

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067

Australia

Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



September 2014 No 804

***I try and ask my teachers to help me with the complication,
but how can they help when they're part of what's killing the nation;
I try to express my inner thought,
but that is not what we are taught.***

— Samson Royle



Reviewing the 9th International Holocaust Conference, Jerusalem/AlQuds

Samson Royle offers a Holocaust Revisionist Perspective

Day One

Before you read this report on the 9th International Holocaust conference it is necessary for me to put a couple of things straight. Firstly, I have never denied there was a Jewish Holocaust because I never even admitted there was one, furthermore as Dr Toben puts it: ***How can we deny that which never happened?***

The Holocaust story as it is presently propagated by essential principles asserting the impossible and of which "truly" define it and are easily deconstructed. For a complete deconstruction of the Holocaust I implore you to read [Newsletter 770](#).

The Holocaust deprives people of freedom of speech because it cannot withstand critical inquiry, and it also condemns the people of Germany to reparations, guilt and international condemnation of their "history" or should I say his-story. Therefore, if you find this report confronting I hope you understand where I'm coming from, because I treat the Holocaust and the liars, who either knowingly or unknowingly propagate these falsehoods, with the ridicule they deserve.

The 9th international Holocaust conference was a congregation of spineless individuals who reflected on

history's largest lie. Composed of many "academics" that have varying "credentials" the uninformed person will falsely perceive this conference to be somewhat professional. The saddest part is most of these academics seemed to believe what they were saying. Concocted primarily of half-truths and fabricated sob stories, the conference will bore any critical minded individual who asks questions and is not willing to take history on faith alone.

Day one consists of a lengthy introduction and reminiscence of Yad Vashem, which is where the conference is located – school dedicated to propagating the mainstream Holocaust story. Yad Vashem celebrates its 60th anniversary and this is the 9th International Holocaust Conference, which features "Survivor" stories. It places a great emphasis on the "importance" of spreading the orthodox Holocaust story around the globe, and this is done under the supposed pretext of preventing any such atrocities in the future. I quote:

It is an essential part of human rights studies, prevention of violations of human rights, hate crimes, intolerance.

If that were really the case, I would expect the Atlantic Slave trade, Holodomor or the tens of millions that

perished in the Soviet Gulags a more significant and more important topic for the youth to study. But, alas, that would not serve the agenda of international Jewry and, of course, it would shed light on the latter mentioned atrocities, which were all perpetrated by Jews.

This is not to mention the extreme hypocrisy contained within the above statement made by an Israeli citizen, if it is recalled that Israel is in violation of over 77 UN resolutions. Any intelligent individual with a proper perspective of history and current events will identify hypocrisy again and again at this conference.

*

Day One starts with an introduction by Dorit Novak who holds the title of Yad Vashem's director.



DORIT NOVAK

Her talk is very boring and somewhat incoherent as English is not her primary language. To someone with a revisionist viewpoint her talk is extremely unsatisfying; two notable statements:

This is an event that has to be remembered and learned and preserved for future generations.

This is the typical rhetoric associated with the Holocaust, spoken and taught as fact devoid of questioning or critical enquiry. She goes on to say:

The ability to say Holocaust Education is a profession,

which is a rather amusing statement because she is trying to make Holocaust "education" sound prestigious.



DR. EYAL KAMINKA

Next we have Dr Eyal Kaminka, Director ISHS of Yad Vashem speak - nothing significant to mention really, he just goes on about how stoked he is of the

attendance. His talk consists of ingratiating himself with the audience, and introducing the conference. After Eyal is done spreading his poison Dorit Novak comes back and rants on about how awesome deceased historian Professor Israel Gutman, 1923-2013, is for propagating the orthodox Holocaust story. Some people may find these speeches emotional and meaningful but I found them extremely boring and frustrating.



AVNER SHALEV

Next we have Avner Shalev, Chairman Yad Vashem Directorate whose speech I found the most interesting, and so I'll deconstruct his following quotations. Yad Vashem is a school, memorial and Archive. He boasts:

We have 20,000 written testimonies at Yad Vashem.

I wonder how many of these contain stories about Lampshades and Soap. All of the evidence and information he cites in his speech is either written testimony or reminiscing from experiences with "survivors". Not what we revisionists would consider conclusive when you analyze the credibility of the survivors. He goes on to say:

I want to describe what have happened, I want to know what happened, step by step very systematically, I am not going to tackle the question why it have happened, and what the meaning. Because I can't cope with it.

Now this is a very interesting statement. He wants to describe the Holocaust very vividly "step by step" but refuses to even contemplate why the Jews were in that situation.

This is because it does not serve the purpose of Jewish victimization, as the persecution of Jews in Germany is not surprising when you look at things from a holistic context, factoring in **the open declaration of war in 1933** for example!

Does he really not want to elaborate on these things because he **"can't cope with it"**? The answer to this question is far less psychologically traumatizing than the alleged way the Jews were killed which is always described in extreme detail of brutality and procedure.

Another notable quote when referring to "evidence": **Nuremberg was the first landmark, but the Shoah was only marginal to it, but the amount of material that was amassed was very important.**

Any revisionist knows that the "evidence" attained at Nuremberg was highly questionable much being outright lies. For example it was concluded that the Germans committed the [Katyn Forest Massacre](#) of 22,000 Polish officers, when it was really the Soviet NKVD Russians.


We also have the recollections of Judge Charles F Wenersturm and Judge L Van Roden who were disgusted at the Nuremberg proceedings due to how the Germans were treated, resulting in Charles's resignation.

It has also been proven that the Germans were tortured into confessing to a great many of fictitious things. The Soap and Lampshades come to mind as does Wolzek, a supposed death camp that did not even exist. The list goes on and on. Shalev goes on to say: **Bear in mind that in Israel we started to teach systematically the history of the Shoah or the Shoah as a topic only at the beginning of the 80s.**

This is a rather interesting admission, it sheds light on to the fact that the word Holocaust did not come into usage until the 1970s. During the 1970s the Holocaust story as it is now propagated was thrust into the public domain when the American Nazi party lead by Frank Collin received huge media coverage for their views on the Holocaust. They weren't "deniers", in fact Collin was quoted as saying:

Hitler killed 6 million Jews, he should have killed 6 million more.

Frank also propelled the concept of white supremacy despite the fact that Hitler never used the word white in reference to the European people.



Frank Collin the leader of the American Nazi Party, and a convicted pedophile, turned out to be a Jew, whose real name was Joseph Cohen. It goes to show the sly ways international Jewry has managed to concoct their version of the Holocaust and push it into the mainstream.

This is strategically playing both sides and leaving nothing to chance. A quote that comes to mind when mentioning Joseph Cohen is from Lenin, also a Jew: **The best way to control your opposition is to lead it.**

Avner continues:


Spielberg's was totally different...it brought awareness about the Shoah to the public sphere.

He is referring to the movie *Schindler's List* based on the book *Schindler's Ark*, later also re-named, by

Thomas Kenneally, which won the *LA Times* award for fiction! That didn't stop schools in Europe and the US from mandatorily showing it to schoolchildren...

So, now we can reflect on the three pieces of Holocaust "evidence" Shalev has thus far cited:

1. Eyewitnesses who have questionable credibility at best;
2. Nuremberg, which is really nothing but farcical trial as Germans were tortured for confessions, and
3. a movie based on a fictitious book... Hmmm! ...



Thomas Kenneally's book won the LA Times fiction award. The title changed to List for religious reasons

Here is another interesting quote concerning the importance of constantly perpetuating the Holocaust:

On one hand there is a need of teaching the Holocaust as part of a very universal globalization process, which is a very positive one...it is an essential part of human rights studies, prevention of violations of human rights, hate crimes, intolerance...

Not only does this statement insinuate that the Jews were singled out because of intolerance and hatred, which is completely false, but he would have you believe that this hatred materialized from nowhere.

But again, if this were really a humanitarian issue there are many more "holocausts" more deserving of such attention. **The Holodomor, Atlantic Slave Trade** and murderous **Gulags** come to mind, collectively claiming the lives of well over 200million innocents. All three are relatively unknown, and most people have never heard of the Holodomor. Is this because the Jews own all the major publishing houses, news conglomerates, newspapers and Hollywood?

They Sold you the war on Afghanistan and the war on Iraq



Almost everything you read and hear is controlled by the Jews, so it's understandable why a supposed

Holocaust by Gentiles towards Jews is constantly brought to public attention. Yet there are many Holocausts perpetrated by Jews towards Gentiles with death tolls exceeding the Jewish Holocaust over ten fold than what is alleged, 6 million, but these crimes are virtually unknown.

On the subject of human rights I could also at length explain the crimes perpetrated by Israel towards the Palestinians.

Shalev concludes his speech with an evaluation of the economic depravity in the western world and how such conditions will lead to xenophobia of people being different. Here he is basically insinuating that the Jews are scapegoated for being different and in these somewhat harsh times we can expect them to be scapegoated again, but we can avoid this if we "educate" children on the Holocaust.

Shalev's statement does have some basis, for example in Greece the nationalist party, Golden Dawn, is the fastest growing political party. Its members have been labeled as Anti-Semitic and likened to the Nazis because of their views towards Jews. But Greece is in extreme economic turmoil and this nationalist movement has surfaced because they are sick of the banks and corporations fleecing the Greek people, and it just so happens that behind all this calumny is the Jewish-controlled financial world.

This is just like it was in Germany because of the Zionist instigated Treaty of Versailles. So, yes, Jews are singled out in times of economic turmoil. They have been expelled from a total of 84 countries 109 times mostly under the pretext of usury, monopolization and their power grabbing behavior. So they essentially bring these things upon themselves.

David Irving one of the seminal revisionist historians of World War Two once stated:

The reason why they're disliked (Jews) is probably because they are perceived as being greedy.... Everything's theirs except they don't want the bullets that go with it; they don't want the bullets to go with the hatred that is generated by their own greed. Now this isn't anti-Semitism to say that, it's a pure statement of fact.

Irving's analogy is spot on. In all actuality this anti-Semitism materializes because the Jews are at the center of this economic depravity. They control all the major banks, the World Bank, The Federal Reserve, The Bank of England etc. The most recent example of persecution due to greed was the President of Uganda Idi Amin, who has now been consequently falsely portrayed in the movie "the last king of Scotland" as a psychopathic tyrannical dictator. But this is the case with anyone who opposes the criminal activities of the Jews.

Look at Hitler, the media vengeance towards him has been relentless, and like Hitler, Idi Amin, removed these Jewish criminals from his country for the benefit of his economy and his people.

Hitler arrested Baron Louis de Rothschild

Germany Reported Not Satisfied With \$600,000 for Release of Rothschild

LONDON, Aug. 29 (CP-REX)—German authorities are not satisfied with a \$120,000 (almost \$300,000 "ransom" offered for the release of Baron Louis de Rothschild and have demanded control of the Wittkowitz works, biggest industrial concern in Czechoslovakia, in addition to the money, according to The Daily Herald (Labor). The Austrian Rothschilds offered the \$120,000 for the release of the noted financier, held by the Nazis since Austro-German Anschluss, the newspaper said. The French branch of the family also declared itself willing to make a considerable contribution, it added.

MAJORITY SHAREHOLDERS

The Austrian Rothschilds were said to hold 51 per cent of all the shares of the Wittkowitz concern. The remainder of the shares is owned by a Czech bank which purchased them from another Austrian family, the Guttmanns, for \$6,000,000. The Daily Herald asserted: The attitude taken yesterday by Magistrate Herbert McCallie when he sentenced three German refugees to six-month prison terms at hard labor for having illegally entered Great Britain was criticised by the press today. Mr. McCallie declared: "The war has driven Jews from Germany and forcing it from every part of this country is becoming an outrage. I intend to enforce the law to the fullest."

Germany Reported Not Satisfied With \$600,000 for Release of Rothschild

TALKING TOO MUCH "It is recorded that when Mr. McCallie he was advised against talking too much when it was his duty under the law, to found homeless and helpless refugees, but he really might try to do it with less obvious pleasure." The News Chronicle (Liberal) declared. The Daily Herald also rebuked the magistrate: "It does not seem altogether easy to reconcile either the language of such a sentence with the promise given last March by the Home Secretary of sympathetic consideration for those who, for political or religious reasons, have to leave their own country."

Idi Amin was quoted as saying, English was not his first language:

But I must make absolutely truth, the reason why I chased them from Uganda (the Jews) was because of the economy of Uganda, Uganda was going to be bankrupt that is the reason why I chased away...

This is relevant because its not about Jews being different, like Shalev stated, its about their actions. Thusly when you put things in a proper context Shalev's conclusion is just laughable. He would have you believe that 109 expulsions are everybody else's fault except the Jews.

Here is a link for a short video on Idi Amin, including the previous mentioned quote: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tTVU8ru_vIQ&list=UU-gj9lkX_R-DO_0wD_npDug.



PROF. STEVEN T. KATZ

Next we have Prof Steven Katz the Slater Professor of Jewish Holocaust Studies, Boston University. He begins with an introduction, painfully flattering primarily Jews who sought out Nazi "War criminals" after the war. It's always confused me why so-called German war criminals have been chased around the globe decades after the war, yet Soviet war criminals responsible for the horrific Gulags and mass rape of German women have never been brought to justice? Is it because the Soviet government was dominated and Communism precipitated by Jews?

A short video is then played depicting various Jewish children from France who were supposedly deported to Auschwitz and gassed with, of course solemn music in the background; there is no sourcing of evidence as to how they arrived at these conclusions.



SERGE KLARSFELD ARNO KLARSFELD

After the slideshow there is a conversational discussion between Serge Klarsfeld and Arno Klarsfeld, "Survivor", father & son:

So you were also a child during the war and you could have also been deported to Auschwitz.

To the average person who can not think critically there is no place in the world when its name is spoken, that is more synonymous with evil than that of Auschwitz.

Of course if you study what Auschwitz was really like, although not a holiday home, it was certainly better than the Jewish-run Soviet Gulags where people were worked to death on a regular basis and fed boiled grass.

Compared to Auschwitz, where there was a dietary section in the inmate's canteen, camp currency, a theatre and even a swimming pool. So being "deported to Auschwitz" really isn't that big of a deal, and I believe survivors of the Gulags are more deserving to be revered and given reparations – or even the Germans who survived the firebombing Holocaust on Dresden.

Arno then rants on about what supposedly happened when he was a child during the war years.

Criminalizing the Germans and portraying his father as a hero, his father supposedly built a secret door at the back of a cupboard and Arno hid there when the Germans came to capture all the Jews, his father was taken to Auschwitz... apparently. But indeed many Jews like to reminisce on the loved ones lost in a myth they call the Holocaust.

He later states in reference to his career.

We had to obtain a law in Germany, and its difficult to obtain a law, which gave to the German justice the possibility to judge the criminals, Germany didn't want that law, the political parties didn't want also....so we had to exert a pressure on the German society.....and to pressure we were very weak, we could exert that (pressure) only by very strong actions, and that strong actions were attempt of kidnapping, occupation of apartments of criminals, to act illegally and to be arrested to plead in the tribunal, the fact that we will go on as long as the German parliament will not vote a law to judge these criminals....and we obtained that.

He is very open stating the criminal behavior he was engaged in, including kidnapping and harassment.

How can this not be condemned in a conference, which is supposed to center around human rights? Nobody is disturbed at the conference because after all these were just evil Nazis right?



Prof. Yehuda Bauer

Next we have Professor Yehuda Bauer with some notable quotes:

The event that is in accurately described as Holocaust, meaning the genocide of the Jewish people at the hands of Nazi Germany its Allies its supporters and collaborators and so on, has become a world issue.

Were the Jews deliberately subjected to genocide as decreed by the Reich government? There is no German document that can attest to this. Or were the dead and dying Jews found postwar merely a consequence of a nation that was being crushed in a catastrophic war? A nation, which was incapable of feeding its soldiers and citizens, let alone its prisoners in the concentration camps?

Human history has been full of mass murder and brutalities and sadism, in that respect the Holocaust is not special at all. But because it targeted a certain group of people not just locally, not just continentally, but universally in intention to be utterly annihilated to the last person of that group, with modern technological means.

Again, there is no proof that such a plan was contemplated. It may be hard to accept this reality due to the fact we have been psychologically conditioned over the decades by the media and Hollywood. We are therefore burdened with these emotional hooks that are implanted deep in our conscience, but if one is to evaluate history on primary sources and not on fictitious movies, then there is no such evidence. The Jews were subjected to resettlement and deportation, which is nothing new for them in fact it has happened 109 times before.

The first historian that wrote about the Holocaust.... Hilberg you know dealt with the German side he was interested and I've never put it before me, in how it happened.

Maybe because it didn't happen the way Hollywood puts it?

When I asked him (Raul Hilberg) point blank, 'Why don't you answer the question, not how they did it, but why they did it?', he said: 'Because I don't want to ask big questions in case I come up with very small answers'.

The answer as to why the Jews were singled out for deportation and resettlement, not utter annihilation, is lengthy indeed. The list of their crimes against the Germans is nothing less than sabotaging the nation in World War One, and plunging it into social and economic chaos. Bauer then gives a number of survivor testimonies and life stories because no other "Evidence" can be given.

Prof Steven Katz then concludes Day One with a few words.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-4IWFsdNqjk>

Day Two



[Ephraim Kaye](#)

Ephraim Kaye introduces the conference commending the audience of “educators” – who have probably never heard of the Holodomor or Israel’s deliberate attack on the USS Liberty – for being there and reflects on the sirens from the previous day, presumably from the horrific homemade Palestinian rocket attacks that haven’t killed anyone for years, thanks to the US funded Iron Dome Defence System. He then runs through the evacuation drill and goes on to say:

This is a tough time for all of us and it may get tougher, but thank you for being here with us during this time and we will have this conference.

No doubt the audience of “educators” are subliminally reinforced with the fraud that is the Judaic claim of victimization. Indeed, the people of Palestine who do not have an army, a single plane or tank, and who are besieged by the 4th largest military in the world, are indeed the victims suppressing poor innocent Jews. Or so they would have you believe.

A small excerpt from Claude Lanzmann’s 1985 documentary *Shoah* is then played where a “survivor” is walking down a dirt road and then arrives at an open field.



“Survivor” reminiscing on the 6 Gazillions

He remarks:

It’s hard to recognize, but it was here. They burned people here, a lot of people were burnt here...Yes this is the place.

No one ever left here again. The gas vans came in here. There were two huge ovens and afterward, the bodies were thrown into these ovens and the flames reached the sky. Interviewer: “To the Sky?” “Yeah....it was terrible. No one can describe it. No one can recreate what happened here. Impossible. And no one can understand it. Even I, here, now.

I couldn’t help but laugh after watching that, which may sound disgusting to the average person who bases their world view on the propaganda pumped out by the Jewish news – but it’s laughable when you examine the facts.

This is hardly evidence of anything, except a mentally deficient individual. To put it in the words of Herman Rosenblatt:

Yes, it wasn’t true, but in my imagination it was true.



Supposed site of mass genocide of Jews

First off, for flames to reach the sky when cremating bodies is an impossibility, not to mention there is no evidence that a crematoria even existed in the barren field to the left.

Furthermore if “**No one ever left here**” then how did he survive? This is another week example of the caliber of “evidence” presented at the conference.

Ephraim Kaye then sits down with three Jewish historians and they reflect on the movie *Shoah*.



[Shulamit Imber](#) [ANNETTE INSDORF](#) [Liat Benhabib](#)

Prof. Annette Insdorf then gives a lengthy description of the cinematography. She states

The peaceful landscape can not articulate its past horrors.

Indeed, because as Dr Töben stated, ***The Holocaust has no reality in space and time only in memory***, we then have Liat Benhabib a director at Yad Vashem give some history on the movie and she touches on the Eichmann trial – all very boring.

An interesting quote:

In the 40s 50s and 60s many films came out less about the Holocaust but more about the war.... Alain Resnais film, Night and Fog, was the first one in 1955 that really speaks about the Nazi atrocities; the Holocaust is not mentioned there.... But Nazi atrocities and the crimes against humanity.

Here is another startling admission like in the previous day’s conference, which again sheds light on the fact that the Holocaust story, and how it is propagated at present, is a modern creation that had no significance during the war and at least a decade after the war. Until the advent of the American Nazi party lead by the Jew Joseph Cohen.

Another excerpt from the movie *Shoah* is then shown. The entirety of the movie is 9hrs long and consists only of eyewitness testimony for its evidential basis, which is not reliable or conclusive to say the least when factoring in the record of the so-called survivors. Then Shulamit Imber and a number of other Yad Vashem directors reflect on this excerpt. A long boring discussion on the movie *Shoah* persists as well as how this film can be made relevant for (brainwashing) present and future generations. Another excerpt is shown featuring a German guard who worked at Treblinka by the name of Franz Schomel. Some notable quotes:

We were told the Führer ordered a resettlement program. It's an order from the Führer.

Franz goes on to say:

No one ever spoke of killing.

The first comment is exactly what Holocaust Revisionist argue, i.e. that the **Final Solution** as mentioned in the Evian and Wansee conferences, and also the Luther memorandum among many other German documents had nothing to do with extermination. They referred to the **Final Solution** as the **Territoriale Endlösung**, which was one of deportation and emigration but not of mass extermination.



[Gabriella Battaini-Dragoni](#)

After the break we have Gabriella Battaini-Dragoni Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe. Some relevant quotes:

Remembrance of the Holocaust is our duty. The Holocaust taught us that it is not only morally wrong but wrong and disastrous to close our eyes to the warning signs, or to minimize their extent or seriousness. The threats of racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism and intolerance remain present on the European continent. They re-emerge in the political discourse and find new expressions through electronic means of communication on social networks.

The last sentence of the quote is later emphasized calling for a more extensive campaign on suppressing the truth under the guise of "hate speech". She then goes on about intolerance; hate speech and diversity completely hypocritical of the nation she is speaking in. Israel has the strictest immigration laws in the world and segregates the Arab and Jewish populations, not to mention condemning the Palestinians to a complete system of utter apartheid. This dual standard is prevalent throughout the whole conference.

As for Israel's open hate speech, in 2001 Chief Sephardic Rabbi Ovadia Yosef, close friend and advisor of Israel Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, had this to say about Gentiles, as reported in the *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*:

Goyim were born only to serve us. Without that, they have no place in the world; only to serve the People of Israel," he said during a public discussion of what kind of work non-Jews are allowed to perform on Shabbat.

Why are gentiles needed? They will work, they will plow, they will reap. We will sit like an effendi and eat," he said to some laughter.

Yosef, the spiritual leader of the Shas Party and the former chief Sephardi rabbi of Israel, also said that the lives of non-Jews are protected as Jews should do so for a donkey, in order to prevent financial loss to Jews.

"With gentiles, it will be like any person: They need to die, but God will give them longevity. Why? Imagine that one's donkey would die, they'd lose their money. This is his servant. That's why he gets a long life, to work well for this Jew.

On Palestinians Yosef had the following to say:

May the Holy Name visit retribution on the Arab heads, and cause their seed to be lost, and annihilate them. It is forbidden to have pity on them. We must give them missiles with relish, annihilate them. Evil ones, damnable ones. – 2001 Passover sermon, Haaretz, April 12, 2001.



[Prof. Daniel Jonah Goldhagen](#)

Next Prof Daniel Goldhagen gives a speech, which could easily be described as racist toward Germans; he insinuates that all Germans were evil. David Irving described Daniel Goldhagen after publishing his book *Hitler's willing executioners* as a "mentally deficient individual", I could not have put it better myself.

Goldhagen's speech is centered on the supposed perpetrators.

This is remarkable, 40 years after the fact, the greatest crime in human history, and yet the people who were the criminals, the people who pulled the triggers and so on had been eclipsed from review.

Goldhagen is referring to his book, *Hitler's willing executioners*, and how at the time there was no major scholarship done on the supposed perpetrators. He also calls the Holocaust "**the greatest crime in history**" which is just absurd considering it never happened.

The vast majority of men in the units we know about who had the opportunity to get out of the slaughter of unarmed men, women and children who happened to be Jewish. The vast majority of them essentially chose to kill Jews.

How he arrives at this conclusion is beyond me. What about the Jewish-led Bolsheviks who mass raped millions of German women? What about the Gulag System operated almost exclusively by Jews where millions were worked to death? The entire aura of this conference is devoid of proper historical context.



If you're told to kill someone you do not have to do what the Germans did, which was to beat them (the Jews) torture them, degrade them, mock them, humiliate them. They did it so frequently that the Germans themselves in the post war legal testimonies have said repeatedly using this phrase "**beating Jews was our daily bread**".

This is extremely hard to believe when the Germans issued currency to the Jews in many of the camps, dietary sections, soccer fields and had a swimming pool at Auschwitz. He is portraying the Germans as being less than human, which is in line with Jewish Hollywood's relentless demonization over the decades. All of Goldhagen's victim propaganda will be inserting

emotional hooks in the conferences foreign audience, making it near impossible to reform the lies.

Indeed crimes were committed against Jews but they were crimes because these people were innocent not because they were Jewish. They were crimes committed by soldiers who abused their power, every nation possesses people like this, and the Americans did it in Vietnam.

But these were not crimes ordered by the German government and thusly crimes of which the Germans should be forced to compensate for. As Goldhagen says:

The same people who were fighting in the streets of Weimar Republic to overthrow, the then lawful authority of Weimar, are suddenly supposedly blindly devoted to state authority during the Nazi period, makes no sense.

Well, it does make sense if you read "Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany". The Nazi regime uplifted the Germans from social chaos and the German nation from bankruptcy, the year before Hitler came to power over 200,000 Germans committed suicide because of the horrible conditions. Thus there is ample reason for a revolution. What reason did they have to revolt against Hitler? Under his leadership Germany was now leading the world in technology, science and medicine.

Of course Goldhagen would describe a society dominated by Jews (Weimar Republic) relentlessly suppressing the gentile populace and fleecing them of their wealth as "lawful" such is the mindset of the racist Talmudic supremacist Jew.

Peer pressure, social psychological pressure cannot be a general explanation for why the perpetrators in general did what they did.

If you're told to kill somebody and you don't want to do it, you certainly don't have to torture and beat and degrade and humiliate them first, yet this was a constituent feature of the Holocaust.

The above two quotations prove conclusively that Daniel as Irving stated is mentally deficient and likely suffers from the same psychological condition as Herman Rosenblatt. He has an obsession with demonizing Germans and victimizing Jews.

His speech is then concluded with an excerpt of a Holocaust Documentary featuring Daniel. There is no evidence that even a single Jew was gassed so for him to assert that they were humiliated and beaten before hand is beyond me.



[Alexandra Zapruder](#)

Alexandra Zapruder then gives a boring speech reflecting on children's diaries during the Holocaust.



[Michel Kichka](#)

Michel Kichka a second-generation Holocaust "survivor" then speaks about his life and latest book also touching on his father who survived Auschwitz... the most luxurious of WW2's concentration camps equipped with a theater, swimming pool, issuance of camp currency to inmates and soccer fields all at the survivor's leisure. Provided they did their work after all the sign reads "Arbeit Macht Frei" which means, "Work makes you free". Although we are led to believe there is rather some sinister implication. Oh, and did I mention the inmates' canteen had a dietary section.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gtwz-rJ7gG4>

Day Three



[Dr. Qian Tang](#)

First to speak is Dr. Qian Tang, Assistant Director General for Education, UNESCO:

UESCO believes the genocide of the Jewish people was a defining event in history, it is a shared heritage of humanity and therefore must be taught across generations in schools throughout the world.

What he meant to say was: Jews control the world and in order to keep people from looking in their direction while they engage in their many criminal activities, including brewing up WW3, we must brainwash the youth on a global scale with a dramatized, falsified version of history that will keep people from looking in their direction. While simultaneously covering up and keeping them ignorant of far larger crimes that were perpetrated by Jews.

Indeed one of the reasons education about the Holocaust is essential today is because it will help young people understand better how mass violence can be prevented in the future.

Meanwhile 2000+ Palestinians civilians in Gaza have been murdered by the IDF between 1-7 August 2014.

In the fight against prejudice, racism, anti-Semitism and Holocaust denial we need commitment.

So he condemns prejudice and racism but obviously not when it is espoused by Jews as he is speaking in Israel the most racist state on earth. "Anti-Semitism" as Archibald Maule Ramsay stated:

The phrase "anti-Semite" is merely a propaganda word used to stampede the unthinking public into dismissing the whole subject from their minds without examination: so long as that is tolerated these evils will not only continue, but grow worse.

– Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay, in his book, *The Nameless War*.

I could rant on about how Arabs are actually also Semites, and Khazars are technically not, therefore the Khazarian Israelis murdering Palestinians – who are Semites – are the real Anti-Semites. But let's not try and make sense of nonsense.

I am glad that he mentioned "Holocaust Denial". At least Revisionism has had enough of an impact to be mentioned, and although no Revisionist has denied there was a Holocaust, such a denial would imply there was one to begin with.



[Dr. Eyal Kaminka](#)

Next Eyal Kaminka, Director of ISHS, Yad Vashem, discusses the differences between generations and how we can find common ground to relate to generation "Z" – those born after 1995 – so they can be educated on the Holocaust. A very boring speech outlining the "challenges" faced with Holocaust education. Nothing notable to mention.



[Prof. Yossi Matias](#)

Afterwards Yossi Matias, Managing Director Israel R&D Center, Google, speaks on Technology, Experience and the Holocaust. He discusses how technology has made certain materials much more easily accessible, such as the Eichmann trial and photographs. But he hopes the easy access to information via the Internet does not ruin the "experience" felt when speaking to a "survivor" or visiting a camp such as Auschwitz. He hopes that technology does not deter the new generations from wanting to experience these things.



[Dr. Stephen D. Smith](#)

Next is Dr. Stephen Smith the Executive Director, USC Shoah Foundation, he talks lengthily about "I Witness" an online site designed for students and teachers on Holocaust education. An interesting quote: **But one thing that has occurred to me this week, was that I was here in 9-11.**

Dr. Smith was at a previous Holocaust Conference when the Mossad engineered the destruction of the twin towers. He then has a lengthy rant on how the Holocaust has become a central part of the Rwandan curriculum. I personally think the Rwandan genocide would be a more suitable topic but I guess the Jews control Rwanda as well. *IWitness*, which was launched about 1 year ago, boasts of having over 52,000 eyewitness (lie-witness) recordings.



The last speaker is Dr Haim Gertner Director of Yad Vashem Archives, Yad Vashem. It's just absurd that all of these people have doctorates.



[Dr. Haim Gertner](#)

Yad Vashem from the very beginning and still is, has the largest archive with Holocaust related material, we have around 170million pages of documents.

More boasting on behalf of the eyewitnesses, undoubtedly 90+% of these pages are exaggerated and fraudulent recollections. It's really not surprising as the *Talmud* permits lying to the Goyim.

A Jew may lie to circumvent a gentile – Babba Kama 113a

We collect more than 1 thousand testimonies every year from Holocaust survivors.

These people just don't stop; they don't know when to stop! Some documents and testimonies are then shown, which do emphasize that the Jews were going through tough times. They were mistreated and suffered all manner of depravations, which no serious revisionist will contest, in the ghettos and elsewhere, but that is not what the Holocaust is alleged to be.

Every race and religion suffered during WW2 not just the Jews, and as Ernst Zündel said:

The Jews have no copyright on pain, they inflicted far more pain than was inflicted on them.

--Conference is then summarized--


David Irving once said:

I don't say the Holocaust lie because a lie implies it's a malicious lie and people have been spreading it knowingly.

After watching the Holocaust conference I have come to the conclusion that these people sincerely believe what they were saying, and simply wanted to spread awareness of something they have not been able to see in its proper context.

All jokes aside, I could not detect any malicious intent, with the exception of Daniel Goldhagen, who is nothing more than an incessant liar who harbors extreme prejudice toward all Germans.

I thought I would be angry with these people but instead I felt bored and extremely sorry for them.



- Greatest chess player of all time -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=titeEkoZPlw>

Did you know about the Holocaust essay papers industry? Yes, it's not a hoax! Read what it says:

"Here you can easily hire a private writer in as early as 5 minutes. With 200+ writers available 24/7, we can help with any written assignment (from simple essays to dissertations). Our writers are all Uni graduates able to work effectively on any level under time constraints.

Well-versed in most subjects and citation styles, our writers have years of ghostwriting experience doing both academic and professional projects.

<http://www.customessaymeister.com/customessaytopics/Holocaust.htm>

Standing Alone on Truth

By Samson Royle

For thousands of years they have plagued the earth,
Victimizing those in every land in which they dwell,
I try to educate people for all it's worth,
Already 109 expulsions, will people ever wake up?
Only time will tell.

History should be renamed His-Story,
For it is the traitor who has written and propagated these lies,
To cover up their crimes the truth is now a mystery,
Stand back, examine, investigate and open your eyes.

They ran the Atlantic slave trade, they owned the ships ,
They manned the boats,
Resulting in over 100 million African deaths,
They admit to these facts go and read their quotes,

They discovered the Americas and conquered the Indians,
Indulging in an Orgy of mass murder, kidnapping, rape and torcher,
They would eradicate hundreds of tribes sending tens of millions to oblivion,

They conquered the Incas,
They did it for gold,
20 million dead conservatively,
Some more facts you've never been told

They were behind the Armenian Genocide,
1.5 million Armenian Christians dead,
Are you now beginning to identify,
How you have been mislead,

They spawned communism and funded the Bolshevik revolution,
They occupied all the prominent positions in communist Russia,
Condemning tens of millions to the gulags and to execution,

They confiscated all of the food in the Ukraine,
Condemning 11 million to starvation and death in what is now known as the Holodomor,
These people are parasites, scum inhumane,
They also engineered the first and second world war,

They run the media, they own the banks,
Slaughtering 1.5million Palestinians and stealing their land,
Yet they're the victims? The ones with the tanks?
This is the height of lunacy why can't you understand?

They deliberately attacked the USS Liberty without consequence,
They bombed American installations in the Lavon Affair,
Yet they receive tens of billions in aid every year,
These facts alone prove their dominance,

They were behind the mass murder on 9-11,
They instigated the fictitious war on terror,
2 million people dead in Iraq,
Was the allegation of WMD's really just an error?

Yet they cry persecution in the face of all their crimes committed against all races of peoples,
And whine about the loved ones lost,
In a myth they call the Holocaust.

They control the compulsory education,
Which really means brainwashed and neatly combed;
There's mercury in the vaccination,
And the border of education is Jewish owned.

Many don't know who is pulling the strings,
Those who do are considered crazy or paranoid;
The International Jew is responsible for these things!
Now take a stand before our world is destroyed.

We are indeed in a struggle with the few;
Most who are aware feel helpless and give in,
But I will never submit to the Jew,
The parasite cannot win!

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

THE AUSTRALIAN,
AUGUST 11, 2014 12:00AM

This Jewish chick won't be scared by anonymous cowards

TO all those cowards out there who threaten our children under the guise of drunkenness, who paint anti-Jewish graffiti on our schools under the cover of darkness, and who write and shout anti-Semitic posts and slogans under the mask of anonymity and the pretext of events in the Middle East, I say this: for centuries we were persecuted, impoverished, discriminated against, hated and victimised. For centuries we survived, regrouped and thrived. For millennia we defied the laws of nature and the laws of history.

"Never again" is not just a phrase for us but a principled cause. We are a people proud of the gifts we have given mankind, proud of surviving against all odds, and confident that we have much more to offer in the future.

Though few in number and spread to the four corners of Earth, we survived as a people, never assimilating into anonymity.

So crawl back into your holes, because this is one chick who isn't scared and won't be cowered by your deeds. My people will live after you're long gone because hate never wins and justice and righteousness are not the product of a popular vote but the foundation on which all civilisation is established.

Alla Pilman, North Bondi, NSW

IN their threat to boycott *The Sydney Morning Herald* over the departure of columnist Mike Carlton, Muslim groups are confirming their support for terrorist group Hamas and its declared intent to eradicate Israel.

If Palestinians want peace, there is a straight forward solution for those living in Gaza — rid the strip of Hamas and accept the fact that the Israelis have made three attempts to establish a two-state solution. These offers would have guaranteed Palestinian autonomy

and, eventually, statehood. In the long run, these offers provide a template for a solution to this protracted conflict and Australian Muslim groups should recognise that in their support of Hamas they are undermining any hope of peace for the Palestinian people.

Phil Herd, Kangaloon, NSW

Christianity in denial

WHILE agreeing with John Bunyan's general proposition that the Bible's good bits outweigh the bad, I am afraid he is wishful in thinking that "hardly any" Christians and Jews take it as the literal word of God (Letters, 9/8).

Unfortunately, Protestant Christianity bases itself on sola scriptura that implies just that. Martin Luther believed non-believers would go to hell — and so do many Christians today. In fact Christianity in general grounds itself in his doctrine of sola fide (faith alone), which relegates the good deeds Bunyan speaks of, to a kind of optional extra. Luther's idea of good deeds was expressing a violent hatred of Jews that many historians believe made anti-Semitism viral in Germany and culminated in the Holocaust.

Christianity is in deep denial about this, and many other such anomalies, and it should reprise its theology to accentuate the more humanistic values Bunyan and most of us cherish. Otherwise, if the West were to relapse into poverty, Christianity could easily find itself in much the same predicament as Islam today.

Tom Drake-Brockman, Berrilee, NSW

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/tablet-t3/tablet-t3/this-jewish-chick-wont-be-scared-by-anonymous-cowards/story-fnmc7fzi-1227019751374>

From: David Hicks-Fan DaH.F2014@gmx.com

Sent: Tuesday, 19 August 2014 2:17 PM

Subject: Ongoing problems of Section 18C of RDAC

David Hicks-Fan

OPEN LETTER TO

Senator Christine Milne BA-Hons, Cert.Educ.-Tas.

Leader of the Australian Greens, Australian Senate, Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Senator Milne,

I refer to your speech with regard to section 18 C of the Racial Discrimination Act in the Australian Senate of Tuesday, 17 June 2014. Your speech is produced on following video:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C9M7hT1V_cM

To your speech I respond herewith as follows:

Under section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code you can indeed be jailed for defaming, insulting and sullyng the memory of the dead of the Holocaust. It applies only to the dead of the Jews and no other people, which is in itself unfavourable to other people, for example Gypsies and the people in the Firestorm of Dresden, etc., who were brutally exterminated during WW2. <http://www.countercurrents.com/2013/09/the-true-foundations-of-the-nuremberg-tribunal/>

An explanation to this I found in the following article, which headline states: "Gay Jews have 'higher souls' than gentiles, says Israeli deputy minister"

<http://www.haaretz.com/news/national/1.566021>

Nobody in the World gives a damn when an artist produced "Piss Christ",

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Piss_Christ

which defames, insults and sullies the memory of the brutal death of the God of the Christians by the Romans on behalf of the Jews and which defames, insults and sullies the memory of the brutal death of Christians in the Roman Colosseum.

A messianic Jew, Brother Nathanael talks how Jews make a mockery hate on Jesus Christ:

<http://www.realjewishnews.com/?p=651>

Would section 18 C of the Racial Discrimination Act also be applicable in these mockery hate on Jesus Christ? But well, Christians have to love sinners and forgive them, haven't they? Very well worked out by the ones, who invented Christianity, isn't it?

The section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code itself is in breach of democratic laws. For example, if someone accuses some - one of having murdered his son, but the accused denies this, this accused has a right to maintain to be innocent, even though he may get convicted on weak circumstantial evidence. The convicted one has a right to deny that he murdered the son and to maintain also to be innocent after the conviction in jail. Nobody in the World would go and jail this convicted person for defaming, insulting and sullyng the memory of the family's dead son, who was brutally murdered. Many people assume that once a trial is over, the case is done once and for all. However, sometimes cases can be retried.

Reasons for a re - trial include attorney misconduct or mistrial in the original trial, or an error which made the trial unfair. The convicted murderer has a democratic right in jail to search for fresh and compelling evidence to get a retrial. A Court of an appeal can allow or order a retrial on the basis of fresh and compelling evidence, a tainted conviction or an administration of justice offence, that the convicted one did not get a fair trial and can order a re - investigation.

For that reason in 2014 an inquiry, headed by Justice Brian Ross Martin, found there had been "a substantial miscarriage of justice", in the Eastman case. Eastman "did not receive a fair trial", the forensic evidence on which the conviction was based was "deeply flawed" and recommended the conviction be quashed.

<http://www.eastmaninquiry.org.au/>

According to section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code, Mr. Eastman and Justice Brian Ross Martin are guilty for defaming, insulting and sullyng the memory of the dead Mr. Colin Winchester, the former ACT Policing Chief Police Officer, who was brutally exterminated.

In another case, Mr. Ziggy Pohl of Queanbeyan, NSW was wrongly convicted of the 1973 murder of his wife Joyce and served ten years in prison:

<http://www.miscarriagesofjustice.com.au/pohl.html>

Mr. Pohl maintained his innocence at all the time and according to today's Holocaust-Philosophy, he was a denier and guilty of defaming, insulting and sullyng the memory of his dead wife Joyce! On 8th September, 1990, Roger G Bawden walked into the Queanbeyan Police Station and confirmed to murdering Mrs. Pohl. In 1992, the NSW Governor granted Mr. Ziggy Pohl an unconditional pardon. Accordingly Germans have a right too, to maintain their innocence in the Holocaust-convictions and look for for fresh - and compelling evidence to get a re-trial.

The Germans and people like Dr. F. Toben have a right to look for fresh and compelling evidence in the Holocaust - case, because the Nuremberg trial had stirred considerable controversy in the USA. Mr. Jackson's predecessor as US Supreme Court Associate Justice (and shortly to become Chief Justice) Harlam Fiske Stone remarked at the time: 'Jackson is away conducting his high - grade lynching party . I don't mind what he does to the Nazis, but I hate to see the pretense that he is running a court and proceeding according to common law. This is a little to sanctimonious a fraud to met my old - fashioned ideas.'

Stone's was not a lone voice either. Speaking in 1947, Congressman John Rankin of Mississippi commented on the ongoing legal proceedings in Nuremberg by saying: "As a representative of the American people I desire to say that what's taking place in Nuremberg, Germany, is a disgrace to the United States...."

Another congressman, Lawrence H. Smith of Wisconsin, expressed a similar view, declaring in 1949: "The Nuremberg trials are so repugnant to the Anglo-Saxon principles of justice that we must forever be ashamed of that page in our history..... The Nuremberg farce represents a revenge policy at its worst"!

On the one hand, the founding ethos of the United Nations was partially built at the trial and with it the basis of the modern world order. More controversially, the cornerstones of evidence for the Holocaust were laid at Nuremberg. If then the trail really was some sort of lynching party and nothing more, is it reasonable to doubt its conclusion?" Source: "From Nuremberg to Nineveh" by Mark Turkey, pg. 14.

US Supreme Court Chief Justice Harlam Fiske Stone' s fears of the conduct of a high-grade lynching party are also raised in a different manner by the book of Jewish writer John Sack, "An Eye for an Eye":

http://www.iohnsack.com/dictionary_of_literary_biography_an_eye_for_an_eye.htm

It is an established fact, that confessions of the defendants were obtained by torture at Nuremberg. A US Army Commission of inquiry consisting of Pennsylvania Judge Edward van Rhoden and Texas Supreme Court Judge Gordon Simpson officially

confirmed the charges of gross abuse. German defendants, they found, were routinely tortured at the "war crimes" trials in Dachau. Included in the torture was to kick in the testicles of the defendants beyond repair. Source: <http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v12/v12p167>Webera.html>
[http://greatwhitedesert.org/dir/index.php?title=Injustice at Nuremberg](http://greatwhitedesert.org/dir/index.php?title=Injustice_at_Nuremberg)

Iowa Supreme Court Justice Charles f. Wennersturm, who sat on one of the tribunals trying lesser alleged Nazi war criminals after the war, pointed out in a celebrated and controversial interview given to a reporter of the Chicago Daily Tribune that frequently the interrogators and some of the prosecutors were Jews who had fled Nazi Germany and came back in Allied uniforms to torment and seek revenge on the National Socialists who had wanted to expel the Jews from European living space because they considered them harmful to the war effort and to Western European civilization! Source: Chicago Daily Tribune (1923 - 1963); Feb.23, 1948, pg 1 "Nazi Trial Judge rips 'Injustice'", by Hal Foust:

"NUERNBERG, Feb. 20 (Delayed). The Iowa Supreme Court Justice who presided over the recent trial of eight German generals who were sent to prison for war crimes is returning home this week, disillusioned and 15 pounds lighter. He is Charles F. Wennerstrum, 59, of Charlton, Ia., a native of Cambridge, I11, and a member of the Iowa Supreme bench since, 1941.'.....'If I had known seven months ago what I know today', he told friends as he packed to leave for America, 'I would never have come here.'.....'Most of the evidence in the trials was documentary, selected from the large tonnage of captured records. The selection was made by the prosecution. The defense had access only to those documents which the prosecution considered material to the case'.....'Our tribunal introduced a rule of procedure that when the prosecution introduced an excerpt from a document, the entire document should be made available to the defense for presentation as evidence. The prosecution protested vigorously'.....'Justice Denied'.....'Gen. Taylor (Brig.Gen. Telford Taylor, Chief of the prosecution) tried out of court to call a meeting of the presiding judges to rescind this order. It was not the attitude of any conscientious officer of the court seeking full justice'.....'Also abhorrent to the American sense of justice is the prosecution's reliance upon self - incriminating statements made by the defendants while prisoners for more than 2 1/2 years, and repeated interrogation without presence of counsel. Two and - half years of confinement in a form of duress in itself'.....'The lack of appeal," replied the judge, "leaves me with a feeling that justice has been denied."

Chris Dodd wrote about his father Thomas Dodd, who was acting Chief of Counsel of US Chief-prosecutor Justice Robert H. Jackson. His book excerpts a September, 1945 letter from his father "....You will understand when I tell you that this staff (here) is about seventy-five percent Jewish. Now my point is that the Jews should stay away from this trial - for their own sake. For - mark this well - the charge 'a war for the Jews' is still being made and in the post-war years it will be made again and again. The too large

percentage of Jewish men and women here will be cited as proof of this charge....."

Source: <http://mondoweiss.net/2007/10/a-war-for-the-i.html>

Also some of the prosecutors of the Allied dissented from the Nuremberg trials, like Mr. Francis Parker Yockey, who was a post-trial reweiw attorney. Willis Carto, stated that when Yockey was called before his superior, presumably Sonenfield, he was told: "**We don't want this type of report. This has entirely the wrong slant. You'll have to rewrite these reports to conform to the official viewpoint.**" **Yockey is said to have responded that he was "a lawyer, not a journalist. You'll have to write your own propaganda.**" Source W. Carto, "Introduction," Imperium, op. cit. xi - xii, Dr. Charles E. Weber served in the US-Army during the Second World War. He worked in various intelligence assignments, including examination of the records of the German Supreme Command (OKW) in the Fechenheim Document Center in connection with the Nuremberg Trials. Thus he knew first hand what was going on, because he was in the nerve - centre of the Nuremberg Trials. Why would a man like him be critical about the Holocaust?

Source: <http://codoh.com/library/authors/2031/>

U.S. Senator Robert Taft argued that "the trial of the vanquished by the victors cannot be impartial, no matter how it is hedged about with the forms of justice". Cited in Raymond M. Brown, The American Perspective on Nuremberg: A Case of Cascading Ironies, in the Nuremberg Trials, supra note 583, at 21, 24.

The Indian Justice (Judge of Calcutta High Court), representing then British India, at the Tokyo Tribunals, Mr. Radhabinod Pal, made the point of unequal justice: "If Japan is judged, the Allied should also be judged equally".

Source: CRYER, supra note 591, at 98, with further assessment.

He wrote a 1235 page dissent, that the Tokyo Trials were an exercise in victor's justice and that the Allies were equally culpable in acts such as strategic bombings of civilian target. The published version, condensed from its original 1, 900 -pages length, of Justice Pal's brilliant dissenting opinion on the verdict of the Tokyo war crimes tribunal of which he was a member. He rips to shreds the list of charges against the accused, and in his moral outrage against the conduct and the very fact of trial even states that the whole farcical trial and - hanging of the Japanese itself " a war crime of peculiar gravity".-

The article by Mr. John Pilger under the headline "The lies of Hiroshima are the lies of today" is supporting the opinion of the Indian Justice R. Pal:

<http://johnpilger.com/articles/the-lies-of-hiroshima-are-the-lies-of-today>

Some free-thinking minds may come to the conclusion that the Nuremberg Trials were some sort of old fashioned Guantanamo Bay Interrogation Camp and that section 130 StGB of the German Panel Code is the continuation of the feelings Justice Wennersturm had, namely, that justice has been denied!

Judges and Scientists in today's Germany, the FRG (Fraudsters Run Germany), are not happy about section 130 StGB and they can vent their critique only after retirement, fearing the loss of their job, when expressing a critical opinion. In 2008 the pensioned

judges Hoffmann - Riem and Hassemer of the German Federal Court took a critical stand in regard to penalizing Holocaust denial. The daily paper "Der Tagesspiegel" (July 10, 2008) commented on Hoffmann-Riem's opinion: "The Federal Court according to Hoffmann-Riem, has up to now not engaged itself with the punitive illegality of Holocaust denial. But it is possible that in the future there could be a new basic decision!"

"The Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung" (March 4, 2010) pg. 4 writes: "Shortly after his retirement from the Federal Court Hoffmann-Riem said: "As a lawgiver I would not penalize Holocaust denial."

"The Tageszeitung" (February 9th, 2007, pg. 6) writes about the Zuendel-Trial: "At the end the court denied all the motions with the lapidary reason" (a shock to some of the antifascists among the audience): "It does not matter one wit if the Holocaust did or did not take place. Its denial is punishable under German law. Only this is what counts."

According to Historical Science Professor Dr. Ernst Nolte "The common belief that every doubt about the 'Holocaust and the six million victims', as priori is a mark of a malignant mindset and has to be forbidden, can not be upheld according to the fundamental meaning of the maxim 'de omnibus dubitandum est - everything has to be doubted'. Science can never accept that and is to be rejected as an attack against the principal of scientific freedom.

Source: <http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=2392>

Anti-Nazi Mr. Germar Rudolf PhD., MChem., published the findings of his forensic investigation of the alleged gas-chambers in Auschwitz and under section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code was imprisoned for 2 1/2 years. What an absurdity! How can an expert-opinion, which would be allowed in any Court of the world, defame, insult and sully the memory of the dead of the Holocaust? But does the real problem lay in his findings contradicting the official story? http://www.ihr.org/ihr/v20/v20n2p-3_Rudolf.html

<http://vho.org/GB/Books/trr/>
<http://germarrudolf.com/>

But there is also the investigation of Auschwitz of Jewish researcher Mr. David Cole and it is filmed on video: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AxlIGBFTIU>

There are the writings of the Holocaust-Religion by Jewish musician Mr. Gilad Atzmon:

<http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/precious-holocaust-religion-challenged.html>

Famous US-American Jew Prof. Dr. Norman Finkelstein speaks and writes about the Holocaust-Industry: <http://normanfinkelstein.com/category/the-holocaust-industry/>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Holocaust_Industry
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beyond_Chutzpah

The Jewish Editor of the "Uncensored Magazine" published in his latest edition of June-September, 2014 (available at the news-agencies), "The Suppressed Story of the Bergen-Belsen Camp" on pages 48-53.
<http://www.uncensored.co.nz>

In the introduction to this article, Jewish writer, Mr. Paul Eisen states as follows: ".....Yet Belsen never was an extermination camp"....."But what is noteworthy is that this fact is widely, even universally, accepted, even by mainstream Holocaust "historians"."

However the Zionist controlled media of the Western World will not reveal this!

Mr. David Brockschmidt is an associate of the Adelaide Institute. He risked his life for the survival of the Zionist State of Israel during the famous 6 days war. His mother was a Polish Jewess and his father German. They were business-partners of Oscar Schindler and saved Jews in Berlin and Warsaw. Without the trucks of Heinrich Brockschmidt, Oscar Schindler's Jews never had made it. How do you think, Mr. Brockschmidt fits in section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act?

<http://www.adelaideinstitute.org/newsletters/n216.htm>

Today's Revisionism of the Holocaust has its origin in the testimony and findings of former French resistance fighter and Holocaust-survivor Prof. Paul Rassinier, who came to the conclusion that there was never a policy of extermination by Nazi-Germany:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Rassinier

An Allied commission of inquiry had already rejected the stories of homicidal "gasings" in a whole series of camps, including Dachau, Ravensbrueck, Struthof (Natzweiler), Stutthof (Danzig), Sachsenhausen and Mauthausen (Austria).

Source: <http://www.ihr.org/leaflets/libcamps.shtml>

In the famous Zuendel-trials of 1985 - 1988 following witnesses appeared:

Witness Arnold Friedman was despairing of his case. He ended by confessing that he had indeed been at Auschwitz-Birkenau (where he never had to work except once, uploading potatoes), but that, as regards gasings, he had relied on what others had told him.

Witness Joseph G. Burg (pen-name Ginsburg) had spoken to hundreds of people who had been in Auschwitz and had visited the camp in the fall of 1945. Burg formed the opinion that there were no "extermination" camps at all, that gas chambers had never existed and that there had been no plan to exterminate the Jews of Europe. He published his opinions in his books. Consequently he was not allowed to be buried in a Jewish cemetery, and nobody of his tribe wanted to do his eulogy.

Witness Rudolf Vrba had completely made up the number and location of the "gas chambers" and the crematories in his famous 1944 report, and thus he was then shown to be an imposter.

Austrian-born Canadian Maria Van Herwaarden was interned at Auschwitz-Birkenau, starting in 1942. She saw nothing, either close up or from a distance, that resembled mass murder, although she confirmed that many of the inmates had died of typhus. Source: http://www.ihr.org/ihr/v08/v08p417_Faurisson.html

There are also some Jewish eye-witness statements on following videos, published on following web-site: <http://gaschamberhoax.com/jewish-gas-chamber-hoax/>

Here the account of Mr. Heinz Weichart, a German Jew, who lived in Germany when Adolph Hitler was elected to office in 1932, on:

<http://www.irbooksonline.com/HTMLdocs/Under%20Two%20Flags.htm>

The Poles and the Soviets had discovered the death register of that complex of 39 camps and sub-camps. They have furnished a copy of the death-register to the Red Cross International Tracing Service in Arolsen. Neither of them authorize research in these volumes. It is clear that, if the content of the death register of

Auschwitz were made public, it would be the end of the myth of the millions of deaths in the camps: http://www.ihr.org/ihr/v08/v08p417_Faurisson.html
Why are the British files about Adolf Hitler's deputy Wolf R. Hess not released, now? They are due for release until the years 2017/18?

http://henrymakow.com/hess_was_on_peace_mission_from.html

What has the system behind this to hide and thus make things not open to public scrutiny straight away? Australian Mr. Simon Caterson reflects in "The Age" on a sorry history of hoaxes as Holocaust-memoirs, including Stoker Donald Watt:

<http://www.theage.com.au/news/entertainment/books/lies-between-lines-when-write-stuff-is-wrong/2009/01/03/1230681812437.html>?

I met Germans who live in Australia and do not want to live in Germany anymore, because it is an opinion-snooper dictatorship. They also know that former West German head of Military Intelligence Gerd-Helmut Komossa's book "The German Card" claims that Germany has, until now been controlled by the United States. His book is not allowed in Germany, something you would only attribute to Hitler's Germany.

<http://rt.com/usa/germany-us-pact-komossa-978/>

Prof. Dr. Carlo Schmid (Social Democrat) who was involved in setting up the Basic Law of Germany in 1949, explained, that the Federal Republic is not a state, but rather an "organizational form of a modality of foreign rule" and thus a violation of international law forced upon Germany by its victorious enemies. Accordingly you have to understand section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code:

<http://carolynveager.net/sylvia-stolz-german-joan-arc>

I met also some Germans in Australia who were denounced and defamed as Nazis in this Country, even though they were born after the 2nd World War. It was so bad to them that they were to afraid to complain to the authorities about the treatment they received here. I know only two, who tried to pursue their grievance through the Human Rights Commission, but gave up, because they did not get the adequate help from them and their lawyers. They became mentally sick and live on a DSP-Pension today. So far this tolerant society and nation.

It seems that section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act is similar to section 130 StGB of the German Penal Code. Mr. Philip A. H. Adams AO is not suspected of any Anti-Semitism and he wrote: "Yet I was destined to fall out with my Jewish friends over the anti-vilification legislation - to be greeted with a chilly response by the Jewish audience that had, in the past, always made me welcome. Thus Isi Leibler was underwhelmed by the line I took at a conference on anti-Semitism. I said that I regarded the attempts to legislate against bigotry, and to turbo-charge the legislation with criminal sanctions, was wholly inappropriate and counterproductive. How could I be a member of Amnesty International, arguing for the release of "prisoners of conscience" when Australia, too, would be putting people in prison for things they 'd said rather than for things they 'd done? I warned that there were plenty of bigots in the country - amongst the League of Rights and the shock-jocks - who'd welcome the opportunity to be charged and convicted - who 'd love being martyrs, knowing full

well that it would significantly increase the size and enthusiasm of their following".

Source: <http://www.imm.org.au/articles/4678.htm>

In another article Mr. Adams wrote:"I could cover pages with evidence of my good-will to the Jewish community. ... In short, I felt that I'd paid my dues. And yet it took only a hint of cautious, friendly criticism to put me on the receiving end of Jewish anger. When talking to the wider world, I try and explain such excesses in terms of Jewish suffering and anxieties. But when I talk to Jews, I have to say that, again and again, you're your worst enemies. Instead of trying to persuade people to your point of view, there's a tendency to bully, to threaten, to censor."

Terry Lane, broadcaster for ABC television and a newspaper columnist, once stated as follows:-"What's more, once the expression "anti-Semite" hits the air, or, heaven forfend, the sacred formula "six million" is uttered, then I know from bitter experience that there is not one manager or editor in the country who will defend an underling. We are thrown to the jackals. In the end the truly tolerant have no defence against intolerance. I surrender. To the Zionists I say: you win. To the Palestinians: forgive my cowardice".

Source: <http://codoh.com/library/document/2418/>

David Pentberthy wrote that: "Some Greens were deeply offended by this. Their indignation at protecting the memory of the Holocaust can be easily dismissed as confected", with regard to the Greens of the Marrickville council's BDS on Israel. Source:

<http://www.abc.com.au/news/2011-04-04/tale-of-a-smear-foretold3a-the-nazi-greens/55182>

Well, who is in breach of section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act? Mr. Adam, Mr. Lane, the Greens of the Marrickville council or the ones who put them on the receiving end of the anger of a certain ilk?

The same question can be asked in the case of newspaper-columnist Mr. Mike Carlton. 'Broadcaster Phillip Adams also tweeted his regret, saying it was a shame to lose Carlton. "Mutual hostility for many years but Mike writes a good column," he said." Will be missed.'Source:<http://www.crikey.com.au/2014/08/06/i-hit-back-and-told-people-to-get-fucked-mike-carlton-explains-why-he-quit/>

It looks as there exist a certain untouchable ilk in this world who has the status of diplomatic immunity and thus can do anything without impunity. They are even able to ignore United Nations resolutions against them! Accordingly the Cartoon by Glen Le Lievre is in breach of the Anti-Discrimination Act:

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/sydney-paper-cartoon-rationally-vilifies-jews-says-community/>

There is an explanation why a certain ilk were disliked, resented and finally expelled from their host countries and this explanation finds the reality in the way this ilk behave and act towards the Palestinian people, today! Rabbi Manfred Reifer gave the following explanation:<http://traitor666.blogspot.com.au/2013/06/dr.html>

These days Turkish Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan says Israeli actions are more barbaric than Hitler, on:<http://www.veooz.com/news/SHLJenn.html>

At least since Watergate, we know that we cannot trust our politicians who make up history. So, why should we trust the history of the Holocaust, when there are doubtful facts about it?

With regard to 9/11, I like to mention, that the world-wide 9/11 movement knows, that architects have discovered large amounts of a high-tech-explosive chemical called Nano-thermite in the dust at Ground Zero in New York. Unlike airplane fuel, Nano-thermite can actually melt steel and is only possessed by the U.S. and Israeli military.

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/09/19/busting-911-myths-nanothermite-big-nukes-and-dews/>

Israeli businessman Larry Silverstein purchased a 99 year lease on the World Trade Center complex for a \$ 125 million down payment. He immediately insured the complex against terrorist attack. Six weeks later the 9-11 attacks happen and Silverstein collects a \$ 4.6 billion insurance payout! How fortunate for him!

Christopher Bollyn writes about the deception and the destruction of the evidence in the 9/11 cover up:

<http://www.bollyn.com/solving-9-11-the-book/>

The Walls Are Crumbling Down Around the "Official 9/11 Story" - Why? Here the answer on following web-site: <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-walls-are-crumbling-down-around-the-official-911-story-why/5394984>

On a daily basis, more and more people learn about the glaring inconsistencies in the official 9-11 - story and the official Holocaust-story and they are certainly making up their own mind and certainly the breaches of section 18C of the RDA will go skyrocketing.

**With best regards,
Yours faithfully
David Hicks-Fan**

The Myth of Artic Meltdown



<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2738653/Stunning-satellite-images-summer-ice-cap-thicker-covers-1-7million-square-kilometres-MORE-2-years-ago-despite-Al-Gore-s-prediction-ICE-FREE-now.html>

Al Gore versus the polar bears

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X9sFgUwiKik>
