

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067

Australia

Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



March 2013 No 681

German Social Security-Pension Applicant...



– informs the young bureaucrat she raised her three children, then four grandchildren, did voluntary work for the homeless, and finally she looked after her father until his death. The young man replies: 'In that case you were never employed', insinuating she is not entitled to receive a pension.

The Elie Wiesel Affair:

In Hungary, the real meaning of Miklos Grüner's lawsuit against rabbi Shlomo Köves

Robert FAURISSON , February 8, 2013

Contrary to what may have been said here and there, the fact that the Hungarian Jew Miklos Grüner has, both in first instance and on appeal, lost his defamation case in Budapest against rabbi Shlomo Köves does not mean that Mr Grüner lied with regard either to Elie Wiesel or to the rabbi. I found the article below online in Hungarian and asked my friend Jürgen Graf for an English translation, and he quickly set to

work; I thank him for his helpfulness and speed.

The article shows that the Budapest court of appeal has refused to rule on whether Elie Wiesel, as stated many years by the former Auschwitz inmate Miklos Grüner, is an impostor who, in particular, has usurped the registration number A-7713 of a certain Lazar Wiesel and appropriated the latter's account of his time at Auschwitz. Indeed, in November 2012 the court held that

the matter was one for historians or other competent authorities but not for judges, and that the rabbi, who had accused Grüner of falsifying history, had stayed within the bounds tolerated by the law on freedom of expression.

Mr Grüner, understandably, was deeply disappointed by that ruling. For a quarter of a century he has been trying to bring into the open what he claims is the truth about Elie Wiesel's imposture.

As is known, the Auschwitz State Museum has recently acknowledged that the number A-7713 was indeed that of Lazar Wiesel. Thus Mr Grüner, at least on this point – one of considerable importance – has been telling the truth. Thanks are owed to Jean Robin, who is an anti-revisionist and of Jewish origin, and to Alain Soral, who had prompted him to look into the Wiesel/Grüner affair in order to judge the revisionists on actual evidence and not in line with media-inspired prejudice.

It would be interesting if the current heads of archives at Auschwitz and Buchenwald, along with the officials at the International Tracing Service (ITS) of Arolsen-Waldeck, let it be known whether their records included any documents relating to Elie Wiesel and his father, mother and three sisters – two of whom, like him, survived.

In 1986 I published an article entitled "A Prominent false witness: Elie Wiesel" - **in French at:**

<http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.it/1986/03/un-grand-faux-temoin-elie-wiesel.html>;

in English at:

<http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.it/1986/10/a-prominent-false-witness-elie-wiesel.html>).

During the award ceremony in Oslo for E. Wiesel's Nobel Peace Prize that year I, with the help of Pierre Guillaume and Serge Thion, distributed the text as a leaflet. I remember personally handing a copy to Mrs Danielle Mitterrand. "Philosopher" Bernard-Henri Lévy was practically foaming at the mouth.

In the United States, **[Mrs Carolyn Yeager](#)** tirelessly publishes interesting documents or writings on her blog "Elie Wiesel Cons the World": www.eliewieseltattoo.com.

I myself have held E. Wiesel to be a con-man for quite some time but, just as only those who do not really need the money can ever get a loan, I fear that people may, now and then, go a bit too far with this type of accusation. Is it the case with Mr Grüner at times? The Hungarian judges have left the

question to historians and specialists.

**Népszabadság online,
November 29, 2012**

http://nol.hu/belfold/csalodott_a_birosagban_a_holokauszt-tulelo

Holocaust survivor disappointed by Court

The high court of justice of the [Hungarian] capital has dismissed the claim of Holocaust survivor Miklos Grüner against Shlomo Köves, whom Grüner had sued for libel after Köves accused him of falsifying history. A lower court's decision is thus upheld. The plaintiff had wanted to prove that the man known to the world as Elie Wiesel was living under a false identity. Miklos Grüner is disappointed with the ruling; in his opinion, the court has shirked its duty to render a truthful judgment.

On Thursday the high court of justice upheld a lower court ruling that had rejected Miklos Grüner's claim against rabbi Slomo Köves for libel in an article in which Köves had accused Grüner of falsifying history. That decision, which now stands, held that in the context of a libel suit a court could not take a stand on questions that were a matter for historians or other competent authorities.

However, that was exactly what Miklos Grüner had wished to obtain: he had wanted the case to focus on Nobel prize winner and writer Elie Wiesel. According to Grüner's claim, the man known to the world today as Elie Wiesel had stolen the identity of [a certain] Lazar Wiesel, who had been lodged in the same barracks at Auschwitz as himself. Grüner had hoped the court would rule that everything he [Grüner] had been saying about Wiesel for 25 years matched the truth.

In his libel suit Grüner had attacked Köves, head rabbi of the united Israelite community, asking that he be held liable for tarnishing his good reputation as Köves had, in an internet article appearing in 2009 on the occasion of Elie Wiesel's visit to Hungary, branded Grüner a falsifier of history.

In the trial court the plaintiff had presented evidence that he considered conclusive. According to his assertion, all trace of Lazar Wiesel, who at Auschwitz had been registered under number A-7713, vanished in the mid nineteen-fifties. Grüner held that the book connected with Lazar **[Elie?]**

Wiesel's name, entitled *Night*, was the work of another person. With a view to the royalties, Grüner suspects, Lazar **[Elie?]** Wiesel first rewrote the Yiddish language autobiography in literary style; thereupon Elie Wiesel assumed Lazar Wiesel's identity.

In the lower court the plaintiff, who had produced several pieces of evidence, attached particular value to documents he had obtained from the archives of Auschwitz and Buchenwald, which showed that the prisoner Lazar Wiesel, registered under number A-7713, was born on September 4, 1913.

Miklos Grüner claimed Elie Wiesel's assertion that his father Salomon Wiesel had been assigned number A-7712 at the death camp was untenable. According to the documents presented by the plaintiff, the prisoner with number A-7712 was Abraham Wiesel who, born on October 10, 1900, could not possibly have been the father of Lazar Wiesel, born in 1913.

In their respective rulings neither the court of appeal nor the lower court dwelt upon Grüner's documents; in the words of the appeal court ruling, such judgments were for science or for other competent authorities to make. Indeed, the high court took a stand only on the question whether Mate (Slomo) Köves had damaged the good reputation of the plaintiff in an article dating from 2009, holding as follows: "In his evaluation of Miklos Grüner's historical activities, the rabbi did not exceed the limits of free speech. In the opinion of the high court Köves had indeed used strong language, but his formulations were neither hateful nor offensive nor abasing".

After hearing the decision, Miklos Grüner answered our questions saying he was very disappointed and felt that the court had shirked its duty to render a truthful judgment, thus leaving open the question he had raised a quarter of a century ago. He added: "For a pious Jew there can hardly be a greater insult than being called a falsifier of history by a rabbi, and seeing everything to which he has devoted the last 25 years of his life called into doubt."

Dr Herbert Schaller zum 90. Geburtstag – 6. Februar 2013



Heute, Mittwoch, den 6. Februar 2013, feiert Rechtsanwalt Dr. Herbert Schaller in Wien im Kreise seiner Familie seinen 90. Geburtstag. Geboren 1923 in Kärnten, in Klagenfurt zur Volksschule gegangen, ab 1938 Gymnasiast an der Napola-Eliteschule Theresianum in Wien, dann Offizier der Deutschen Wehrmacht und Teilnahme am Ostfeldzug, zuletzt als Oberleutnant. Mai 1945 heiratet er in Bad Ischl seine Jugendliebe Herta, mit der er drei Kinder bekommt und bis zum heutigen Tage glücklich verheiratet zusammenlebt.

Nach der Freilassung aus der Kriegsgefangenschaft 1946 studiert er Jura in Innsbruck und schließt bereits nach drei Jahren sein Studium mit dem Dokortitel und höchsten Auszeichnungen ab.

Danach beginnt für ihn eine lange Karriere als Rechtsanwalt und Strafverteidiger, die ihn im deutschsprachigen Raum zu einem der berühmtesten juristischen Streiter für national Verfolgte werden läßt. So verteidigt er u.a. erfolgreich Mitglieder des Befreiungsausschuß Südtirol, den ersten namhaften Revisionisten in Österreich, Dr. Dr. Dr. Franz Josef Scheidl, berühmte nationale Freiheitskämpfer wie Gerd Honsik, Walter Ochsenberger, Herbert Schweiger, Otto Ernst Remer, Ernst Zündel, Wolfgang Fröhlich oder Walter Lüftl, aber auch internationale Größen wie David Irving oder Pedro Varela. Im Dezember 2006 nimmt er an der Holocaust-Konferenz in Teheran teil und wird dort vom iranischen Präsidenten Ahmadinedschad empfangen.

Bis heute ist er ein unermüdlicher Kämpfer für die Ehre des deutschen Volkes und setzt sich mit juristischen Mitteln in und außerhalb der Gerichtssäle gegen die geistige und seelische Knebelung der Deutschen durch die unsäglichen sog. „Holocaust-Leugnungs“-Gesetze (§ 3h Verbotsgesetz in Österreich, § 130 Abs. 3 StGB der BRD) ein, die jede freie und wissenschaftliche Auseinandersetzung der Gaskammerproblematik in der Öffentlichkeit verunmöglichen.

Dr. Herbert Schaller sei zu seinem 90. Geburtstag herzlich gratuliert. Möge er noch lange bei bester Gesundheit bleiben.



Herbert Schaller 1944 als Oberleutnant der Deutschen Wehrmacht



Dr. Herbert Schaller zusammen mit Gerd Honsik 1990

[Sometimes it is interesting to read Holocaust-Shoah stuff that informs more on what motivates the writer and in what state of mind such individuals find themselves. Alan Hart of Veterans Today needs help from serious Holocaust Revisionists because his assertions are not serious – at least not for real Revisionists. – ed.AI]

WANTED – A psychiatric diagnosis of Nazi holocaust denial Controversy or Insanity?

By Alan Hart, Thursday, February 7th, 2013



While I was reading some of the responses on various web sites to my last post (*Understanding the real significance TODAY of the Nazi holocaust*), the following question occurred to me. **Does it really matter HOW Jews were exterminated in Nazi concentration camps?**

Even if you chose to believe that gas chambers were not part and parcel of the Nazi extermination programme, there is irrefutable evidence that Jews were shot, hanged, burned, injected and starved to death and, also, that many died from diseases that were only terminal because of the conditions of their incarceration.

That's why I stand by my view that holocaust denial (and most aspects of holocaust revisionism) is evil on a par with the commissioning of the slaughter and the slaughtering itself. But repeating myself on that score is not the purpose of this short article.

[Is Hart referring here to **KOSHER SLAUGHTER?** – just asking, ed.]

It is to request that an eminent psychiatrist or two (or several) come forward to explain what goes on in the minds of holocaust deniers.

I can understand without assistance why many Jews deny the *Nakba*, Zionism's ethnic cleansing of Palestine. They believe, wrongly in my view, that coming to terms with this truth of history is not in their own best interests. (In my view this Jewish refusal to acknowledge the terrible wrong done to the Palestinians by Zionism in the name of all Jews is the prime obstacle to peace based, as it must be, on justice for the Palestinians and security for all).

But why would anybody want to deny the Nazi holocaust when there really is so much irrefutable evidence that many Jews were exterminated? Some people apparently have a **need** to deny it.

What is that need? What drives

it? What do holocaust deniers think there is to be gained from and by denial?

Something else that puzzles me is why some holocaust deniers feel compelled to assert that I am Jewish when I am **NOT**. I quite often use the term "the Gentile me" to signal that fact. Obviously they have a need not to believe me.

And how about this... There are some holocaust deniers who assert that I am **not** what my writing (book and articles) and public speaking prove me to be – a passionate and fierce critic of Zionism's colonial enterprise. In their view I am a clever propagandist **for Zionism**. In a rant on my own web site (you can see it in the comments below my last post), Kevin Boyle put it this way: "You are a gatekeeper. A covert supporter of the enemy that will destroy us all. You are worse than the transparent liars because you pose as one of us, an true enemy of Zionist." (*Kevin, your*

English needs correcting. I presume you mean "a true enemy of Zionism.")

Are they simply crazy or what? Unless they are Zionist assets, they have no understanding of the fact **that holocaust denial only helps to reinforce Zionism in its evil ways.**

In conclusion for now I have a suggestion for all holocaust deniers. Visit the BBC's web archive *Witnessing the Holocaust*. (As my readers know, I don't give it a capital H).

The two most moving and powerful archived pieces are the original eye witness reports broadcast by Edward Ward from the Buchenwald concentration camp on 1 April 1945, and Richard Dimbleby from the Belsen concentration camp on 19 April 1945,

I will not be at all surprised if some holocaust deniers assert that those two very well respected and much admired BBC correspondents were

lying and that I am stupid for believing them.

On reflection it seems to me that some holocaust deniers, especially those who are driven by rabid anti-Semitism, are as deluded in their own way as Netanyahu and all support the Zionist state of Israel right or wrong are in their way. They deserve each other and I say, quoting Mercutio in Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet* - "a plague on both your houses."

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/02/07/wanted-a-psychiatric-diagnosis-of-nazi-holocaust-denial/#comments>

Fredrick Töben comments:

Remember Charles Provan who did the Revisionist rounds some years ago? He was the only Revisionist who had been granted permission to inspect Auschwitz II, Krema II's caved-in roof-ceiling to find those elusive Zyklon-B gas-induction holes, and indeed he found many holes. And at Revisionist conferences the chapter of his

never-completed book also did the rounds.

Provan, like Alan Hart, was a true believer whose own confused mind failed to focus on the fundamentals of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative, i. e.: six million, systematic state extermination, homicidal gas chambers as preferred murder weapon.

All the rest is busy work, rubbish work designed to derail the enquiring mind from finding out that the legally sanctioned official 'Holocaust-Shoah narrative'; is a gigantic fraudulent tale told by those who are either ignorant of the physical facts, liars or both.

Hart attempts to put the lid on truth-searching activity by adding a sting to his argument: 'On reflection it seems to me that some holocaust deniers, especially those who are driven by rabid anti-Semitism...' indicated to me he has actually not done much reflection on this topic...and that's very sad indeed.

MEMRI TV Clip No. 3727

U.S. Linguist Noam Chomsky Compares Colin Powell To Von Ribbentrop And The U.S. To Nazi Germany



Following are excerpts from a show featuring American linguist Noam Chomsky, which aired on Press TV and was posted on the Internet on January 29, 2013.

Interviewer: "How important was the principle of 'starting a war of aggression,' as we talked about earlier, or a 'crime against peace,' in the proceedings at Nuremberg that led to the hanging on many Nazis? Can the International Criminal Court prosecute these crimes?" [Click here to view this clip on MEMRI TV.](#)

MEMRI TV Clip No. 3710

Mufti Of Australia Sheik Ibrahim Salem: 'The West Produces Lies As Much As It Produces Technology'



Following are excerpts from an interview with the Mufti of Australia Sheik Ibrahim Salem, which aired on Al-Nas TV on January 8, 2013:

Sheik Ibrahim Salem: "Koranic studies [in Australia] are flourishing. Islamic culture is spreading in an extraordinary way, because, as you know, Islam only flourishes where there is freedom. There is great freedom there." [Click here to view this clip on MEMRI TV.](#)

While we're on the Hitler-Nazi bandwagon, remember this item from 2009?

Rehabilitated: Nobel Prize winner who fell for Hitler

Knut Hamsun was lucky to escape execution for collaborating with the Nazis. Now, almost 60 years after his death, Norway has finally honoured his contribution to literature – and even put his face on a stamp

BY ANDY MCSMITH, FRIDAY 07 AUGUST 2009



Knut Hamsun was charged with treason because of his Nazi sympathies

All this week, Norway is feting a writer who was lucky to escape being shot for his shameless collaboration with the Nazis. Knut Hamsun was either, according to taste, one of the greatest figures in world literature, or a vile old man with a head full of nasty ideas who betrayed his country.

Not many years ago, anyone who went into a Norwegian bookshop and asked for one of Hamsun's books was likely to get a frosty reply from across the counter. And yet he was, to be blunt, the only world-renowned novelist that country has yet produced.

This week, the town of Hamarøye, 200 miles north of the Arctic Circle, where Hamsun grew up, has been celebrating six consecutive

"Hamsundagene" (Hamsun Days), with seminars, meetings and exhibitions dedicated to the writer, to mark the 150th anniversary of his birth.

The festivities kicked off on Tuesday, with the formal opening of Norway's first Knut Hamsun museum, by Crown Princess Mette-Marit and the Culture Minister, Trond Giske. There is a musical in preparation, based on his work, and his face will appear for the first time on a Norwegian postage stamp.

Getting the museum to open has taken 15 years of planning and prevarication, because of Hamsun's appalling behaviour in old age. The design, by the American architect Steven Holl, won the Progressive Architecture Award as far back as

1996 but it took until 2007 for the government to allocate the money, as it grappled between its anxiety to remember a fabulous writer, and to forget a traitor.

His case poses an old question about art and morality – whether it is permissible, or even possible, to enjoy the music of Carl Orff, or the poetry of Ezra Pound, or Hamsun's fiction, and overlook the sort of people that they were in life.

Baruch Tenenbaum, who founded the International Raoul Wallenberg Foundation, named in honour of a Swedish diplomat who saved thousands of Jews from Nazi death camps during the Second World War, thinks not. He has written to the Norwegian government to say that he was "astonished and

concerned" that they should be celebrating a man who collaborated with "one of the most sinister regimes in history".

Mr Tenenbaum told Reuters: "We cannot understand how Norwegians can honour someone who was a criminal and was inciting crimes.

"Hamsun was a great writer, so what? What is more important – art or integrity? It is a bit like if someone said that since Hitler was a good painter, why don't we honour him?"

Except, of course, that Hitler was not a good painter, whereas Hamsun was a giant of world literature. What Edvard Grieg was to music, or Henrik Ibsen to drama, or Edvard Munch to art, Hamsun was to literature. The French writer André Gide put him on a par with Dostoevsky, but thought Hamsun was the more subtle. Ernest Hemingway urged F Scott Fitzgerald to study him. To Isaac Bashevis Singer he was the "father of modern literature".

Hamsun's best-known novel, *Hunger*, first published in 1890, has never been out of print and it is still sold in the UK. It is the fictionalised memoir of a youth driven by an ambition to write who is starving to death in what was then the capital of Norway, Christiania. Utterly wrapped up in himself, and interested in no one else, he is completely alone in a crowded city. The author had no literary training. But his collaboration with the Nazis cannot be put down to ignorance, or compared with the case of PG Wodehouse, a political innocent who found himself living under Nazi occupation and who later confessed that he had been a complete fool to agree to broadcast on German

radio. Hamsun's Nazi sympathies were the natural outcome of prejudices he had harboured for years. He did not like big cities and had mystic ideas about men and people returning to their ancient rural roots, which chimed with Nazi mythology.

He was a life-long admirer of German culture. He backed the Germans in the First World War, supported Norway's fascist movement in the 1930s, and when Norway was under Nazi occupation, he met Hitler and gave Goebbels the Nobel Prize Medal for Literature that he had been awarded in 1920. Neither did he relent or apologise when the war was over. A week after Hitler committed suicide, Hamsun wrote an obituary praising him as "a warrior for mankind", "a prophet of the gospel of justice for all nations" and "a reformer of the highest sort".

He was arrested in 1945 and it was only the fact that he was then aged 85, and the suspicion that he had gone soft in the head, that protected him from the prospect of joining Norway's wartime prime minister, Vidrun Quisling, in front of a firing squad. Instead, his property was confiscated, he was put under psychiatric observation, and died, disgraced but unrepentant, in 1952, aged 92. The government insists that nothing about this week's celebrations is intended to excuse or obscure Hamsun's ghastly politics. Norway's Foreign Minister, Jonas Gahr Støre, insisted: "The Hamsun anniversary in no way condones Hamsun's support for the Nazi regime. He received massive condemnation for this after the war and his pro-Nazi activities must continue to be condemned."

There is no attempt to gloss over Hamsun's Nazi sympathies in the events commemorating his anniversary, the minister said. "Democracy and the education of future generations will best be served by being completely frank about these divergent aspects of Hamsun's life."

But Mr Tenenbaum retorted: "We can declare a year of commemorations, issue a stamp, write a musical – and then we say we are doing it to educate people about the Nazis."

On the wrong side: Artists who erred

* PG Wodehouse was trapped in occupied France in 1940, travelled to Berlin and made five humorous broadcasts on German radio. It did not cross his mind that he would be considered a traitor. Despite a huge public outcry, he was cleared, and received a knighthood shortly before his death in 1975. But he never returned to the UK.

* Ezra Pound, one of the greatest American poets of the century, met Mussolini in 1933, and spent the war in Italy making hysterical anti-Semitic, pro-fascist broadcasts. Arrested in 1945, he narrowly escaped execution and spent 12 years in a hospital for the criminally insane.

* Carl Orff, composer of the raunchy opera *Carmina Burana*, lived and prospered in Germany throughout the Third Reich, but lied his way out of trouble by fooling a de-Nazification court into believing that he had joined the White Rose resistance movement.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/rehabilitated-nobel-prize-winner-who-fell-for-hitler-1768518.html>

Hitler's food taster speaks of Führer's vegetarian diet

A woman who served as Adolf Hitler's food taster has claimed that the Führer was a dedicated vegetarian.



By [Roya Nikkhah](#), :34AM GMT 09 Feb 2013

Margot Woelk, 95, said that Hitler ate only the freshest fruit and vegetables during the two and a half years that she was forced to check his food for traces of poison.

During the Second World War, Mrs Woelk, a German citizen whose husband had been sent to fight, was taken by the SS to Hitler's Eastern Front headquarters in modern-day Poland, known as the "Wolf's Lair".



Hitler and his mistress Eva Braun. It has been claimed the dictator was a dedicated vegetarian Photo: GETTY

There, she joined a team of a dozen other women whose job it was to protect Hitler from any attempts to poison him.

She said: "Between 11 and 12 o'clock, we had to taste the food, and only after all of us had tried it was it driven to the headquarters by the SS.

"It was all vegetarian, the most delicious fresh things, from asparagus to peppers and peas, served with rice and salads. It was all arranged on one plate, just as it was served to him. There was no meat and I do not remember any fish.

"Of course I was afraid. If it had been poisoned I would not be here today. We were forced to eat it, we had no choice."

Hitler's apparent enthusiasm for vegetarianism reflected the Nazi obsession with Aryan bodily purity.

A Hitler Youth manual from the 1930s promoted soya beans, which it called "Nazi beans" as an alternative to meat.

In 1942, Hitler told Joseph Goebbels that he intended to

convert Germany to vegetarianism when he won the war.

But although he referred to meat broth as "corpse tea", he was not fastidious about declining meat. Dione Lucas, his cook before the war, claimed that he was a fan of stuffed pigeon and he was also known to be partial to Bavarian sausages and the occasional slice of ham.

His table manners also came under scrutiny. In a secret diary, one German soldier wrote: "Hitler eats rapidly, mechanically. He abstractedly bites his fingernails, he runs his index finger back and forth under his nose, and his table manners are little short of shocking."

Hitler spent 800 days at the Wolf's Lair between 1941 and November 1944, when he abandoned it as the Russians approached.

Mrs Woelk is believed to be the only surviving member of Hitler's food tasting team.

Shortly before his camp fell to the Russians, she was smuggled out

and helped back to Berlin by a sympathetic soldier.

"He put me on Goebbels's train and I got out," she said. It is thought that the other women with whom she worked were shot by the Russians.

In 1946, Mrs Woelk was reunited with her husband, Kurt, whom she had presumed dead. The couple lived together until his death in 1990.

*

They can't get enough of HIM -

Related Articles

[Hitler had French love-child](#)

17 Feb 2012

[Unseen Hitler photos](#)

05 Jun 2009

[Adolf Hitler novel tops German bestseller chart](#)

05 Feb 2013

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/history/world-war-two/9859294/Hitlers-food-taster-speaks-of-Fuhrers-vegetarian-diet.html>

The Rising Tide of Neo-Nazism

Russia, Israel, Iran and Syria Join Hands in Supporting UN Anti-Nazi Resolution

By [Carla Stea](#), Global Research, January 31, 2013



On December 20, 2012 the United Nations General Assembly adopted Resolution 67/154 on "The Inadmissibility of the Glorification of Nazism."

The full title of the resolution is: "Glorification of Nazism: inadmissibility of certain practices that contribute to fuelling contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance."

The resolution was sponsored by the Russian Federation and co-sponsored by at least 35 other countries, including Iran, Syria, Israel, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Pakistan and Cuba. The resolution was originally introduced in 2005. This year there is a grotesque development in attempts to "normalize" or popularize Nazism, in the form of Estonian advertisements for Nazi atrocities that are almost impossible to comprehend, or explain.

The resolution:

"Expresses deep concern about the glorification of the Nazi movement and former members of the Waffen SS organization, including by erecting monuments and memorials and holding public demonstrations in the name of the glorification of the Nazi past, the Nazi movement and neo-Nazism, as well as by declaring or attempting to declare such members and those who fought against the anti-Hitler coalition and collaborated with the Nazi movement participants in national liberation movements."

"Expresses concern at recurring attempts to desecrate or demolish monuments erected in remembrance of those who fought against Nazism during the Second World War, as well as to unlawfully exhume or remove the remains of such persons, and in this regard urges states to fully comply with their relevant obligations, inter alia, under Article 34 of Additional Protocol 1 to the Geneva Conventions of 1949."

"Expresses deep concern at attempts of commercial advertising aimed at exploiting the sufferings of the victims of war crimes and crimes against humanity committed during the Second World War by the Nazi regime."

The commercial advertisements to which this section refers are almost impossible to reconcile with any sane comprehension of history.



One advertisement announces that "Dr. Mengele's diet pills are so effective that people consuming these pills can be assured of losing so much weight that they will be as

emaciated as victims of Nazi concentration camp Buchenwald."

The sight of these photos of starving concentration camp prisoners normally evokes horror and shame in the viewer at the realization that human beings are deliberately starved to death. But this reality has been distorted and obfuscated to the point where these photos do not evoke shame for the perpetrators, and compassion for the victims, but instead portray the victims as enviable. This is the result of a calculated distortion of reality which is so dangerous that the target audience, today's Estonian citizens, must be assumed to have been subjected to a virulent propaganda campaign that has rendered them devoid of human conscience, psychologically and ethically lobotomized.



The same is true of the second photograph for a gas company, advertised as so efficient that their gas operates as effectively as the gas at the Auschwitz concentration camp, which completely exterminated the inmates of that

camp. This is presented as an accomplishment to be emulated. Again, the assumption of the Estonian advertisers is that the target consumers will applaud the efficiency of the Auschwitz gas in exterminating its victims, and the customers will seek to purchase gas from a gas company of comparable efficiency. It is almost impossible to comprehend the psychopathology that would see the effects of the Nazi concentration camps as laudible, instead of being repelled by the comparison. This can only be the result of manipulation of perception resulting from social engineering and indoctrination with criminal intent – the destruction of the human capacity to distinguish right from wrong, the destruction of the human capacity for empathy, compassion and altruism.

The Prague Declaration

Twenty-two countries of the European Union failed to support the United Nations Anti-Nazi Resolution, and, instead, abstained. By contrast, Iran and Syria voted to support the Anti-Nazi Resolution, together with Israel and 120 other member states of the United Nations. Although Iran 's President Ahmadinejad has been accused of "holocaust denial," Iran 's vote in support of the United Nations Anti-Nazi Resolution is a serious commitment opposing a recurrence of the holocaust.

Europe's abstention can be explained, in part, as a result of the most insidious and dangerous current form of holocaust denial, which is contained in the "Prague Declaration," a document of crude propaganda that attempts to deny the explicitly racist and genocidal doctrine of Nazism, and in an act of mammoth fraudulence, attempts to equate the historically unique horrors of Nazism with communism. This is a sinister falsification of history which has encouraged attempts to legitimize the rebirth of Nazism now spreading throughout Europe .

This falsification of history intentionally ignores the decisive

part played by the Soviet Union in defeating the most monstrous, genocidal onslaught of the twentieth century, perpetrated by armies inculcated with the Nazi doctrine. The Prague Declaration, by demonizing communism, implicitly makes heroes of Nazis and Nazi collaborators by deceitfully defining them as "freedom fighters," and "sanitizing" Nazism by use of the more neutral descriptive term "totalitarian," thereby obscuring the explicitly savage racist and genocidal identity of Nazism.

The Prague Declaration requires "adjustment and overhaul of European history textbooks so that children could learn and be warned about communism and its crimes in the same way as they have been taught to assess the Nazi crimes." This very suggestion of "equivalence" is a machiavellian trivialization of the holocaust. And calling for the January 27 date of memorialisation of the victims of the Holocaust to be changed to a "joint day of remembrance of the victims of both Nazi and Communist totalitarian regimes" is, once again, as venal and dangerous a form of holocaust denial as anything the Iranian President ever suggested. This is an attempt to deny the uniquely racist and genocidal reality of Nazism. Nazism is not simply "totalitarian," it is genocidal.

Supporters of the United Nations anti-Nazi resolution include most of the "third world" countries of Asia, Africa and Latin America, including Brazil Uruguay, Pakistan, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, etc., all of whom are cognizant of the horrors of racism.

By contrast, most of Europe, the battleground of nazism during World War II, the continent which suffered the extermination of 6 million Jews, (sic) millions of Catholics, Roma, disabled persons, etc. and including the Soviet Union, suffered a death toll surpassing 50 million civilians, failed to support the anti-Nazi resolution. This would seem to be inexplicable, absent the current Orwellian falsification of

history typified by the Prague Declaration in the service of the restoration of global capitalism.

The reality of Nazism is unforgettably described in Michael Sayers and Albert E. Kahn's document of that period of history, "The Plot Against the Peace." (The Dial Press, Inc., 1945):

"The German General Staff employed a wide variety of measures aimed at the ultimate subjugation of some 500,000,000 people in Europe and Russia . By the millions, civilian Poles, Letts, Estonians, Czechs, Frenchmen, Ukrainians and Russians were herded into cattlecars and transported across the frontiers into Germany to become slave laborers working for the German war-machine. By 1945 twenty three countries lay shattered after German occupation. In Florence , Paris , Brussels , Rotterdam , Warsaw , Kiev , wherever the Nazi plague descended, the labor of centuries had been undone. Many liberated regions are great areas of ashes; cities are rubble; all transport is smashed; farms, factories and commercial enterprises are reduced to shambles. Tens of millions of human beings are starving and homeless. All of this chaos and destruction was part of a deliberate plan. The German General Staff ravaged according to plan. 'The destruction of neighboring people and their riches,' Marshal von Runstedt instructed, 'is indispensable to our victory.'"

"Throughout the 1920's and 1930's the German General Staff conducted a series of scientific studies of the various techniques by which enemy nations might be completely destroyed or permanently crippled...the ultimate product of the extensive research carried on by the scientists of the German General Staff was the policy of genocide...the policy of exterminating whole races and nations of people...The first victims of the policy of genocide were the Jews in Germany. Immediately after

Hitler came to power, the Nazi government launched a systematic campaign aimed at the ultimate extermination of the Jewish population of the Third Reich...By 1945 the Nazis had exterminated between 5,000,000 and 6,000,000 Jews in Europe and Soviet Russia."

"This methodical extermination of helpless men, women and children was not limited to the Jewish people. The Nazis applied their policy of genocide to all conquered peoples. And it was against the Slav peoples, the traditional enemy of Pan-Germanism that the policy of genocide was most extensively applied. 'It will be one of the chief tasks of German statesmanship,' Hitler told Hermann Rauschning, 'for all time to prevent, by every means in our power, the further increase of the Slav races. Natural instincts bid all living beings not merely conquer their enemies, but also destroy them. It is the victor's prerogative to destroy entire tribes, entire peoples.'" "Senior Corporal Reinhard Retzlaff stated: 'Special lectures were even arranged and delivered by leading officials of the German Field Police stating definitely that the peoples of the USSR and those of Russian nationality were inferior, and vast numbers of them should be exterminated, while a small section should be utilized by the big German landowners in the capacity of slaves.'"

Throughout Europe, Poland, France, Belgium, Netherlands, Greece, Yugoslavia, Czechoslovakia, over 20,000,000 people were exterminated by the Nazis.

"By 1942 Nazi leaders decided that the extermination of Soviet citizens was not proceeding at a sufficiently rapid rate...At a conference between Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler and Ernst Kalterbrunner, the chief of the security service, it was agreed that the use of poison gas should be introduced as the most effective and speedy means of killing people in large numbers... but even the mass shootings and gassings were not sufficient to carry out the plan

of the German General Staff. For the mass extermination of millions of Soviet citizens an even more highly organized and efficient technique than ravine massacres and mobile poison gas vans was necessary. By order of the German General Staff, the Death Camps were built. Murder on the titanic scale contemplated by the German General Staff at Maidanek and other death camps required as much organization and elaborate machinery as the mass production of tanks, planes and guns. Complex problems were involved in the extermination of millions of human beings, the disposal of their bodies, and the accumulation and distribution of their possessions."

"Following the liberation of Lublin in the summer of 1944, a group of some thirty foreign correspondents visited the Maidanek Death Camp. On August 27, W. H. Lawrence sent a dispatch to The New York Times which opened: "I have just seen the most terrible place on the face of the earth - the German concentration camp at Maidanek, which was a veritable River Rouge for the production of death, in which it is estimated that nearly 1,500,000 persons from nearly every country in Europe were killed in the last three years...this is a place that must be seen to be believed.' "The main purpose of the camp, said Heinz Stalbe, one of the SS guards at Maidanek, 'was to exterminate the greatest number of people, and for this reason it was named the Vernichtungslager, or Extermination Camp.'"

"To relieve the monotony of the daily mass executions, the Nazi guards amused themselves by inflicting horrible tortures and 'jokes' upon the prisoners. Another method by which SS men amused themselves: before a prisoner guilty of some misdemeanour was killed, he would be led over to a large, sparkling white wringer used by the camp laundry, and made to place his fingers between the heavy metal rollers. Then an SS man, or perhaps another prisoner forced into their

service, would turn the handle. The victim's arms would be literally mangled up to the shoulder. The agonising screams of the tortured victim would afford great entertainment to his Nazi torturers. A former prisoner named Atrakov told the Polish-Soviet Atrocities Commission: 'They took away babies from their mother's breast and killed them before her eyes by smashing them against the barracks wall.' 'I, myself,' said Edward Baran, another witness, 'saw how babies were taken from their mothers and killed before their eyes: they would take a baby by one foot and step on the other, and so tear the baby apart.'"

The Prague Declaration is the covert and devious attempt by the perpetrators of the holocaust, the greatest mass atrocity of modern history, to shift blame for their crime onto the victims of their genocidal madness. It was the communist parties in most of occupied Europe who fought partisan warfare against the Nazi occupiers, suffering torture and death for resisting Nazism. And it was the Soviet Union, a communist state, that won a superhuman victory over the Nazi invaders, who had originally boasted that they were the "master race."

The United States entered the war in Europe in June, 1944, and many American soldiers died fighting against the Nazis. But the tide of the war had already been turned against the Nazis in 1943, when the Soviet army, commanded by Georgi Zhukov broke the back of the Nazi war machine at Stalingrad. Ultimately, it was the Soviet Union that saved the world from the most heinous perversion of thought and deed of the Twentieth Century.

If the Soviet government had been as vicious a dictatorship as the Prague Declaration alleges, it should be obvious that the Soviet army, in 1945, led by Marshal Zhukov, flush with the greatest victory of World War II, could easily have turned their guns against Stalin, and taken over the government of the Soviet Union. The Soviet army had the weapons,

and they had the power, and they had the moral authority, and the gratitude not only of the Soviet citizens, but, indeed, when they met U.S. soldiers on the Elbe, they were allies. Obviously, the Soviet government was supported by the Soviet people, or the army would have mutinied. Mutiny was not unheard of in Soviet history. Less than 30 years earlier, the mutinous Russian sailors on the battleship Aurora had begun the revolution that overthrew the Russian Tsar, one of the most powerful dictators in the world.

The world is in another economic crisis which bears increasing resemblance to the "great Depression" of the 1930's, which was the fertile soil which spawned Nazism. The Prague Declaration is an attempt to 'normalize' the horrors of Nazism, alleging, with perilous duplicity, a false "equivalence" between Nazism and communism, tacitly encouraging the resurgence of Nazism amidst the economic crisis strangling the world economies today.

1932 the world was in the throes of the great depression, the global crisis of capitalism. According to the Museum of the Holocaust in Washington, DC, in 1932, 25,000,000 Germans confronted starvation. In 1932, more than 4,000,000 American faced starvation, and a mass movement of American veterans of World War I, who were jobless and hungry, marched on Washington D.C, to collect the bonus they had been promised for their service to the United States, risking their lives in World War I. The Bonus Expeditionary Force, hoping to receive the bonus they had earned, and had been promised, camped out in shacks in Anacostia, petitioning the U.S. government for their desperately needed bonus. Instead of helping them, President Hoover ordered the army to assault them; their shacks were set on fire by the army, they were tear gassed, bayoneted, and trampled by horses, and forced from the

nation's capital; many of the veterans, their wives and children were fatally injured by the armies of General Patton. In 1932 the great famine in the Ukraine occurred, and there was mass starvation..

Throughout Europe, the United States, Asia, millions of people starved to death as a result of the global depression. It is duplicitous to an extreme, at the time when mass starvation was global, to blame Stalin for causing the famine in the Ukraine, now melodramatized as the Holodomor. One could just as easily blame President Hoover, and the German Social Democrats for a deliberate policy of starving their citizens in the United States and Germany. In reality, the world then, as today, is the victim of the irrationality and failure of capitalism, a system which, in the twentieth century impoverished the majority of people throughout the world during the depression, and is giving indication of doing so, once again, as the "recession" deepens in the twenty first century.

When Franklin Roosevelt took office in 1933, he decried the fact that he beheld "one third of a nation ill fed, ill clad and ill housed." (That "one third of a nation" was more than 50,000,000 people.) Understanding world economy, Roosevelt promulgated an "Economic Bill of Rights" during his last State of the Union Address. There is a strong similarity between Marxist thought and Franklin Delano Roosevelt's Economic Bill of Rights. Nothing in Marxism alleges racial superiority, nor any genocidal doctrine. Roosevelt himself was fiercely anti-Nazi. And his progressive and humanitarian predisposition made him the victim of assassination attempts, both by pro-Nazi groups in the United States, and by Stefan Bandera's pro-Nazi OUN in the Ukraine. Roosevelt, himself stated before Congress, on January 11, 1944: "We have come to a clear realization of the fact that true individual freedom cannot exist without economic security and independence. 'Necessitous men

are not free men. People who are hungry and out of a job are the stuff of which dictatorships are made.'"

The Case of Algirdas Paleckis

This attempt to demonize the Soviet Union reached its nadir in Lithuania. While Nazi supporters are permitted to demonstrate throughout Lithuania, with no reprisals from the Lithuanian government (Nazis are equally free to proselytize in Latvia and Estonia), and Nazi collaborators from World War II are awarded government pensions and honors as patriots of Lithuania, Lithuanian government propaganda makes it a crime punishable by imprisonment, or huge fine, to question the Lithuanian government's falsification of the history of the events of the night of January 13, 1991.

According to former Lithuanian Defense Minister Butkevicius, he was advised by his foreign instructors to have Lithuanian snipers fire, from rooftops, at Lithuanian citizens gathered in Vilnius, on the night of January 13, 1991. Thirteen Lithuanians were killed by snipers firing rifles from above, to gain international sympathy and support for the Lithuanian independence movement, which did not have significant support, either internationally, or among Lithuanian citizens, themselves. The murders were blamed on Soviet tanks, on the ground, which were obviously unable to fire on the crowd from rooftops. Landsbergis' government connived in the murder of their own citizens to manipulate the support he needed to stay in power..

The Lithuanian statesman, journalist and patriot, Algirdas Paleckis, founder of the organization "Lithuania Without Nazism" and leader of the Lithuanian Socialist party, has been fighting a gruelling costly defense against the Lithuanian government's attempt to imprison him, or exact a huge fine as punishment for quoting, during a

radio interview, those two sentences stated by former defense minister Butkevicius, admitting that Lithuanians had murdered their own countrymen for political purposes. In the Baltics, Nazis are honored, but it is held to be a crime to question Lithuanian government propaganda, which falsifies responsibility for the murders of thirteen Lithuanian civilians on the night of January 13, 1991. Although Algirdas Paleckis was originally acquitted by the first court in Vilnius, whose judge was completely perplexed by this mass obfuscation of the facts, on January 22, 2013, Lithuania's Supreme Court convicted Paleckis of the crime of daring to question Lithuanian government dogma which, in an infamous false flag operation, attributes responsibility for the murders of January 13, 1991 to the Soviet Union. As should be obvious, Gorbachev was so totally sensitive to Western opinion and its so-called concern for human rights, that under no circumstances would he have ordered those murders in Lithuania. Indeed, the

actual collapse of the Soviet Union occurred without one gunshot being fired, and without one killing. I was in Moscow on the day the Soviet Union disintegrated, and I witnessed the bloodless collapse of that empire.

The Lithuanian government is obsessed with silencing Paleckis because his contradiction of its dogma, and the increasing number of witnesses corroborating his testimony, are opening a Pandora's box revealing the egregious obfuscation of reality which attributes to the Soviet Union responsibility for crimes which it never committed.. If Paleckis' allegations, and Paleckis, himself is not crushed, the entire false flag of the Prague Declaration, and the prevarication which discredits the Soviet Union will be exposed.

In large areas of Europe, Nazism has been sanitized, normalized and is now welcomed. The logical outcome of this great falsification of history follows: if Stalin was so terrible, Hitler should have won the war. The only problem with this "logic" is that a huge percentage of

the human species would have been obliterated, many of whom are dark skinned, and most of the rest would be enslaved to serve the white skinned, non-slavic "master race". There would be no Jews left to think about.

This year, 22 European countries abstained on the vote for the United Nations Resolution Opposing the "Glorification of Nazism." Last year, the same number of European countries actually voted against the resolution. In both years, Iran, Israel, Syria, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Cuba, and 120 other member states supported the Resolution, which was adopted by the UN General Assembly. The United States opposed the resolution on grounds of "Freedom of Speech." However, on that basis, the United States should fully support the acquittal of Algirdas Paleckis in his forthcoming appeal before the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France.

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-rising-tide-of-neo-nazism>

>>He said Islam was based on the Koran, which contained more anti-Semitism than Hitler's manifesto *Mein Kampf*, and on the example of the life of the prophet Muhammad.<<

Change religion, politician tells Muslims



Barney Zwartz, Religion editor, The Age, February 19, 2013

All Muslims should renounce their religion immediately in favour of Christianity or atheism - it would be better for them and for everyone else, controversial Dutch politician Geert Wilders said in Melbourne on Tuesday.

Insisting politely that he did not want to incite or offend anyone, the anti-Islam campaigner described the prophet Muhammad as "a warlord, terrorist and paedophile" and urged Australia to ban the Koran and all migration from Muslim countries.

Told that Premier Ted Baillieu had advised Victorians to ignore him, Mr Wilders said the Premier could ignore the threat of Islam and "sing *Kumbaya*" all day long, but the voters would wake up eventually.

Mr Wilders was speaking to the assembled media at a secret location 40 minutes' drive north-west of Melbourne, of which they were notified only in the morning.

The media had to register in advance, show ID on arrival and pass several burly men in dark suits with black radio earpieces.

For years, Mr Wilders has lived under constant police protection, staying in a government safe house and being driven in an armoured car, but before his visit Melbourne Muslim leaders said he was under no threat of violence from local Muslims. However, the Q Society, which is hosting his three-city tour, says it has had more than two dozen venues refuse to host him or cancel bookings for fear of violent protests. The Q Society was founded in 2010 to "educate Australians about Islam", media spokesman Andrew Horwood said. Mr Wilders - impeccably dressed and coiffured and a polished media performer who never raised his voice despite some hostile questioning - said Islam was a totalitarian system that was incompatible with freedom.



Geert Wilders at the clandestine press conference. Photo: Wayne Taylor

"I am here to talk about the Islamisation of Europe," he said. "If you think what happened in Europe will not happen in Australia you are totally wrong."

He said he did not oppose the multiculturalism on which Australia prides itself, but cultural relativism, "the crazy idea that all cultures are equal, so you don't have a dominant culture".

He said Islam was based on the Koran, which contained more anti-Semitism than Hitler's manifesto *Mein Kampf*, and on the example of the life of the prophet Muhammad.

"Muhammad was a warlord, terrorist and paedophile (who slept with a wife when she was nine). If 1.5 billion people think he is the best example to follow it's fair and necessary to analyse it and be able to talk about it.

"I call on all the Muslims in the world to leave Islam for Christianity or atheism or whatever they want. This will be good for them and also for our free society."

Australian Multicultural Foundation chief executive Hass Dellal said that call was so outlandish there was no sensible reply.

"He is full of contradictions and is wrapped up in his own notoriety. He never speaks of tolerance, understanding or cohesion," Mr Dellal said.

Islamic Council of Victoria past president Ramzi Elsayed said Mr Wilders' remarks simply showed ignorance. "He seems to be losing rationality in his argument," he said.

Mr Wilders cancelled the Perth leg of his tour because a hotel hosting him pulled out late on Tuesday.

Opposition Leader Tony Abbott said on Wednesday that Mr Wilders was "substantially" wrong on Islam.

"He's entitled to his viewpoint but I think that the Muslims in this country see themselves rightly as fair dinkum, dinky-di Australians, just as the Catholics and the Jews and the Protestants and the atheists," Mr Abbott told Fairfax Radio.

"That's one of the great strengths of our country - we are always conscious of what we have in common, rather than the things that divide us."

*

<http://www.smh.com.au/national/change-religion-politician-tells-muslims201302192ep21.html#ixzz2LVDcCvjP>

**Fredrick Töben's brief comment:
Just for your information as to what is happening in Australia... Geert Wilders is allowed to say anything he likes in Australia – and I get imprisoned for challenging the Jews, while Wilders is friendly to Jews and antagonistic towards Muslims. He remains silent on the fact that Christians and Muslims have far more in common than they have with Talmud-inspired Jews. I need to challenge this man – unfortunately the venue in Sydney was booked out...Wilders does not mention any of the problems faced by Jews in Israel. Why not? The below item from James Petras reveals what lies ahead in the Holy Land**

An Argument in Defense of Haredi Jews and Against Secular Zionist Militarism

James Petras - jpetras@binghamton.edu 21 February 2013

Israel is heading towards a profound internal crisis: a Jew-on-Jew confrontation, which has major implications for its relations with the Palestinians, as well as its Arab

neighbors. The conflict is between the highly militarized Zionist state and the Haredi religious movement over a number of issues, including recent proposals by the Israeli

Prime Minister Netanyahu to end the religious exemption of Haredi youth from serving in Israel's colonial armed forces.

Haredim and the Zionist Colonial State

Even before the forcible imposition ('founding') of the state of Israel, the Haredim were opposed to Zionism. Today the vast majority of Haredim in Israel remain staunchly opposed to the Zionist state for religious, ethical and political reasons. Haredi religious teaching claims that the Jewish people are bound by three oaths: (1) not to settle in Israel by using force or violence, (2) not to make war with other nations and (3) not to act as if the other nations of the world would persecute Israel. Haredim opposed Israel's violent ethnic cleaning of over 850,000 Palestinians in the course of establishing the Israeli State and continues to oppose Israeli settlers' violently land grabs against Palestinians. Unlike other so-called 'ultra-Orthodox' sects, who support Zionist colonialism and bless the Israeli military, the Haredim maintain that militarism corrupts the spirit and that Zionists have transformed Jews from righteous followers of the Torah into rabid ethnocentric supporters of a militarist state. For the Haredim, 'state worship', especially the waving of the Israeli flag in the temple, is a sacrilege comparable to the renegade Jews condemned by Moses for worshipping the Golden Calf.

The majority of Haredim boycott elections, organize their own schools (Yeshivas), encourage students to deepen their religious studies, emphasize community and family values (of a profoundly patriarchal sort) with numerous children and strongly reject the Zionist state's efforts to conscript Haredi youth into their colonial occupation army, the so-called Israeli Defense (sic) Force (IDF). All major Zionist political parties and the ruling colonial regime unite to demonize the Haredim, claiming they are shirking their patriotic military responsibilities. Via the mass media and public pronouncements Zionist politicians

and the state incite Israeli hatred against the Haredim: A study in 2006 claimed that over a third of Israeli Jews identified the Haredim as the most unpopular group in Israel.

The Haredim, on the other hand, have reason to fear and loath the secular militarist Zionist state and politicians: They claim that after World War II in the Zionist-controlled relocation camps for refugee Jewish children in Teheran, the Jewish Agency imposed Zionist ideology and militarist anti-religious policies in order to cut Haredim children off from their spiritual roots. According to one Haredim report many religious Jewish youth from Poland, mostly survivors of the Holocaust and Soviet Russia, were subjected to "unimaginable mental and physical cruelty with one goal in mind: (the) obliteration of Judaism". Given the Israeli drive today to harness a corrupted form of Judaism to serve colonial militarism, the Haredim have every reason to believe that the conscription of their sons and daughters will be accompanied by cruel, systematic Zionist brainwashing to ensure they make efficient (brutal) occupation soldiers.

Haredim versus Israeli State Values

The Haredim fervently believe in and practice the Biblical teaching: "*Be fruitful and multiply*". They have large families and the median age among the Haredim is 16 years. Their peaceful message to the militarist Zionists could be summed up as: "*Make babies, not bombs*".

Some Haredim leaders have met with Palestinian and Iranian officials and, in line with their religious doctrine, have declared their support for peaceful resolution of conflicts and denounced Israel's aggressive military posture.

Haredim are intensely religious and dedicate their time to discuss and debate the readings of their great religious scholars: Their message to the Zionists is to read

Maimonides' ethical treatises rather than listen to Netanyahu's bellicose, blood curdling rants.

Haredim live and study largely within the confines of their close communities. They insist on sending their sons to the yeshivas to study religious doctrine rather than to the West Bank to kill Palestinians. They call on their children to serve G-d - not the IDF. They seek truth in the Torah - not in conquest via the Preventive War Doctrines espoused by prestigious Israeli and overseas Zionist academic militarists.

Haredim focus on building a better life within their community; they reject the efforts of the Zionist state to entice them into joining the violent self-styled 'Jewish' settlers engaged in brutal land grabs in the West Bank, in the name of "contributing to society (sic)". The 'introverted Haredi way of life' is seen as a righteous alternative to the crass militarism, money laundering, financial speculation, human body part trafficking and real estate swindles rife among the elite Israelis and among sectors of overseas Zionists engaged in procuring multi-billion dollar tribute from the US Treasury.

Haredim believe, with exemplary evidence, that conscripting their youth into the Israeli colonial army would destroy their moral values, as their sons would be forced to grope and search Arab women at checkpoints, break the legs of stone-throwing Palestinian children, defend lawless self-styled 'Jewish' settlers as they paint obscene graffiti in mosques and churches and attack Arab children on their way to school ... not to speak of the ill effects of what secular Israeli Jews call a "modern education", full of historical fabrications about the origins of Israel, scientific readings on high tech war-making and "advanced" economic doctrines proclaiming the sacred role of the free market, and justifying the 60% poverty rate among Haredim as "self-induced".

The Haredim demand that the Israeli Jewish elite stop trying to conscript their youth into the IDF and stop the job discrimination, which has trippled the unemployment rate among Haredim.

The Coming 'Civil War': Zionist State versus the Haredim

The elected leader, Yair Lapid, of newly formed Yesh Atid Party, dubbed a "centrist" by the *New York Times*, and a 'moderate' by the leading ideologues of the US Zionist "lobby", ran on a platform of forcibly ending the Haredi exemption from conscription into the colonial military service. Yair Lapid, in the run-up to joining a new Netanyahu coalition regime, has launched a vicious attack on the Haredim. Lapid premises his agreement to joining Netanyahu's war machine on his plans to forcibly confront the Haredi leadership. Yair Lapid taps the class and secular resentments of Israel's upwardly mobile youth who bitterly complain of having to serve in the army, thus delaying their money-making opportunities, while the poor, semi-literate "blacks" (a derogatory term referring to the clothing of Haredim) engage in "worthless studies" of the Torah. Lapid, using the same perverted logic as Netanyahu, claims that "Ten percent of the population cannot threaten 90 percent with civil war", (*Financial Times*, 2/14/13, p. 6.). Once again, the executioner (Lapid) accuses the victim (Haredim) of the violence he is about to commit. Lapid's Yesh Atid, the centrist (sic) party, has allied with Naftali Bennett's neo-fascist 'Jewish Home Party' (pushing for the annexation of all of Palestine and expulsion of non-Jews) in smashing Haredi exemption to military conscription. They hold veto power over the next cabinet. This rabidly secular militarist assault has provoked great opposition and united the otherwise Zionist-religious parties: The Shas Party (Sephardic Haredim) and United Torah Judaism

have taken up the defense of the Haredim.

Lines are being drawn far beyond a Haredim-Zionist State confrontation.

The Larger Meaning of the Haredim-Zionist Conflict

The Haredim hostility to the secular Zionist state is in part based on its opposition to military conscription, thus calling into question Israeli militarism, in general, and specifically its policy of colonial occupation and regional aggression. While some Haredim may oppose conscription for religious reasons and seek exemption solely for its own youth, objectively, the effect is to undermine Israel's violation of Palestinian rights and to call into question the entire apartheid system. By speaking to spiritual values, they deny the legitimacy of the idea of a Jewish police state based on force, violence, torture and disappearance of political prisoners. Their questioning of the institutional configuration upholding Jewish supremacy and Israel as the homeland of the Chosen People, they strike a powerful blow at the ideological underpinnings of the overseas activity of the Zionist power configuration. Their animosity to the fusion of Jewish chauvinism and religious rituals and the tribal deification of the Israeli state is counterposed to their embrace of Moses Ten Commandments.

The Haredim study the teaching of the profound Judaic philosopher Maimonides and abhor Zionist militaristic strategists like Walzer, Dershowitz, Kagan, Feith, Netanyahu, etc. who preach colonial "just war" doctrines. Representing 10% of the Israeli population and a far greater percentage of military age youth, the Haredim are in a position to sharply limit the scope of future Zionist wars. If they succeed in blocking conscription, they would provide a lasting contribution to making the world in general, and the Middle East in particular, a

more secure and peaceful place to live.

Facing the prospect of a loss of future cannon fodder to sustain its colonial ventures, and in their frenzied attacks on the Haredim, the Israeli-Zionist elite have incited the majority of Israeli Jews to demonize them as 'backward', illiterate, freeloaders and to blame the religious curriculum for their growing and current 60% rate of poverty and high unemployment. Israel's war machine needs fresh recruits to maintain its imperial quest for a Greater Israel. Demographics - with families exceeding five children - indicate the Haredim are likely to double their percentage of the Israeli population over the next two decades. Faced with the 'facts on the ground and in the cradle', the colonial expansionist imperative drives all the leading Zionist parties to end Haredi exemptions. In response Haredi leaders threaten to engage in massive civil disobedience if the Zionists impose conscription, rightly seeing conscription of its youth as an assault on its most profoundly held spiritual and family values and as an opening wedge in destroying traditional community solidarity and reciprocal relations.

The Haredim share a common plight with Israel's Arab population: Both communities face increasing police harassment, discrimination, religious persecution and rising levels of poverty. A Haredim-Arab alliance would unite 30% of the population against a common secular militarist and plutocratic enemy. Farfetched as it seems on the subjective level, there are objective historical and structural processes which are driving the two groups together.

It is one of the great ironies of history that the world's modern secular anti-imperialist movements should find their most consequential allies among Israel's most traditional and deeply religious movement.