments were in support of Hufschmid, one of them even made the ridiculous claim that Ernst Zundel was Jewish, but one of the commentors had something intelligent to say and this is what he said:

"Mr. Hufschmid (English is my second language so please forgive my faulty English.)

Sir i apologize if i am wasting your time here with me e-mails but please bear with me for a few minutes...

I like to start off with stating that i am not and i never been in a 100% agreement with anyone nor that i think that it is even possible for any two persons to agree with each other 100% on all of the subjects unless one of the two is defective or simply immature. It is normal for people to be equally honest and still disagree or be equally dishonest and still disagree... And in the first case i do not think that disagreement should be a harbinger of bad blood.

Now regarding the Christopher Jon Bjerknes being Jewish issue of previous e-mails Other than your own website and a mirror article posted on DBS website I did a search on the web for several About CJB pages on several web pages and did not come into one instant that states CJB's alleged Jewishness of any sort including his website and blog. However i must state the fact that my search was anything but extensive. So if you can refer me to a link or an audio snippet in particular i will greatly appreciate it.

All that being said your accusations alarmed me and made me re-listen or listen to the first time to the earliest CJB audio interviews i could found that dates back to January of 2006 and on and few comments and sections caught my attention in the light of your claims that i am going to discuss few of them in the attachment portion of this e-mail.

Now to a more important topic... Your irresponsible, unfounded and sometimes immature claims, accusations and convictions of other people.

I will state only a couple of cases here.

Example #1: Michael A. Hoffman II

Source: <http://www.erichufschmid.net/TFC/Ernst-Zundel-5-years-in-jail.html>

Sections: Michael Hoffman wrote about Zundel, "I'm an idiot, but follow me anyway!" & Can you understand what is going on?

Now clearly Mr. Hufschmid you entirely misunderstood the article, In light of the Cartoons attacking the Prophet of Islam Mohammad, Moslems will take note of the double standards and that in it self is not a major problem but it further did raise the debate among moslems to try push and lobby for similar protective laws that would force further censorship and more entrench the thought crime paradigm that will only benefit the Jew in the same manner that the Civil Rights movement and political correctness benefited the Jewish cause and doomed the Western Civilization and the European stock to the point that you can mock the Jesus Christ figure in the most vile ways but you dare not point a finger at the Jewish Holocaust myth.

So it is rather obvious that Mr. Hoffman's point was germane to the subject more than any other group of people, ideology, sect or religion.

As for the Hoffman-Bollyn question, You pretty much answered this one and to further elaborate... No one is going to address all of the issues with its different angles... Everyone involved do address the issue from one, two or maybe three angles that he is expert on or feels that his effort in this or that particular angle would be more effective than wasting his effort on other angles that other people may be more well equipped to handle it... For instance you may be a better candidate addressing the Bollyn's affair while Hoffman is certainly a better equipped person when it comes to discussing Judaism, Talmud, WWII and the Jewish Holocaust myth with its related subjects and that does not discredit him. However in your mind anyone that does not discuss the Bollyn's affair is automatically discredited in that case it is your problem and it would be an immature approach to say the least on your part if that was the case.

Hoffman did discuss the General Eisenhower's death camps and the bombing of Dresden but he does not delve into the alleged Apollo moon landing or the 9/11 events again that does not discredit him and i am not going to repeat my self so i will just point to the fact that you your self may shy away from certain subjects that you deem as controversial so i would expect that you recognize the same right of others to do the same.

As for the who is promoting who issue... Alright let's talk about this a bit even though it is a very weak argument on your behalf really. You your self were a guest on several shows that you deem now as untrustworthy and you were promoted by people that you do not trust anymore so why again you criticize others when they get a slot on this show or get promoted by that guy!

Regarding Hufschmid hinting that Hoffman is a Jew:

<http://www.erichufschmid.net/TFC/Ernst-Zundel-5-years-in-jail.html>

<http://www.erichufschmid.net/Neanderthals/Blame-the-Neanderthals.html>

What can I say? Michael A. Hoffman II is NOT a Jew and if Hufschmid has a shred of proof then please produce it! "Not only am I not a Jew, I am not an Edomite of any kind, Khazar, Ashkenzai or Yehudi. I am however a connoisseur of the art of jew-jitsu, something you and the other hoaxers will be learning to your sorrow, as you cross swords with me in the future." by Michael A. Hoffman II, October 1994

I also recommend if you read and/or listen to more of Mr. Hoffman's work to get a better grasp of his thinking process, opinions, studies and convictions and you will come to the conclusion that it is impossible that this Man is a Jew, favors the Jew and their causes or covers up for them... I can not express how ridiculous is it for anyone to make such of a cheap hint after looking into a portion of the man's work on the subject of Judaism.

Example #2: G. Edward Griffin

On <http://www.erichufschmid.net/HoloHoax/Holocaust-Deniers.html> you state that "Griffin exposes the Federal Reserve, but he also protects Zionism. His material about the Federal Reserve may be bait to lure people away from the people who are being honest. It is possible that his job is to lure people away from the Money Masters video."

Please correct me if I am wrong but a portion of Griffin's work i.e. "The Creature from Jekyll Island, 1994" was used as the basis of the Jekyll Island meeting portion of The Money Masters documentary and to add insult to the injury Griffin's book is posted on the Money Masters web site under the recommended readings section with tags such as best book and a must read so how is it exactly that Griffin wrote his book prior to The Money Masters documentary to "lure people away from the Money Masters video"?

Then on <http://www.erichufschmid.net/Money-Masters.html> you go and attack one of the Money Masters makers that you earlier hinted that Griffin was trying to lure people away from their work so which is it!?

As for the difference in opinion between Griffin and The Money Masters team on some points regarding how to reform the situation that is simply a difference in opinion between people who know what they are talking about that does not discredit any of them besides The Money Masters website does state this point on Griffin's book section "This is the best book presently available on the subject. We take exception only to some relatively minor points and the concluding return-to-the-gold-standard solution proposed, which is quite different from the solution proposed in the Money Masters". Non of them however went on a crusade to discredit the other since they are "mature" people.

As for you to condemn Griffin on not talking about this or discussing that... I talked about this issue in the Hoffman section yet i am going to address it yet again since this is a common theme with you...

Condemning Griffin for this is silly as blaming Marie-Monique Robin the maker of Le monde selon Monsanto/The World According to Monsanto for not talking about 9/11 and NASA's integrity i mean come on what do you want from the guy... May be i should start blaming Pat Carmack for not being schooled in the arts of martial arts to kick some Rothschild butt...

There are a lot of cases that can be examined but I will rest with these two.

Conclusion

Mr. Hufschmid, Your attitude and your successive and excessive accusations targeted at good people of being Jews and Misinformation agents has done more harm to the post 9/11 anti-Jewish stream more than it did any good. In fact i think that you Mr. Hufschmid single handedly damaged any possible unity among the post 9/11 anti-jewish anti-zionist body stream of people. You have tarnished a lot of good people reputations based on what!? Based on difference in opinion and trivial matters.

It is like if someone does not agree with you a 100% he is automatically omitted as a zionist agent, a jew or this or that and this is childish and it draws a lot of questioning on the methods you used to accuse almost everyone under the sun of being this or that to the extend that when you are done with your never ending inquisition no one will be left worthy as an honest man except for you.

The amount of discordance that you have created in the anti-jewish movement is irreparable for goodness sakes you have accused people that lost their jobs for interviewing you of being agents to the zionist and that when you are feeling good i mean thank goodness you have not called them jews.

Only the Jew is happy with this amount of discord that you have created and no one else laughing but the Jew.

I do not have it in me now to further discuss the CJB issue so may be later if you would not mind me continue e-mailing you from time to time.

And I like to add that i hope that this e-mail does not bring any animosity between us although I doubt it and like I said in my first e-mail to you I regard you highly for your 9/11 work and I thank you for it.

Also I like to let you know that I am going to post the contents of this e-mail over at <http://www.prothink.org/2009/05/20/in-defense-of-eric-hufschmid/> for an obvious reasons.

(English is my second language so please forgive my faulty English.)

Have a good day and Godspeed.

<http://erichufschmidandthejews.wordpress.com/>

A final word on the latest Johnny-come-lately, Fritz Berg, and his latest written missives:

-----Original Message-----

From: Friedrich Paul Berg

Sent: Oct 3, 2014 4:02 PM

To: hoaxbuster@earthlink.net

Subject: The CODOH Meltdown!

The CODOH acronym stands for "**Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust.**" Many people, especially on RODOH, know that the CODOH discussion forum is NOT about "open debate" at all. That is why so many people are on RODOH instead. They know that CODOH

is a sham--sometimes useful, nonetheless--but a horrible waste of one's time in many cases. That is why I, for one, have made sure that most of my best thoughts and writing appeared on RODOH as well as on CODOH.

In just the last few days, two entire threads which I started have been deleted e-n-t-i-r-e-l-y from the CODOH.Discussion Forum. "**The Sanity Test**" thread which I started years ago was deleted yesterday in its entirety--and without any explanation.. Another thread entitled "**Did Fred Leuchter actually write this:--**" was deleted last week without any explanation. Well, it is not hard to see that both threads were deleted to protect Fred Leuchter and Robert Faurisson from the serious criticism they both deserved for thoroughly absurd and indefensible theories. Leuchter had even insisted that I was "not qualified" to even ask him any questions. So, CODOH deleted my threads and criticisms and pretends there never were any to begin with. Shame on CODOH and everyone who associates himself with such a fraudulent forum. Bradley Smith is the nominal ringleader at CODOH. He doesn't really care who is "moderator" at CODOH so long as he can continue to pretend that he, Bradley, is some kind of exponent of "oipen debate." Shame on Bradley Smith. No doubt, the meltdown at CODOH will continue. The heart and soul of holocaust revisionism has, it now seems, moved from California to Phoenix, Arizona. The great thing about really intense debates is that lots of things come out that tend to be lost in the softer exchanges between people. Dark secrets are often revealed in the heat of the moment--and my heated exchanges with Leuchter and others over the last few weeks have revealed a lot of dark secrets. Leuchter made a fool of himself, of course--but the dirty tricks others, such as the "moderator" at CODOH would use to protect Leuchter and Faurisson were a surprise, even to me. We are in big trouble if we let such thoroughly stupid, pigheaded creatures control our discussions and thoughts.

Friedrich Paul Berg

Learn everything at <http://www.nazigassings.com> (new window)

Nazi Gassings Never Happened! Niemand wurde vergast!

There were NO "limited gassings!" There were NO homicidal Nazi Gassings at all!

Please visit and support generously:

<http://www.Gaschamberhoax.com> (new window)

From: Friedrich Paul Berg

[\[mailto:hereticberg@earthlink.net\]](mailto:hereticberg@earthlink.net)

Sent: Sunday, 5 October 2014 3:08 AM

To: Friedrich Paul Berg; hoaxbuster@earthlink.net

Subject: Fritz Berg's MELTDOWN!

In a harsh response to me for my recent harsh criticisms of Leuchter, Faurisson and Toebe--the "moderator" at CODOH insisted by private email that it was I who was melting down rather than CODOH. Well, if that is true--so what? My work has never been about putting myself on any kind of pedestal. I would be too uncomfortable up there anyway. My work only has value if other people, lots and lots of them, pickup where I will have to leave off one of these days. The arguments I have raised will live on because they are valid and reasonable. By contrast, the insistence of Faurisson, Leuchter and Toebe that mass gassings are

somehow "impossible" whether in "railroad disinfestation gas chambers," or anywhere else--are doomed to fail. Hardly anyone in the entire world believes such nonsense, even today--and the future will not be any more sympathetic. Except for their small, bigoted clique of wanna-believers, everyone in the entire world rejects their cookoo message--and rightly so.

But, that does NOT mean the Holocaust hoax is true. It is still a monstrous, racist hoax--but for other reasons. The problem for revisionists is to show that based on valid arguments and science instead of by way of pious devotion to people like Faurisson, or Leuchter, or anyone else--with or without rosary beads. All religion is bunk!

Friedrich Paul Berg

Learn everything at <http://www.nazigassings.com> (new window)

Nazi Gassings Never Happened! Niemand wurde vergast! There were NO "limited gassings!" There were NO homicidal Nazi Gassings at all!

Please visit and support generously:

<http://www.Gaschamberhoax.com> (new window)

-----Original Message-----

From: Fredrick Toben

Sent: Oct 4, 2014 4:56 PM

To: 'Friedrich Paul Berg'

Subject: RE: Fritz Berg's MELTDOWN! - a final comment from Fredrick Toben

Fritz -

1. Mindsets like yours suffer from rational hubris, as your below two paragraphs illustrate so well. If you state: *All religion is bunk!*, then as an anthropologist you would have to offer an explanation as to why humans have the need to dream, to yearn for ideals and their fulfilment. To date any rational explanation fails to offer that, except to suggest and hope that hedonism-epicureanism-consumerism will fill this natural void. Wagner, of course, succeeded in offering his Love-Power dichotomy, which in your case, so I would venture a guess, has fallen into the Power sector and your capacity to Love has withered, hence your nasty personal attacks levelled at Faurisson and Leuchter.

2. Also, you believe in your own propaganda, as a substitute belief system - *most of my best thoughts and writing* - but then it's time for you to call it a day and join the Prime Uglies where you belong, the Goldbergs, Ginsbergs, et al, and continue to produce venomous and unbalanced stuff that spells out your deficiency thinking style of analysis.

3. Did you read Faurisson's report on how he has been treated by Jews? Your personal attack, as well as David Cole's attack, on Faurisson, and Leuchter mirrors this Jewish attack, and it is symptomatic of the fear you have of these courageous Revisionists.

4. Of course, I claim that your and Cole's attack is not orchestrated and that it is just a coincidence they arose at the same time. Cole seeks to claim Holocaust Revisionism for the Jews and thereby cut out the pioneering work done by non-Jews such as Faurisson and Leuchter and Zündel, and you have now become a part of that Jewish movement.

I wish you well.

Fredrick Töben

--

Peace.

Michael Santomauro
Cell: [917-974-6367](tel:917-974-6367)
+TWITTER: <http://twitter.com/#!/Santomauro>

From: Friedrich Paul Berg
[<mailto:hereticberg@earthlink.net>]
Sent: Sunday, 5 October 2014 2:01 PM
To: Fredrick Toben
Subject: RE: Fritz Berg's MELTDOWN! - a final comment from Fredrick Toben

Thanks fort reminding me again, and again, that you really are in imbecile. Otherwise, I might forget. All religion is bunk--and so are you.
FPB

On Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 3:30 PM, ReporterNotebook RePorterNoteBook@Gmail.com <ReportersNotebook-noreply@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
From: Fredrick Toben [<mailto:toben@toben.biz>]
Sent: Sunday, 5 October 2014 5:01 PM
To: 'Friedrich Paul Berg'

Subject: RE: Fritz Berg's MELTDOWN! - a final comment from Fredrick Toben

Fritz, you should not hurry when replying because you fail your spelling test -
Fredrick

From: ReportersNotebook@yahoogroups.com
[<mailto:ReportersNotebook@yahoogroups.com>]
On Behalf Of Frank Scott fpscott@gmail.com
[ReportersNotebook]
Sent: Monday, 6 October 2014 10:09 AM
To: ReportersNotebook@yahoogroups.com
Cc: reportersnotebook
Subject: Re: Fritz Berg's MELTDOWN! - a final comment from Fredrick Toben

toben has it right...berg sounds like a particularly fanatic member of the "master race-chosen people" clan.

fs

Total Lunar Eclipse on Wednesday, October 8, 2014

<http://thewatchers.adorraeli.com/2014/10/06/total-lunar-eclipse-on-wednesday-october-8-2014/>



Science@NASA / Annotation by TW using data from Eclipse.NASA

The second total lunar eclipse of 2014 and 2015 lunar-eclipse tetrad will occur on Wednesday, October 8, 2014, and will be visible from the Pacific Ocean and regions immediately bordering it. As the Moon passes completely through the Earth's dark shadow, or umbra, it will gradually get darker and then take on a rusty or blood red color.

Wednesday's total lunar eclipse observers might also be able to see an extremely rare cosmic sight - [seleneion](#) - an effect when the total eclipse of the moon and the rising sun can be seen simultaneously, something celestial geometry says cannot happen.

This eclipse will be difficult to view for most of the planet as it mainly takes place over the Pacific Ocean. As such, the eclipse will only be visible in its entirety from parts of eastern Australia, New Zealand, eastern Asia, most of Japan, Hawaiian Islands, and the western part of North America. Slooh will have strategic sites in these locations allowing the world to view the eclipse live on Slooh.com. In fact, there will be several live broadcasts covering Wednesday's event. They are listed at the end of the article.

The eclipse occurs at the Moon's descending node in southern Pisces, two days after perigee (October 06 at 09:41 UTC). This means that the Moon will appear 5.3% larger than it did during the April 15, 2014, eclipse, according to [Eclipse/NASA](#). This time the orbital path of the Moon takes it through the northern half of Earth's umbral shadow. The total phase lasts 59 minutes primarily because the diameter of the umbral shadow is larger (1.49° versus 1.39°).

The times of the major eclipse phases are listed below:

Penumbral Eclipse Begins: 08:15:33 UTC
Partial Eclipse Begins: 09:14:48 UTC
Total Eclipse Begins: 10:25:10 UTC
Greatest Eclipse: 10:54:36 UTC
Total Eclipse Ends: 11:24:00 UTC
Partial Eclipse Ends: 12:34:21 UTC
Penumbral Eclipse Ends: 13:33:43 UTC

At the instant of greatest eclipse (10:54:36 UTC) the Moon lies near the zenith from a location in the Pacific Ocean about 2000 km southwest of Hawaii.

At this time, the umbral magnitude peaks at 1.1659 as the Moon's southern limb passes 6.6 arc-minutes north of the shadow's central axis. In contrast, the Moon's northern limb

lies 5.4 arc-minutes from the northern edge of the umbra and 39.3 arc-minutes from the shadow centre.

As a result, the southern half of the Moon will appear much darker than the northern half because it lies deeper in the umbra. The Moon samples a large range of umbral depths during totality so its appearance will change considerably with time. The exact brightness distribution in the umbra is difficult to predict, so observers are encouraged to estimate the Danjon value at different times during totality (see [Danjon Scale of Lunar Eclipse Brightness](#)). It may also be necessary to assign different Danjon values to different portions of the Moon (e.g., north vs. south).

During totality, the autumn constellations are well placed for viewing and the brighter stars can be used for magnitude comparisons. The center of the Great Square of Pegasus lies 15° to the northwest, its brightest star being Alpheratz (m = +2.02). Deneb Kaitos (m = +2.04) in Cetus is 30° south of the eclipsed Moon, while Hamal (m = +2.01) is 25° to the northeast, Aldebaran (m = +0.87) is 56° to the east, and Almach (m = +2.17) is 40° to the north.

Visibility

The entire October 08 eclipse is visible from the Pacific Ocean and regions immediately bordering it. The northwestern 1/3 of North America also witnesses all stages. Farther east, various phases occur after moonset. For instance, the Moon sets during totality from eastern Canada and the USA. Observers in South America also experience moonset during the early stages of the eclipse. All phases are visible from New Zealand and eastern 1/4 of Australia - the Moon rises during the early partial phases from Australia's west coast. Most of Japan and easternmost Asia catch the entire eclipse as well. Farther west in Asia, various stages of the eclipse occur before moonrise. None of the eclipse is visible from Europe, Africa, and the Middle East. ([Eclipse/NASA](#))

This is the 42nd eclipse of Saros 127. This series is composed of 72 lunar eclipses in the following sequence: 11 penumbral, 18 partial, 16 total, 20 partial, and 7 penumbral eclipses (Espenak and Meeus, 2009). The family began with the penumbral eclipse of 1275 July 09, and ends with another penumbral eclipse on 2555 September 02. Complete details for Saros 127 can be found at:

eclipse.gsfc.nasa.gov/LEsaros/LEsaros127.html

Total Lunar Eclipse of 2014 Oct 08

Ecliptic Conjunction = 10:51:43.3 TD (= 10:50:34.4 UT)
 Greatest Eclipse = 10:55:44.0 TD (= 10:54:35.1 UT)

Penumbral Magnitude = 2.1456 P. Radius = 1.2786° Gamma = 0.3826
 Umbral Magnitude = 1.1659 U. Radius = 0.7451° Axis = 0.3824°


Saros Series = 127 Member = 42 of 72

Sun at Greatest Eclipse
(Geocentric Coordinates)

R.A. = 12h55m34.3s
 Dec. = -05°56'30.7"
 S.D. = 00°16'00.4"
 H.P. = 00°00'08.8"

Moon at Greatest Eclipse
(Geocentric Coordinates)

R.A. = 00h55m07.2s
 Dec. = +06°18'26.8"
 S.D. = 00°16'20.3"
 H.P. = 00°59'57.9"




Eclipse Durations

Penumbral = 05h18m03s
 Umbral = 03h19m31s
 Total = 00h58m50s


ΔT = 69 s
 Rule = CdT (Danjon)
 Eph. = VSOP87/ELP2000-85

Eclipse Contacts

P1 = 08:15:36 UT
 U1 = 09:14:48 UT
 U2 = 10:25:09 UT
 U3 = 11:23:59 UT
 U4 = 12:34:19 UT
 P4 = 13:33:39 UT



F. Espenak, NASA's GSFC
eclipse.gsfc.nasa.gov/eclipse.html



The lunar path through Earth's shadows as well as a map illustrating worldwide visibility of the event. As shown in [Figure 3](#) (Eclipse/NASA).

Selenelion - extremely rare cosmic sight

An extremely rare cosmic sight will accompany the total lunar eclipse on October 8th. For a short window of roughly 2 to 9 minutes observers will have a chance to see both total eclipse of the moon and the rising sun, simultaneously.

[Space.com](#) explains:

"And indeed, during a lunar eclipse, the sun and moon are exactly 180 degrees apart in the sky. In a perfect alignment like this (called a "syzygy"), such an observation would seem impossible.

But thanks to Earth's atmosphere, the images of both the sun and moon are apparently lifted above the horizon by atmospheric refraction. This allows people on Earth to see the sun for several extra minutes before it actually has risen and the moon for several extra minutes after it has actually set.

As a consequence of this atmospheric trick, for many localities east of the Mississippi River, watchers will have a chance to observe this unusual sight firsthand. Weather permitting, you could have a short window of roughly 2 to 9 minutes

(depending on your location) with the possibility of simultaneously seeing the sun rising in the east while the eclipsed full moon is setting in the west."

Watch total lunar eclipse of October 8, 2014 - online

Stargazers worldwide are invited to watch the spectacular total lunar eclipse, sometimes known as the "Blood Moon," unfold [live on Slooh](#). The free, real-time broadcast will begin on October 8th starting at 02:00 AM PDT / 05:00 AM EDT / 09:00 UTC with live feeds from multiple locations located in Australia and North America.

[Griffith Observatory](#) (Los Angeles) plans a 4½-hour-long webcast beginning at 01:15 AM PDT / 04:15 AM EDT / 08:15 UTC, weather permitting.

[Virtual Telescope Project](#) webcast with international team of photographers will start at 03:00 AM PDT / 06:00 AM EDT / 10:00 UTC.

The next eclipse in the 2014/15 Lunar Eclipse Tetrad is six months from now, in April 2015.

Jimmy Carter:

Netanyahu has abandoned two-state solution in favor of Greater Israel Former US president, visiting Israel, backs Abbas plan to have the UN accept Palestine as nonmember state

BY [RAPHAEL AHREN](#) October 22, 2012, 5:16 pm [56](#)



[Raphael Ahren](#) Raphael Ahren is the diplomatic correspondent at [The Times of Israel](#).

Former US president Jimmy Carter said Monday that Israel's current government has abandoned the two-state solution, making a "catastrophic" one-state solution increasingly inevitable. Speaking to reporters in Jerusalem, Carter endorsed the Palestinians' plan to ask the United Nations to

accept Palestine as a nonmember state, and said he hoped Israel and the US, who oppose the move, would nonetheless accept the outcome of the UN's vote.

"We are heading towards a one-state outcome, which will fail to ensure the security and democratic rights of the people of Israel and renege on the promise of self-determination for Palestinians," Carter said. "The two-state solution is vanishing. We urgently need a fresh approach by all parties if a Palestinian state is to be achieved."

Carter, who is visiting Israel as the head of a delegation of former statesmen, said that all Israeli prime ministers since Golda Meir supported the two-state solution — until Benjamin Netanyahu.

'The two-state solution has basically been abandoned and we're now moving toward a Greater Israel, or Eretz Israel, taking over all of the land between the Mediterranean and the Jordan river'



Jimmy Carter meeting with Shimon Peres on Sunday. (photo credit: Mark Neyman/GPO/Flash 90)

"Every prime minister I've known has been a pursuer of the two-state solution and I don't know that [US] President [Barack] Obama has found that prime minister Netanyahu is going to go that route," Carter said in the American Colony Hotel in East Jerusalem. "All indication to us is that the two-state solution has basically been abandoned and we're now moving toward a Greater Israel, or Eretz Israel, taking over all of the land between the Mediterranean and the Jordan River, which I think is contrary to the two-state solution concept."

"That policy of promoting a two-state solution seems to be abandoned now," Carter added. "And we're deeply concerned about this move toward a catastrophic one-state choice — it's not a solution, it's a choice. This is a major concern."

Carter, who sat in the White House from 1977 to 1981, is touring in the Middle East as a member of "The Elders," a group of former statesmen who seek to promote peace across the globe. Carter is traveling with former Norwegian prime minister Gro Harlem Brundtland and Mary Robinson, the former president of Ireland, who both also spoke very critically of Israel.

Robinson said she had witnessed "so many discriminations and human rights concerns" during her visit to Israel.

"Each time we come, I see a real deterioration in the lives and in the situation of Palestinians," she said. "The growth of settlements — each time it's quite remarkable, it takes your breath away."



Jimmy Carter, left, with PA President Mahmoud Abbas in Ramallah (photo credit: Mati Milstein/The Elders)

Earlier on Monday, the three "Elders" met with Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas in Ramallah. Abbas told them that he has decided to go ahead with the plan to ask the UN General Assembly to accept Palestine as a nonmember state in November. While Israel and the US fiercely oppose such a move, saying it doesn't change facts on the ground and would preempt the outcome of future negotiations, Carter, Robinson and Brundtland wholeheartedly endorsed the plan, as it would give the Palestinians "a new stature."

"My hope is that the Israelis will say: We were opposed to it but we accept it, and the same for the United States," Carter told The Times of Israel at the press conference. "I'd hope that any country that votes against the Palestinian move or abstains, after the decision is made by the General Assembly will accept the results of the vote."

The Elders delegation's next stop is Cairo, where they are expected to meet senior officials, including President Mohammed Morsi. Carter said he has known Morsi for a long time and that Morsi intends to maintain the 1979 peace agreement with Israel.

**From the River to the Sea Palestine will be free
From the River to the Sea Israel will be**



<http://www.timesofisrael.com/jimmycarternetanyahu-has-abandoned-two-state-solution-in-favor-of-greater-israel/>

Kangaroo Court of Australia

MSM V BLOGGER: THOMSON REUTERS JOURNO PAUL KARP AND- TRADE UNIONS JOIN FORCES TO TRY TO BULLY KCA BLOGGER

I was attacked by Thomson Reuters journalist Paul Karp on Twitter on Thursday (25/9/14) who tweeted in a message "I think I speak for all in #turc media room in asking you to please desist abuse & defamation." (#turc: Trade Union Royal Commission.)

The message by Paul Karp was totally baseless and when I asked Mr Karp for specific details he would not respond. It was clearly part of a propaganda campaign run by Andrew Casey on behalf of the unions in the media room at the Trade Union Royal Commission. The abuse and defamation falsely claimed by Mr Karp related to his friend Andrew Casey who I outed in the last post as a Union Troll.

The article I posted last week (24/9/14) is titled "The Bill Shorten Crew stalks and harasses witnesses and journalists at the Trade Union Royal Commission" ([Click here to read the post](#)) and I made a number comments on Twitter regarding Mr Casey and the post. The content of the Tweets on Twitter were

calling Mr Casey a Union Troll, saying he is a lying grub and that he is going to jail. Hardly defamation and better than what he has called me in the past on Twitter.



Background

Being outed as a Union Troll seems to have upset Mr Casey greatly he has started a campaign to conceal the truth. Mr Casey seems to have started complaining at the Royal

Commission and on Twitter about how he had been defamed by me. But this is a lie as there was no defamation at all. The previous article names three people who troll the Royal Commission and try to influence the reporting etc. The 3 being Peter Wicks, Andrew Landeryou and Andrew Casey. I have written about Wicks and Landeryou numerous times before but it was the first time that Andrew Casey and his dodgy ways had been written about to my knowledge, except on Twitter by a few people.

I'll focus on 2 issues in this post, firstly Paul Karp and his massive claim that he talks for the whole media room and the false allegations that I had defamed and abused Andrew Casey. And secondly the fact that it is actually Union Troll Andrew Casey that has been bullying and harassing at the Royal Commission clearly looking for trouble.

Paul Karp's lies

It was a big call by Paul Karp, claiming he talks for the whole media room for which he has no power and a clear attempt to bully and intimidate me to shut up. I wouldn't write about it except for the audacity of someone in the mainstream media (if you can call Thomson Reuters MSM) thinking they have power and authority over Bloggers. They don't and that needs to be made clear so no one in the MSM ever tries it again. So let's have a good look at the lies, deception and attempted bullying and also the fact that it was supported by the unions.

"Paul Karp @Paul_Karp

@Kangaroo_Court @MarkSkulley

@AndrewSCasey I think I speak for all in #turc media room in asking you to please desist abuse & defamation."

03:40 AM - 25 Sep 14

The obvious problem is that I do not report to the media room or anybody in it. So at best it was extremely delusional of Mr Karp to make that statement as he is a nobody with no authority. But even if the whole media room did give Paul Karp authority who cares? Who are they? The [Jedi Council](#)?

The reality is I know plenty of the people in the media room and I am sure most of them would not be impressed with Mr Karp's Tweet and neither would their employers.

I responded to Mr Karp's bullying attempt straight away and said on Twitter "What defamation? Please be specific. And who exactly are you talking for in the #turc media room?" No answer. So an hour or so later I Tweeted "Mr Karp, you accused me of defaming Andrew Casey which is very serious. What defamation? Please be specific." Again no response.

I followed up with an email on Friday (26/9/14) ([Click here to read](#)). Still no answer, but he does seem to have taken down the Tweet after he received the email even though I did not ask him to. Like most bullies they run for cover as soon as someone stands up to them.

The Twitter conversation started off with a former journalist Mark Skulley defending Andrew Casey with a personal reference, I responded and then Mr Karp decided to chime in. ([Click here to see the Tweets](#))



It raises many issues, some I have raised above and a few more being:

1. Mr Karp does not have authority to talk on behalf of the media room. Why did he think he did?
2. What motivated Mr Karp to make the Tweet?
3. Why did he take the Tweet down when I never asked him to? Why has there been no apology given he could not support the allegations in the Tweet?
4. Why has Mr Karp not responded to my Tweets and email? What will Thomson Reuters do?

5. Paul Karp follows Andrew Landeryou's two accounts on Twitter, @Landeryou and @HSUcorruption, which are used for sleaze and smear and clearly defamatory attacks on witnesses at the Royal Commission and HSU members running in the current election, myself and others etc. So why does Mr Karp not say anything about the Landeryou sleaze, smear and defamation and support it by following Mr Landeryou yet complain about me calling Mr Casey a Union Troll.

6. Mr Karp specialises in Industrial Law reporting and understands bullying and would know what he did was clear attempted bullying. Like most bullies he needed support and that is why he said "I think I speak for all in #turc media room". Why did he need to write that he speaks on behalf of all? Because he is a coward trying to intimidate.

7. So what Mr Karp was saying is that he speaks for all the journalists in the media room and he has made a finding of fact that what I said on Twitter was defamatory and abuse. Yet he won't support those findings when asked by me on 3 separate occasions.

8. If Mr Karp was really unhappy with what I was saying he only had to block me on Twitter.

Paul Karp has clearly got more questions to answer than above, but that will do for starters. It looks like Mr Karp has been coached well by his mate Andrew Casey. A career option for journalists who specialise in Industrial Relations is working for the Unions. Maybe that is what motivated Paul Karp. It's hard to know as Mr Karp is not saying anything at this moment.

Andrew Casey and his continued niggling. He encourages Landeryou to do his dirty work for him.

I wrote plenty about Andrew Casey's dodgy conduct, his career at the ACTU, CFMEU and other unions and the fact that he is trolling the Royal Commission on behalf of the unions / Labor Party in the previous post. ([Click here to read](#)) So I will focus on 4 examples of when Mr Casey showed his true colours openly. Three are Tweets as per below.



1. The Royal Commission started public hearings in May and the above Tweet is from the 9th June 2014. My Twitter name is @Kangaroo_Court and Michael Smith from the website [Michael Smith News](#) has the Twitter name @mpsmithnews and he also writes a lot about union corruption.

What Andrew Casey is saying in the Tweet is that this website and Michael Smith's site are both conspiracy theorist sites and if you do not want to follow them then follow another site called Commission Watch. Mr Casey is implying that I am crazy and/or delusional by calling me a conspiracy theorist. The Commission Watch site he recommends just happens to be a Union run website. When he uses my name in the Tweet I get an email telling me.

So in one Tweet Casey insults me, lets me know he is insulting me, uses my name to help promote his Tweet and recommends a union run propaganda site. I thought about responding but did not bother.



2. The above Tweet is from the 21st of August and the day after I published a post titled "Bill Shorten uses internet trolls

to try to blackmail & intimidate media reporting the Union Royal Commission". ([Click here to read](#)) In the article I was very critical of Andrew Landeryou and the sleaze and smear campaigns he runs on Twitter. So what Mr Casey did in his Tweet above is send Mr Landeryou a message saying that I had written about him and that he should respond on Twitter. Andrew Casey knew full well that Landeryou would use his sleaze and smear tactics as per usual. So why does Andrew Casey encourage the sleaze and smear campaign by Landeryou? Landeryou was always likely to respond to my article but it was not for Casey to encourage it. I saw Mr Casey later that day and he said "Hello friend" and I replied "You ain't my friend" in a rude tone as if to say, "Get lost, I don't want to know you".

3. Repeating what I wrote in the last post: "Andrew Casey jumped to Andrew Landeryou's defence last Tuesday (16/9/14) in the media room in Melbourne. I was talking to someone in regards to Mr Landeryou stealing millions from the Melbourne University Union and Andrew Casey said aggressively words to the effect "I would watch what I say about that if I was you". What I was saying has previously been well reported in the media ([Click here to read](#)) and it is not for any journalist to tell another journalist what they can and cannot say in the media room." I said to Mr Casey words to the effect that he was nothing but a Union Troll and I would not talk to him and he should not talk to me. (to keep the peace in the media room).

I asked Mr Casey on Twitter during the week: "Mr Andrew Casey why did you defend Landeryou in the #turc media room in Melbourne last week?" He would not respond.



4. Once again in the above Tweet Mr Casey is trying to stir trouble. It is worth noting he calls me a Troll, so how can he complain about me calling him a Union Troll.

The Tweet is on the 19th September 2014 the same day I posted an article about Landeryou's wife titled "News Corp's Andrew Bolt aids and abets his friend Kimberley Kitching with fraud and theft at the HSU". ([Click here to read](#))

To sum up, Mr Casey attacks my website and calls me a conspiracy theorist, uses my site to try to promote a union site. Mr Casey encourages Landeryou to attack me and my site and the sleaze and smear that Landeryou is known for. And Mr

Casey continues with his games when I have made it clear I do not want to know him.

And when I out Mr Casey for being the Union Troll that he is he starts crying that he has been defamed. Mr Casey gets plenty of support from the Unions. Two of those who supported the Paul Karp Tweet are Mark Phillips ACTU New Media Editor & Editor of Working Life and Jackie Woods who works for [Essential Media Communications](#). Ms Woods from what I can tell is working for the CFMEU (probably Crisis Management) as I believe I have seen her at the hearings shadowing CFMEU National Secretary Dave Noonan.

Funnily enough Andrew Casey has written 7 articles this year for the "Working Life" website that the ACTU man Mark Phillips edits. I wonder if he is on their payroll given he was before for 10 years..

I emailed both Phillips and Woods and have not had a reply. (Click [here](#) and [here](#) to read the emails)

I could have let the Paul Karp Tweet slide and not worried about it as Mr Karp ran like the coward he is when I stood up to him. But the unions have supported him even it was to a minor degree which is consistent with the evidence of bullying by union officials regarding whistle-blowers that has been heard at the Royal Commission and that needs to be written about.

This is a massive abuse by Paul Karp of his position as a journalist and he could have easily got away with it if the journalist/blogger on the receiving end had not been me, but some new and inexperienced journalist/blogger. So it also needs to be addressed to try to make sure such a disgraceful attempt of bullying never happens again.

The unions have total contempt for the Royal Commission as the deleting of the emails by the CFMEU shows. So unions trying to bully the media at the Royal Commission is not surprising.

This website is independent and reliant on donations to keep publishing. If you would like to support the continuance and growth of this site it would be greatly appreciated if you make a donation. Click on the button below to donate via PayPal or go to the donations page for other donation options ([Click here to go to the Donations page](#))

[Donate](#)

If you would like to follow this site by free email notification you can at the top right of this page and about twice a week you be notified when there is a new post on this site. Please use the Twitter, Facebook and email etc. buttons below and help promote this post.

Thank you for your support.

<http://kangarocourtofaustralia.com/2014/09/29/msm-v-blogger-thomson-reuters-journo-paul-karp-and-trade-unions-join-forces-to-try-to-bully-kca-blogger/>

Physicist Claims to Have Proven Mathematically That Black Holes Do Not Exist

September 24, 2014 | by Lisa Winter

There has been a great deal of study and debate surrounding the mysteries of black holes. The University of North Carolina's Laura Mersini-Houghton believes that the reason there is so much uncertainty is because black holes don't exist. Her paper has been submitted to [ArXiv](#), but has not been subjected to peer review. Earlier this year, she published a paper with approximate solutions in the journal [Physics Letters B](#). Astrophysicists have been studying [black holes](#) for decades. It is widely believed that when a star [20 times more massive](#) than our Sun or larger dies and collapses, it can condense into an incredibly small area known as the singularity that is extremely dense. It is surrounded by an event horizon, which is a region where the gravitational pull is so strong, not even light can escape. It is essentially the "point of no return."

Stephen Hawking first theorized in 1974 that due to quantum effects at the event horizon, it releases radiation now known as Hawking radiation. Over time, shedding this radiation can pull mass away, in a process known as evaporation. However, Mersini-Houghton states that so much radiation is shed from the star when it collapses, it is simply not possible for it to form a black hole.

Mersini-Houghton claims that she has clearly and effectively reconciled Einstein's Theory of Relativity with quantum mechanics. Though the two have never necessarily been at odds on a large scale, physicists have previously been unable to merge the two cohesively. In terms of relativity, the formation of the black hole can be predicted. However, in quantum mechanics, the uncertainty principle doesn't really permit one to know exactly where something is located. It's

possible to get pretty close, but not exactly. This is just [one of many ways](#) in which quantum theory and Einstein's classical field theory fail to align when it comes to black holes.

"Physicists have been trying to merge these two theories – Einstein's theory of gravity and quantum mechanics – for decades, but this scenario brings these two theories together, into harmony," Mersini-Houghton stated in [a press release](#). "And that's a big deal."



NASA/JPL-Caltech

However, not everyone is on board with Mersini-Houghton's conclusions. [William Unruh](#), a theoretical physicist from the

University of British Columbia, pointed out some fatal flaws in the paper's argument.

"The [paper] is nonsense," Unruh said in an email to IFLS. "Attempts like this to show that black holes never form have a very long history, and this is only the latest. They all misunderstand Hawking radiation, and assume that matter behaves in ways that are completely implausible."

According to Unruh, black holes don't emit enough Hawking radiation to shrink the mass of the black hole down to where Mersini-Houghton claims in a timely manner. Instead, "it would take 10^{53} (1 followed by 53 zeros) times the age of the universe to evaporate," he explains.

"The standard behaviour by such people [who don't understand Hawking radiation] is to project that outgoing energy back closer and closer to the horizon of the black hole, where its energy density gets larger and larger," he continued. "Unfortunately explicit calculations of the energy density near the horizon show it is really, really small instead of being large-- Those calculations were already done in the 1970s. To call bad speculation "has been proven mathematically" is, shall we say, and overstatement."

<http://www.iflscience.com/physics/physicist-claims-have-proven-mathematically-black-holes-do-not-exist>

"Black Holes Can Never Come into Being" --New Theory Forces Scientists to Rethink Whether Big Bang Ever Happened

September 28, 2014

Experimental evidence may one day provide physical proof as to whether or not black holes exist in the universe. But for now, theoretical physicist Laura Mersini-Houghton at the University of North Carolina says the mathematics are conclusive: they don't exist. By merging two seemingly conflicting theories, [Mersini-Houghton](#), has proven, mathematically, that black holes can never come into being in the first place. The work not only forces scientists to reimagine the fabric of space-time, but also rethink the origins of the universe. Many physicists and astronomers believe that our universe originated from a singularity that began expanding with the Big Bang. However, if singularities do not exist, then physicists have to rethink their ideas of the Big Bang and whether it ever happened.



The Daily Galaxy via University of North Carolina. Image credit: APOD/Hubble Legacy Archive

"I'm still not over the shock," said Mersini-Houghton. "We've been studying this problem for a more than 50 years and this solution gives us a lot to think about."

For decades, black holes were thought to form when a massive star collapses under its own gravity to a single point in space – imagine the Earth being squished into a ball the size of a peanut – called a singularity. So the story went, an invisible membrane known as the event horizon surrounds the singularity and crossing this horizon means that you could never cross back. It's the point where a black hole's gravitational pull is so strong that nothing can escape it.

The reason black holes are so bizarre is that it pits two fundamental theories of the universe against each other. [Einstein's theory](#) of gravity predicts the

formation of black holes but a fundamental law of quantum theory states that no information from the universe can ever disappear. Efforts to combine these two theories lead to mathematical nonsense, and became known as the information loss paradox. In 1974, Stephen Hawking used quantum mechanics to show that black holes emit radiation. Since then, scientists have detected fingerprints in the cosmos that are consistent with this radiation, identifying an ever-increasing list of the universe's black holes.

But now Mersini-Houghton describes an entirely new scenario. She and Hawking both agree that as a star collapses under its own gravity, it produces [Hawking radiation](#). However, in her new work, Mersini-Houghton shows that by giving off this radiation, the star also sheds mass. So much so that as it shrinks it no longer has the density to become a black hole.

Before a black hole can form, the dying star swells one last time and then explodes. A singularity never forms and neither does an event horizon. The take home message of her work is clear: there is no such thing as a black hole.

The paper, which was recently submitted to [ArXiv](#), an online repository of physics papers that is not peer-reviewed, offers exact numerical solutions to this problem and was done in collaboration with Harald Peiffer, an expert on numerical relativity at the [University of Toronto](#). An earlier paper, by Mersini-Houghton, originally submitted to ArXiv in June, was published in the journal Physics Letters B, and offers approximate solutions to the problem.

"Physicists have been trying to merge these two theories – Einstein's theory of gravity and quantum mechanics – for decades, but this scenario brings these two theories together, into harmony," said Mersini-Houghton. "And that's a big deal."

The image at the top of the page shows sweeping filaments of glowing hydrogen gas that seem to rise from the central region of galaxy M106, evidence of energetic jets of material blasting into the galaxy's disk.

The jets are likely powered by matter falling into a massive central black hole.

http://www.dailygalaxy.com/my_weblog/2014/09/black-holes-can-never-come-into-being-new-theory-forces-scientists-to-rethink-big-bang-and-whether-i.html

Stephen Hawking: 'There are no black holes'

Notion of an 'event horizon', from which nothing can escape, is incompatible with quantum theory, physicist claims.

Zeeva Merali, 24 January 2014



**Artist's impression VICTOR HABBICK
VISIONS/SPL/Getty**

The defining characteristic of a black hole may have to give, if the two pillars of modern physics — general relativity and quantum theory — are both correct.

Most physicists foolhardy enough to write a paper claiming that "there are no black holes" — at least not in the sense we usually imagine — would probably be dismissed as cranks. But when the call to redefine these cosmic crunchers comes from Stephen Hawking, it's worth taking notice. In a paper posted online, the physicist, based at the University of Cambridge, UK, and one of the creators of modern black-hole theory, does away with the notion of an event horizon, the invisible boundary thought to shroud every black hole, beyond which nothing, not even light, can escape.



Peter van den Berg/Photoshot

"There is no escape from a black hole in classical theory, but quantum theory enables energy and information to escape."

In its stead, Hawking's radical proposal is a much more benign "apparent horizon", which only temporarily holds matter and energy prisoner before eventually releasing them, albeit in a more garbled form.

"There is no escape from a black hole in classical theory," Hawking told *Nature*. Quantum theory, however, "enables energy and information to escape from a black hole". A full explanation of the process, the physicist admits, would require a theory that successfully merges gravity with the other fundamental forces of nature. But that is a goal that has eluded physicists for nearly a century. "The correct treatment," Hawking says, "remains a mystery."

Hawking [posted his paper on the arXiv](#) preprint server on 22 January¹. He titled it, whimsically, 'Information preservation and weather forecasting for black holes', and it has yet to pass peer review. The paper was based on a talk he gave via Skype at a meeting at the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics in

Santa Barbara, California, in August 2013 ([watch video of the talk](#)).

Fire fighting

Hawking's new work is an attempt to solve what is known as the black-hole firewall paradox, which has been vexing physicists for almost two years, after it was discovered by theoretical physicist Joseph Polchinski of the Kavli Institute and his colleagues (see '[Astrophysics: Fire in the hole!](#)').

In a thought experiment, the researchers asked what would happen to an astronaut unlucky enough to fall into a black hole. Event horizons are mathematically simple consequences of Einstein's general theory of relativity that were first pointed out by the German astronomer Karl Schwarzschild [in a letter he wrote to Einstein](#) in late 1915, less than a month after the publication of the theory. In that picture, physicists had long assumed, the astronaut would happily pass through the event horizon, unaware of his or her impending doom, before gradually being pulled inwards — stretched out along the way, like spaghetti — and eventually crushed at the 'singularity', the black hole's hypothetical infinitely dense core.

But on analysing the situation in detail, Polchinski's team came to the startling realization that the laws of quantum mechanics, which govern particles on small scales, change the situation completely. Quantum theory, they said, dictates that the event horizon must actually be transformed into a highly energetic region, or 'firewall', that would burn the astronaut to a crisp.

This was alarming because, although the firewall obeyed quantum rules, it flouted Einstein's general theory of relativity. According to that theory, someone in free fall should perceive the laws of physics as being identical everywhere in the Universe — whether they are falling into a black hole or floating in empty intergalactic space. As far as Einstein is concerned, the event horizon should be an unremarkable place.

Beyond the horizon

Now Hawking proposes a third, tantalizingly simple, option. Quantum mechanics and general relativity remain intact, but black holes simply do not have an event horizon to catch fire. The key to his claim is that quantum effects around the black hole cause space-time to fluctuate too wildly for a sharp boundary surface to exist.

In place of the event horizon, Hawking invokes an "apparent horizon", a surface along which light rays attempting to rush away from the black hole's core will be suspended. In general relativity, for an unchanging black hole, these two horizons are identical, because light trying to escape from inside a black hole can reach only as far as the event horizon and will be held there, as though stuck on a treadmill. However, the two horizons can, in principle, be distinguished. If more matter gets swallowed by the black hole, its event horizon will swell and grow larger than the apparent horizon.

Conversely, in the 1970s, Hawking also showed that black holes can slowly shrink, spewing out 'Hawking radiation'. In that case, the event horizon would, in theory, become smaller than the apparent horizon. Hawking's new suggestion is that the apparent horizon is the real boundary. "The absence of event horizons means that there are no black holes — in the sense of regimes from which light can't escape to infinity," Hawking writes.

"The picture Hawking gives sounds reasonable," says Don Page, a physicist and expert on black holes at the University of Alberta in Edmonton, Canada, who collaborated with Hawking

in the 1970s. "You could say that it is radical to propose there's no event horizon. But these are highly quantum conditions, and there's ambiguity about what space-time even is, let alone whether there is a definite region that can be marked as an event horizon."

Although Page accepts Hawking's proposal that a black hole could exist without an event horizon, he questions whether that alone is enough to get past the firewall paradox. The presence of even an ephemeral apparent horizon, he cautions, could well cause the same problems as does an event horizon. Unlike the event horizon, the apparent horizon can eventually dissolve. Page notes that Hawking is opening the door to a scenario so extreme "that anything in principle can get out of a black hole". Although Hawking does not specify in his paper exactly how an apparent horizon would disappear, Page speculates that when it has shrunk to a certain size, at which the effects of both quantum mechanics and gravity combine, it is plausible that it could vanish. At that point, whatever was once trapped within the black hole would be released (although not in good shape).

If Hawking is correct, there could even be no singularity at the core of the black hole. Instead, matter would be only temporarily held behind the apparent horizon, which would gradually move inward owing to the pull of the black hole, but would never quite crunch down to the centre. Information about this matter would not be destroyed, but would be highly scrambled so that, as it is released through Hawking radiation, it would be in a vastly different form, making it almost impossible to work out what the swallowed objects once were. "It would be worse than trying to reconstruct a book that you burned from its ashes," says Page. In his paper, Hawking compares it to trying to forecast the weather ahead of time: in

theory it is possible, but in practice it is too difficult to do with much accuracy.

Polchinski, however, is sceptical that black holes without an event horizon could exist in nature. The kind of violent fluctuations needed to erase it are too rare in the Universe, he says. "In Einstein's gravity, the black-hole horizon is not so different from any other part of space," says Polchinski. "We never see space-time fluctuate in our own neighbourhood: it is just too rare on large scales."

Raphael Bousso, a theoretical physicist at the University of California, Berkeley, and a former student of Hawking's, says that this latest contribution highlights how "abhorrent" physicists find the potential existence of firewalls. However, he is also cautious about Hawking's solution. "The idea that there are no points from which you cannot escape a black hole is in some ways an even more radical and problematic suggestion than the existence of firewalls," he says. "But the fact that we're still discussing such questions 40 years after Hawking's first papers on black holes and information is testament to their enormous significance."

Nature doi:10.1038/nature.2014.14583

Related stories

[Simulations back up theory that Universe is a hologram](#)
[Black holes shrink but endure](#)
[Did a hyper-black hole spawn the Universe?](#)

More related stories

<http://www.nature.com/news/stephen-hawking-there-are-no-black-holes-1.14583>

The Politics of the Prostate

By Peter Myers

The Prostate is the male equivalent of the Uterus. Its main function is to produce fluid for semen, which transports sperm. There's an epidemic of Prostate problems, but they are of two distinct types:

- difficult urination, when the Prostate squeezes the urethra, caused by "Benign prostatic hyperplasia" (benign tumor growth);
- Prostate Cancer.

Men reporting problems are sent for a PSA test and an Ultrasound. The Ultrasound measures the size of the prostate, while the PSA reading is used to assess the risk of cancer.

Doctors prescribe Minipress to alleviate urination problems; this is a blood pressure tablet that relaxes the pipework. For worse cases, Flomaxtra is used, but it's not on the PBS.

Urologists can prescribe Duodart (Finasteride) to shrink the prostate.

However, the FDA warns that it carries "an increased risk of high-grade prostate cancer." My doctor did not tell me that; I found it out on the internet. Nor did he tell me that Duodart causes Chemical Castration.

Needless to say, I did not take it.

I'm quite sceptical about Doctors and Big Pharma, so I avoided their medicines and treatments for 9 years, using herbal medicine instead.

Then I took Minipress (2 mg) or Flomaxtra (0.4 mg) for a year, in conjunction with herbal medicine.

One morning you might find you can't urinate at all; and yet you're busting. This is agonising, and it gets worse every hour you delay. If it happens to you, go straight to Emergency at any hospital, any hour of the day or night; tell them you have Urine Retention. They will insert a catheter up your penis and drain the urine. There are different sizes: size 12 hurts less than size 14.

After needing this four times, I finally decided to submit to a Rebore (TURP). This is an operation to cut away part of the Prostate. A catheter (size 24) is inserted up the penis into the bladder. Surgical instruments are operated through this tube,

and the flesh is removed through it too. You won't want to be awake during this.

Afterwards, you "piss blood" for 2 or 3 weeks; then gradually recover.

After that, one patient told me, "you can piss like a racehorse". If you're lucky, you get 10 or more years before you need another TURP.

So far, reader, you're probably finding this gruesome; but there is some good news too. Namely that we should not worry too much about the other Prostate problem – Prostate Cancer.

The PSA test is being used to mass-screen the population. And to freak men out, pressuring them into having biopsies, radiation and removal of the prostate. But the scientist who invented the PSA test now says it's inaccurate and dangerous. Richard J. Ablin wrote in the New York Times of March 9, 2010:

The Great Prostate Mistake

"EACH year some 30 million American men undergo testing for prostate-specific antigen ... the P.S.A. test is the most commonly used tool for detecting prostate cancer.

"The test's popularity has led to a hugely expensive public health disaster. It's an issue I am painfully familiar with — I discovered P.S.A. in 1970. ...

"Americans spend an enormous amount testing for prostate cancer. The annual bill for P.S.A. screening is at least \$3 billion ...

"Prostate cancer may get a lot of press, but consider the numbers:

American men have a 16 percent lifetime chance of receiving a diagnosis of prostate cancer, but only a 3 percent chance of dying from it. That's because the majority of prostate cancers grow slowly. In other words, men lucky enough to reach old age are much more likely to die with prostate cancer than to die of it.

"Even then, the test is hardly more effective than a coin toss. As I've been trying to make clear for many years now, P.S.A. testing can't detect prostate cancer and, more important, it can't distinguish between the two types of prostate cancer — the one that will kill you and the one that won't. [...]

"So why is it still used? Because drug companies continue peddling the tests and advocacy groups push "prostate cancer awareness" by encouraging men to get screened. [...]

"I never dreamed that my discovery four decades ago would lead to such a profit-driven public health disaster."

Richard J. Ablin is a research professor of immunobiology and pathology at the University of Arizona College of Medicine and the president of the Robert Benjamin Ablin Foundation for Cancer Research.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/03/10/opinion/10Ablin.html>

He later wrote a book called ***The Great Prostate Hoax: How Big Medicine Hijacked The PSA Test And Caused A Public Health Disaster.***

I have a copy, and will show it to any doctor who tries to pressure me. There's a good review at

<http://australianleftbookreview.blogspot.com.au/2014/07/the-great-prostatehoax-how-big-medicine.html>

The Wall St Journal reported on Sept. 14, 2014, "The surge of cancer screening in the U.S. has increased the detection of precancerous lesions that are often low-risk. Some experts now argue that cancer is being overdiagnosed. [...] As a result ... experts say, many people may be undergoing surgery, radiation, chemotherapy and other treatments unnecessarily, sometimes with lifelong side effects."

Those side effects include Impotence (no erections or sex) and incontinence (having to wear nappies, permanently).

As men are pressured to undergo PSA testing, women are pressured to undergo Mammograms. Dr David Browstein advises against them:

"[...] What can you do to prevent breast cancer? The number one thing you can do is to eat a healthy diet free of synthetic hormones. That means eating animal products that have not been fed synthetic hormones. [...] Instead of mammograms, perhaps consider thermography. ... Although thermascans do not prevent breast cancer, they do not expose sensitive areas of the body to dangerous ionizing radiation."

Italy, Greece, India and Japan have lower incidence of Prostate problems. But Japanese men who migrate to the US develop American-style problems. This means that we can cure ourselves by changing our diet and exposure to chemicals.

The prostate epidemic seems to be caused by an excess of estrogenic hormones. A Japanese study concluded, "estrogens are key hormones for the induction and the development of BPH."

Estrogenic hormones are used in insecticides, from which they get into the foodchain. They are also fed to chickens, pigs and dairy cattle to increase production; and are present in plastic containers, from which they leach into food. A study in Germany found that "plastic mineral water bottles contaminate drinking water with estrogenic chemicals."

Cornell University put out a fact sheet:

*"Consumer Concerns about Hormones in Food Cornell University Fact Sheet #37, June 2000

*"Certain hormones make young animals gain weight faster. ... In dairy cows, hormones increase milk production. Thus, hormones can increase the profitability of the meat and dairy industries. ...

*"The female sex hormone estrogen was shown to affect growth rates in cattle and poultry in the 1930s."

*"The EU has banned all meat from animals treated with steroid growth hormones; but they are still permitted in North America and Australia. [...]

*"Early puberty in girls may be caused by growth hormones in meat and dairy products."

That Kentucky Fried Chicken – and all the other junk food we've eaten – may not seem to taste good any more.

Perhaps by this time, reader, you may be ready to give herbal remedies a try. Herbal medicines for the Prostate include the berries of the Saw Palmetto palm, the bark of the Pygeum tree, the root of Stinging nettles, Pumpkin seed kernels (or oil), and Epilobium Parviflorum (Small Flowered Willow Herb).

You may be able to grow your own. Pygeum grows in the tropics, Saw Palmetto in the subtropics (I grow it); Stinging Nettles and Epilobium Parviflorum grow in temperate climates. Stinging nettle root inhibits the binding of SHBG to the prostate cell membrane. An article in LE Magazine of February 1999 says,

<http://www.lef.org/magazine/mag99/feb99-bph.html>

"In addition to inhibiting SHBG binding, at least six constituents of nettle root inhibit aromatase, reducing conversion of androgens to estrogens. Combining nettle root with pygeum results in a stronger, synergistic inhibition. ...

"In Europe nettle root is also used in combination with saw palmetto.

This combination is a logical one since nettle root acts through the alternative signalling pathway in the prostate cell, while saw palmetto acts on the primary signalling pathway by limiting DHT activity. In effect, nettle root addresses the estrogen side of BPH, while saw palmetto addresses the androgen side. Additionally, both herbs have anti-inflammatory actions. [...] Preliminary research suggests that a constituent of nettle root inhibits the binding of EGF to human prostate cells."

Blackmores is the leading Australian brand. Their Prostate Health Formula contains Saw Palmetto, Stinging Nettle Root, Selenium, Zinc, Natural Vitamin E, and Lycopene (from ripe tomatoes). American brands are available on the internet. I take Life Extension brand "Ultra Natural Prostate" containing Saw Palmetto, Stinging Nettle Root, Boswellia, Cernitin Flower Pollen Extract, Pygeum, Pumpkin seed Oil, Phytosterol Complex including Beta-Sitosterol, a lignan extract, and boron. Brightly coloured fruits and vegetables contain quercetin. It's said to inhibit the growth of cancer cells; organically grown fruits, fully ripened, contain more. Turmeric rhizomes and almond kernels likewise.

The bitterness in apple pips and stonefruit kernels is cyanide, which fights cancer; it's only an excess which harms you. Apricot kernels sell on eBay for \$42/kg, much dearer than apricots themselves. This and the huge number of herbal Prostate remedies sold on eBay show that large numbers of people distrust the Medical Establishment.

I estimate that I won't need to worry about Prostate Cancer for another 10 years. By that time, I hope that doctor-assisted suicide will be legal – allowing a dignified exit, with a farewell party beforehand, and freeing up funds so that other people can get the Pension at 65.

Nurses I discussed this with at Bundaberg hospital expressed similar sentiments. "You'll find a way," one said.

This material is at <http://mailstar.net/bulletins/Politics-Prostate.rtf>

India Holds Bill Gates Accountable For His Vaccine Crimes

[Christina England](#), October 5 2014

As Bill Gates faces a lawsuit for the illegal testing of tribal children in India, it appears that his crimes against humanity have finally caught up with him.

A recent report published by *Health Impact News* has reported that the Gates Foundation has found itself facing a pending

lawsuit, due to an investigation that is being carried out by the Supreme Courts of India.

Health Impact News stated:

"While fraud and corruption are revealed on almost a daily basis now in the vaccine industry, the U.S. mainstream media

continues to largely ignore such stories. Outside the U.S., however, the vaccine empires are beginning to crumble, and English versions of the news in mainstream media outlets are available via the Internet.

One such country is India, where the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and their vaccine empire are under fire, including a pending lawsuit currently being investigated by the India Supreme Court." [1]

The Health Impact News article centered largely on a four-page report that was recently published by *Economic Times India*.

Eager to know more, I investigated their story and discovered that the World Health Organization, the Gates Foundation and two organizations funded by them, PATH (Program for Appropriate Technology in Health) and GAVI (Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization), have found themselves under fire, after a writ of petition originally submitted to the Supreme Court of India, by Kalpana Mehta, Nalini Bhanot and Dr. Rukmini Rao in 2012, was finally heard by the courts.

The petitioners submitting the petition stated:

"BMGF, PATH and WHO were criminally negligent trialling the vaccines on a vulnerable, uneducated and under-informed population school administrators, students and their parents who were not provided informed consent or advised of potential adverse effects or required to be monitored post-vaccination." [2]

Young Tribal Girls Tested With HPV Vaccines

The *Economic Times India* published their report August 2014. They stated that in 2009, tests had been carried out on 16,000 tribal school children in Andhra Pradesh, India, using the human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccine, Gardasil.

According to the report written by KP Narayana Kumar, within a month of receiving the vaccine, many of the children fell ill and by 2010, five of them had died. A further two children were reported to have died in Vadodara, Gujarat, where an estimated 14,000 tribal children were vaccinated with another brand of the HPV vaccine, Cervarix, manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK).

Shockingly, the report stated that many of the consent forms used to vaccinate the girls were signed "illegally," either by the wardens from the hostels where many of the girls resided, or using thumbprints from illiterate parents.

This travesty was not discovered until a team of health activists from the non-government organization SAMA, an organization specializing in women's health, decided to investigate what had been going on.

According to the report, they were shocked to discover that a total of 120 girls had been taken ill, suffering from a variety of symptoms, including "epileptic seizures, severe stomach aches, headaches and mood swings."

The *Economic Times* stated:

"The Sama report also said there had been cases of early onset of menstruation following the vaccination, heavy bleeding and severe menstrual cramps among many students. The standing committee pulled up the relevant state governments for the shoddy investigation into these deaths. It said it was disturbed to find that 'all the seven deaths were summarily dismissed as unrelated to vaccinations without in-depth investigations ...' the speculative causes were suicides, accidental drowning in well (why not suicide?), malaria, viral infections, subarachnoid hemorrhage (without autopsy) etc."

This information is even more shocking when you discover that the organization funding the study was none other than the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, who declared the project a total success.

Kumar wrote:

"According to the BMGF, the WHO, the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, and the Federation of Obstetric and Gynaecological Societies of India have all recommended vaccination 'as a proven and highly effective preventive measure for cervical cancer.' The project used vaccines that are licensed in India and that have been administered safely around the world tens of millions of times,

preventing countless cases of cervical cancer illness and death, 'maintains a BMGF spokesperson in an emailed response (see GAVI & PHFI create incentives ...'"

He continued:

"BMGF's role in funding the controversial studies, however, has led to many healthcare activists in India voicing their apprehensions. 'BMGF has to take full responsibility because PATH is funded by them. It is also unethical when people championing the cause of vaccines are the same ones who are also investing in vaccine development,' said V Rukmini Rao, one of the activists who filed a writ petition before the Supreme Court in connection with the HPV vaccine studies." [3]

Absolutely, and this is not the first time that these organizations have been caught illegally testing vaccines in developing countries.

Gates Foundation, WHO, PATH, GAVI, UNICEF Behind Chad Vaccine Disaster

In December 2012, in the small village of Gouro, Chad, Africa, situated on the edge of the Sahara Desert, five hundred children were locked into their school, threatened that if they did not agree to being force-vaccinated with a meningitis A vaccine, they would receive no further education.

These children were vaccinated without their parents' knowledge. This vaccine was an unlicensed product still going through the third and fourth phases of testing.

Within hours, one hundred and six children began to suffer from headaches, vomiting, severe uncontrollable convulsions and paralysis. The children's wait for a doctor began. They had to wait one full week for a doctor to arrive while the team of vaccinators proceeded to vaccinate others in the village.

When the doctor finally came, he could do nothing for the children. The team of vaccinators, upon seeing what had happened, fled the village in fear.

The original report written in a small, local newspaper called *La Voix*, the only newspaper to have published the original story, stated that forty children were finally transferred to a hospital in Faya and later taken by plane to two hospitals in N'Djamena, the capital city of Chad.

[Link to VacTruth Article](#)

After being shuttled around like cattle, many of these sick, weak children were finally dumped back in their village without a diagnosis and each family was given an unconfirmed sum of £1000 by the government. No forms were signed and no documentation was seen. They were informed that their children had not suffered a vaccine injury.

However, if this were true, why would their government award each family £1000 in what has been described as hush money?

The only mainstream news channel to have highlighted the plight of these poor children was a local channel called Tchad, which filmed footage of the then-Prime Minister of Chad visiting the children in hospital.

VacTruth has copies of both reports, along with medical and government documents.

Despite this evidence and VacTruth's detailed and extensive coverage, including highlighting television footage, once again, the vaccine program was hailed a success. To watch videos reporting the story, see the references at the end of this article. [4] [5]

The groups involved with this project were PATH, WHO, UNICEF, and the Gates Foundation. During investigations, it was discovered that the whole project was being run by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

In a press release, the Gates Foundation stated:

"MenAfriVac is a tremendous success story for the global health community. It is the first vaccine developed specifically for Africa, and it proves that global partnerships can develop and deliver high-quality, low-cost vaccines.

Ten years ago, we invested in the Meningitis Vaccine Project, an innovative model that brought together PATH, the World Health Organization, African health ministers and the Serum Institute of India today, we celebrate the result: a modern

vaccine selling for less than US 50 cents per dose with the potential to end Africa's deadly meningitis epidemics. We believe that vaccines are one of the best buys in global health. In January, Bill and Melinda Gates called on the global community to make this the Decade of Vaccines. There is no better way to launch this decade than with a new vaccine that will improve and save lives." [6]
VacTruth can prove otherwise.

Government Inquiry Holds GAVI Accountable for Multiple Deaths

Despite the fact the Gates Foundation call vaccines "one of the best buys in global health," a government inquiry in Pakistan has found the complete opposite.

In 2011, the *Express Tribune* published a story stating that: "ISLAMABAD: A government inquiry has found that polio vaccines for infants funded by the Global Alliance for Vaccination and Immunisation are causing deaths and disabilities in regional countries including Pakistan.

The startling revelation is part of an inquiry report prepared by the Prime Minister's Inspection Commission (PMIC) on the working of the Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI). The PMIC, headed by Malik Amjad Noon, has recommended that Prime Minister Yousaf Raza Gilani immediately suspend the administration of all types of vaccines funded by the GAVI."

According to the *Express Tribune*, the main vaccinations in question were the polio vaccine and the 5-in-1 pentavalent vaccine, which were said to be responsible for the deaths and disability of a number of children in Pakistan, India, Sri Lanka, Bhutan and Japan.

The vaccines were funded by the Global Alliance for Vaccination and Immunization (GAVI) an organization financed by the Bill and Melinda Gates Children's Vaccine Program, the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, the Rockefeller Foundation, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Bank.

Writing on the official report, made exclusive to the newspaper, the *Express Tribune* stated:

"The report states, '**The procured vaccines are not tested in laboratories to confirm their efficacy and genuineness. This leaves room for use of spurious and counterfeit vaccines.**'" [7] (emphasis added)

If this is true, then once again the Gates Foundation has been linked to mass vaccination initiatives using untested, unsafe vaccinations.

The Gates Foundation and WHO Labeled Unethical by Medical Experts

In 2012, Ramesh Shankar Mumbai, an author writing for the Pharmabiz website, reported that two medical experts from India had accused the Gates Foundation and WHO of being unethical.

In his report, Mumbai stated that Dr. Neetu Vashisht and Dr. Jacob Puliyeel of the Department of Pediatrics at St. Stephens Hospital in Delhi, wrote the following information in their report in the April issue of *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*.

"It was unethical for WHO and Bill Gates to flog this program when they knew 10 years back that it was never to succeed. Getting poor countries to expend their scarce resources on an impossible dream over the last 10 years was unethical."

Pharmabiz.com reported that Dr. Vashisht and Dr. Puliyeel had continued their report by stating:

"Another major ethical issue raised by the campaign is the failure to thoroughly investigate the increase in the incidence of non-polio acute flaccid paralysis (NPAFP) in areas where many doses of vaccine were used. NPAFP is clinically indistinguishable from polio paralysis but twice as deadly."

Pharmabiz.com continued:

"The authors noted that while India was polio-free in 2011, in the same year, there were 47500 cases of NPAFP. While data from India's National Polio Surveillance Project showed NPAFP rate increased in proportion to the number of polio vaccine doses received, independent studies showed that children

identified with NPAFP 'were at more than twice the risk of dying than those with wild polio infection.'" [8]

The corruption and deceit by these organizations does not stop there. **The Gates Foundation Blamed for 10,000 Vaccine-Related Deaths**

In 2013, yet another report named the Gates Foundation and GAVI as being responsible for multiple deaths using untested vaccinations on children from the developing world.

The report, published on the website Occupy Corporatism and written by Susanne Posel stated:

"It was found out through an investigation, that GAVI was using an untested vaccine; giving this dangerous vaccine to Pakistani children shows the lack of empathy associated with these organizations.

GAVI was blamed for the deaths of 10,000 children in Pakistan when they came in and administered polio vaccines that resulted in casualties." [9]

As we know, GAVI is heavily funded by the Gates Foundation. [10]

So, what was the name of the organization that investigated GAVI and found them using untested polio vaccinations in Pakistan?

According to the *Express Tribune* article, which I referred to earlier in this article, it was none other than the Indian government, who, upon discovering the shocking truth, recommended the immediate suspension of the administration of all types of vaccines funded by GAVI. [7]

In a recent radio show hosted by Sallie O. Elkordy, Susanne Posel gave her frank and honest views on the whole sorry mess that we find ourselves in today.

Warning: This report is not for the faint-hearted. [11]

Conclusion

It is difficult to believe that, despite the mounting evidence outlining the many crimes against humanity that have been committed by the Gates Foundation, GAVI, UNICEF and PATH, Bill Gates is portrayed as a hero among many.

However, according to the definition of hero in the Oxford Dictionary, the word hero means:

"A person, typically a man, who is admired or idealized for courage, outstanding achievements, or noble qualities." [12]

The dictionary I used said nothing about vaccinating innocent, vulnerable children with untested and unsafe vaccinations, causing them to suffer agonizing, untimely deaths.

References

- * <http://healthimpactnews.com/2014/bill-melinda-gates-foundation-vaccine-empire-on-trial-in-india/>
- * <http://holyhormones.com/womens-health/cancer-womens-health...>
- * <http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2014-08-31...>
- * <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZEBGG7KFQpU>
- * https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dsxSIT_sBRk
- * <http://www.gatesfoundation.org/MediaCenter/Press-Releases...>
- * <http://tribune.com.pk/story/293191/vaccine-nation-globally-supported-company-is-funding-fatal-polio-shots/>
- * <http://pharmabiz.com/NewsDetails.aspx?aid=68352&sid=1>
- * <http://www.occupycorporatism.com/the-shocking-truth-about-syrian-polio-resurgence...>
- * <http://www.gavi.org/about/partners/bmgf/>
- * <http://www.blogtalkradio.com/publicadvocate...>
- * <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/american-english/hero>
- * <http://vactruth.com/2014/10/05/bill-gates-vaccine-crimes/>