

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



November 2012 No 650

Some individuals have all the luck!

*

Developer's good deal

\$71 million bankruptcy avoided by paying just \$70,000

Sunshine Coast Daily, Saturday September 29, 2012 - p. 7

By Patrick Williams

Scott Juniper is free to move on with his life after avoiding a \$71 million bankruptcy by paying his creditors just \$70,000.

The former high-flying property developer entered a Personal Insolvency Agreement this week with his 15 creditors.

The agreement is essentially a clean slate for Mr Juniper, meaning he can move forward with his life and re-enter the corporate world should he choose without having creditors coming after him.

Vincents Chartered Accountants director and now Personal Insolvency Agreement trustee Nick Combis said the money, to be split among his 15 creditors, was more than they would have received had Mr Juniper gone bankrupt.

'Scott's obviously happy to avoid the stigma of bankruptcy,' Mr Combis said.

'Creditors will receive \$70,000 which based on my calculations would be more than they would have received in bankruptcy.'

A Personal Insolvency Agreement under Part X of the Bankruptcy Act 1966 (The

Act) is a flexible way for a debtor to come to an agreement with their creditors to settle debts without becoming bankrupt. There are no income, asset or debt limits. 'At end of the day it's a good result for Scott in that he avoids bankruptcy and pays a modest amount,' Mr Combis said. 'I'm sure creditors always want more and expect more but at the end of the day my investigations indicated creditors would not receive more than \$70,000 if he had gone bankrupt.'

Mr Combis said the \$70,000 was paid for by a third party on Tuesday after the agreement was signed on Monday. He has written to creditors and will be issuing dividends and paying in the next six weeks.

Legal documents previously showed Mr Juniper's debts included more than \$22 million to the Bank of Western Australia, more than \$48 million to the Bank of Scotland and \$144,999 to J.H. and N.J. Roberts.

www.sunshinecoastdaily.com.au

**Before the United Nations General Assembly, on September 27, 2012,
Bibi Netanyahu with his fantasy bomb -
The *real* bomb is, of course, elsewhere.**



'Here is the red line of the French atomic bomb'



Video: "A Man: Robert Faurisson talks with Paul-Eric Blanrue" (October 2010), in French, Italian, German, Arabic, English, Croatian: <http://www.unhommeFAURISSON.com>



'If Faurisson's nuclear activities continue in France, I'll bomb Vichy'

German court seeks fine against British bishop Williamson for Holocaust denial

By The Associated Press October 4, 2012

BERLIN - A German court is making a fresh attempt to punish a British ultraconservative Catholic bishop for denying the Holocaust in a television interview.

The regional court in the southern city of Regensburg has issued an order of punishment against Richard Williamson after an earlier conviction was overturned on a legal technicality last year.

Court spokesman Thomas Rauscher said Thursday that if Williamson accepts the order of punishment

he will be required to pay an unspecified fine. If he objects, the case will go to trial again.

The 72-year-old Williamson told a Swedish TV station in 2008 that he did not believe Jews were killed in gas chambers during World War II. Holocaust denial is a crime in Germany.

Williamson is a member of the ultraconservative Society of St. Pius X.

<http://www.calgaryherald.com/news/German+court+seeks+fine+against+British+bishop+Williamson+Holocaust/7342679/story.html>

Fury as Nazi memorabilia is sold off at auction house on the most solemn day in the Jewish calendar

- J.P. Humbert Auctioneers' sale took place on the day that Jews mark Yom Kippur
- Items on offer included an SS bayonet and Hitler Youth armbands
- Auctioneer Jonathan Humbert has admitted timing was 'unfortunate', but said it was not his place to make moral judgements
- Offered to make a donation to the Holocaust Educational Trust, which was rebuffed

By [Kerry Mcdermott](#), PUBLISHED: 00:26 GMT, 6 October 2012



Auctioneer Jonathan Humbert (seen right), admitted the timing of the sale had been 'unfortunate' An auction house has provoked fury in the Jewish community after it sold Nazi memorabilia on the holiest day in the Jewish calendar.

J.P. Humbert Auctioneers sold flags emblazoned with swastikas and Hitler Youth armbands on September 25, as Jews observed Yom Kippur.

The Northamptonshire auction house has since admitted the timing of the sale was 'unfortunate' and tried to donate an undisclosed amount to a Holocaust charity, which refused the offer.

The Holocaust Educational Trust refused the donation on the grounds they don't take money from the sale of items associated with the Nazi regime.

A spokesperson for the charity said: 'The Holocaust Educational Trust will not accept any donations from organisations which profit from the sale of items associated with the Nazi regime.'

'It is our view that these items are best placed in archives, museums or in an educational context.'

Mr Humbert defended the auction house, based in Towcester, Northants, and said yesterday: 'Not

being Jewish, how am I expected to know the dates of Jewish festivals?'

'The sum we offered was not the proceeds of any specific sale, it would have been a bona fide ex-gratia donation.'

'They refused it because it was against their policy.'

The sale included a wide range of Nazi memorabilia, including an SS bayonet, Hitler Youth armbands, a range of swastika flags and SS crockery.

Recent collections of Nazi photos, cutlery from the Buchenwald concentration camp and cuffs belonging to the Totenkopf, an SS division originally formed from concentration camp guards, were also included in the sale.



© newsteam

'It's not my place to make moral judgements': Items going under the hammer included Hitler Youth armbands and an SS bayonet



Jonathan Humbert defended the auction house in Towcester, Northamptonshire, which he said handles items 'sensitively and sensibly'

Although against the law in some European countries, including France and Germany, the sale of Nazi memorabilia is legal in the UK.

Mr Humbert added: 'If the law changes we will abide by it to the letter. But we do not actively court Nazi items and it is not for me to make a moral judgement.'

'We've sold a sofa and other things associated with Winston Churchill and he ordered the bombing of Dresden where tens of thousands of people died, yet we didn't have people saying those sales were disgusting.'

'We do handle items sensitively and sensibly, and in no way do we wish to glorify the Nazi regime.'

'This time, I have turned away items that I do not wish to be associated with: namely a cosh used at Bergen Belsen and a book entitled 'Covenant with Death' with horrific photos.'

'As an auctioneer, it is not my place to make moral judgements, but part of what we do is to keep alive the worst element in human nature.'

'If you keep these things alive in people's consciousness - and don't bury them - we can hope the terrible things will never happen again. 'No one is glorifying the SS or the Holocaust - far from it.'

Yom Kippur, also known as the Day of Atonement, is the holiest day of the year for Jewish people,

and is traditionally marked with fasting and prayer.

Comments

They were an English Auction House going about their legal business. How should they be expected to know the public holidays of another race/religion?

- [michelineb](#) , uk, 06/10/2012 06:09

I find the word memorabilia distasteful here. We're talking about the Holocaust not the Olympics. These articles shouldn't be privately sold, but held as part of museum collections.

- [Jen](#) , wilts, 06/10/2012 06:01

sickening. Will not be using this auctioneer ever,

- [Gill](#) , London, 06/10/2012 04:38

'I didn't know!' Heard that excuse before? And why shouldn't an auction house like any other business or person make 'moral judgements'? The sale of Nazi relics is disgusting anyway

- [graham](#) , london, 06/10/2012 04:18

I'm pretty sure if you do something on any day of the year you are bound to offend someone somewhere in the world. Fair enough I can't see the appeal for Nazi memorabilia and I don't think many would but come on... this is just another silly case.

- [Anon](#) , UK, United Kingdom, 06/10/2012 02:43

These really are inappropriate sales and frankly I'm disgusted.

- [Marcus](#) , Northamptonshire, 06/10/2012 02:08 although an unfortunate coincidence, how are the auctioneers meant to know when 'Yom Kippur' is?, cant expect the world to stand still.

- [T-Rod](#) , Manchester, United Kingdom, 06/10/2012 02:07

The memorabilia should be burned not sold! I think there is enough pictorial evidence of the Nazi regime and no need to keep flags and other items in circulation in the world. As a Jewish convert - from German descent- I'm very upset that they chose to hold this auction on Yom

Kippur. Their offer of a donation is adding insult to injury!

- [bekkigirl89](#) , Australia, 06/10/2012 01:51

The views expressed in the contents above are those of our users and do not necessarily reflect the views of MailOnline.

www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article2213696/Fury-Nazi-memorabilia-sold-auction-house-solemn-day-Jewish-calendar.html

Lucy "Looses": Penalty Provisions Stuck Down in "Human Rights" Censorship Law



Richard Warman asked for a penalty against Lemire at the Tribunal – And the Federal Court stuck down the entire penalty provision! Warman 0 – Lemire 1.

At the closing arguments in the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal hearing into the [Section 13](#) complaint by serial plaintiff [Richard "Lucy" Warman](#) against [Marc Lemire](#), Warman asked the Tribunal to assess a penalty against Lemire of \$7,500. [This weeks ruling by the Federal Court of Canada](#) stuck down the penalty provisions of the *Canadian Human Rights Act* and strips the power from the Tribunal to fine and penalize censorship victims.

The penalty provisions of the *Canadian Human Rights Act* [s. 54(1)(c)] have been used to heavily fine and punish victims of censorship. The penalties have been used as method to [silence those that dare to voice their opinions on the internet](#). Failure to pay the fines could be seen as contempt of a "human rights" order, which could land the person in jail for up to 5 years. From 2003 to 2008 close to \$60,000 was awarded in fines by the Tribunal.

From the [very beginning of the hearing](#), Marc Lemire - through his courageous lawyer Barbara Kulaszka - has submitted that the penalty provisions are unconscionable and an affront to our legal system. Both the Canadian Human Rights Commission and Richard Warman were demanding a fine be assessed

against Lemire. During the final day of the hearing, the CHRC withdrew their request for a fine, but Richard Warman continued his request.

Lucy "Looses"

In [Richard "Lucy" Warman's](#) final submissions he demanded:

143. I seek the following remedies and will address each one in turn:

a) An Order that the Respondent and/or anyone acting in concert with him cease the discriminatory practice, pursuant to section 54(1)(a) of the *Canadian Human Rights Act*.

b) An Order that the Respondent pay a penalty pursuant to section 54(1)(c) of the *Canadian Human Rights Act*.

...

156. Given that there are three factors militating **in favour of the highest possible penalty** and no evidence before the Tribunal in mitigation, **I submit that a penalty of \$7,500**, similar to that in the *Kyburz* case would be appropriate.

[Closing Submissions of Richard Warman - Aug 5, 2008]

By the end of the Lemire hearing before the Tribunal, Warman was basically the only one asking for a penalty against Lemire.

Here are the submissions of Marc Lemire (via Barbara Kulaszka) on the disgusting penalty provisions of the Canadian Human Rights Act.

The penalty imposed under s. 54 (1)(1.1) of the CHRA is a true penal consequence, defined by the Supreme Court of Canada in *R. v. Wigglesworth* [1987] 2 S.C.R. 541:

"In my opinion, a true penal consequence which would attract the application of s. 11is imprisonment or a fine which by its magnitude would appear to be imposed for the purpose of redressing the wrong done to society at large rather than to the maintenance of internal discipline within the limited sphere of activity." [para. 24]

In *Wigglesworth*, the Supreme Court held that the rights guaranteed by s. 11 of the *Charter* were available to persons prosecuted by the state for public offences involving punitive sanctions even if not criminal in the strict sense.

Under section 13, the complaint against the respondent need only be proven on the balance of probabilities, thereby violating section 11(d) of the *Charter* which provides that every person charged with an offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty according to law in a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal.

This penalty provision has now been used in every CHRT decided since its enactment except that of Eldon Warman. In that case, the CHRT member questioned the constitutionality of s. 54 and Warman and the CHRC thereafter abandoned their request for a penalty.

[UPDATE: [There was not a fine issued in the Warman vs Ouwendyk case.](#) The Tribunal held that: [58] *In regard to the other remedies sought by Mr. Warman (Warman wanted a penalty of \$7,500 and compensation of \$6,000), I have decided not to grant any relief under these provisions for the following reasons. [...] [64] Mr. Warman has, with the assistance of the Commission, instituted most of the s. 13 (1) complaints under the Act that have come before the Tribunal. He has been very successful in these cases and has garnered accolades for his work in this regard.*

The evidence in this case of his participation on Internet sites similar to the Northern Alliance site is both disappointing and disturbing. It diminishes his credibility. *For this reason and because the activities of the Respondents have ceased for a lengthy period of time, I will not make any further Orders in this matter.*]

In the *Eldon Warman* case, the CHRT member made several concise observations which bear on this issue and which the respondent herein adopts. He pointed out that it is the "moral blameworthiness of the Respondent's conduct that attracts the penalty... It is impossible to escape the correlations between the sentencing process in the criminal courts and the imposition of a penalty under section 54(2)." [paras. 55 and 56]

He commented that the purpose of an inquiry under the *CHRA* is not to measure the moral blame that attaches to a Respondent's actions. It is to rectify discrimination. The task of imposing a punishment and assessing a

pecuniary penalty fell outside the normal ambit of the Tribunal's responsibilities.

He summed the issue up by stating:

"The constitutional issue is whether the Respondent's freedom of expression can be restricted in this kind of way, without the kind of institutional and procedural safeguards that exist in the criminal process. This includes a higher standard of proof, proof of mens rea, and the strict application of the rules of evidence. It is one thing to punish an individual after a trial in a criminal court, with all the protections that the law extends to the accused. It is another thing to do so, in a process designed for other purposes." [para. 69]

It is submitted that the penalty provision has imported into section 13 the moral blameworthiness which the Supreme Court in *Taylor* consistently referred to as being absent from human rights statutes. However, even apart from the penalty, section 13 is not a remedial provision and is not used as such.

Warman 0 – Lemire 1

The Federal Court tossed out the Penalty provisions and stuck down 54(1)(c) entirely! Here is what the Federal Court ruled:

[107] Nonetheless, I agree with the Tribunal that the addition of the penalty provision has fundamentally altered the nature of the s 13 process and brought it uncomfortably close to the state's ultimate control measure, criminal prosecution, with which it was favourably compared by the Court in *Taylor*.

[112] In my view, the penalty is inherently punitive. Like a fine, it goes into the general revenue fund and not towards any compensatory measure such as an education or victim's fund. In *Schnell*, at paragraph 163, the Tribunal noted that s 54(1)(c) was designed to express "society's opprobrium for the discriminator's conduct." That view of the purpose of the penalty is enhanced by the factors set out in s. 54(1.1) which are similar to those which a criminal court would consider in determining the fine to be imposed on someone found guilty of an offence. Included is the wilfulness or recklessness of the respondent's discriminatory practice, his or her prior discriminatory practices and his or her ability to pay.

[113] I agree with the Tribunal that these are all reasons to support a finding that the s 13 regime with these aspects can no longer be considered exclusively remedial. [...]

THIS COURT'S JUDGMENT is that:

2. It is declared that ss 54 (1) (c) and 54 (1.1) of the *Canadian Human Rights Act* **are of no force or effect** pursuant to s 52 (1) of *The Constitution Act, 1982, being schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 11,1982;*

On the penalty provisions; [Game, Set, Match for LEMIRE.](#)

Can I count on you to support the cause of freedom and rid Canada of this disgusting though control legislation? My courageous lawyer Barbara Kulaszka and I have demonstrated what two dedicated freedom fighters can accomplish against overwhelming odds. We have single-handedly and doggedly fought the system and exposed the corrupt underbelly of the "Human Rights" Commission's racket. Nothing ever comes easy when you are fighting such fanatical censors. This case

is a seminal one, where the outcome will have serious implications on our right to think and speak freely in this country for generations to come. All Canadians will benefit when we manage to get this shameful law expunged from our legal books.

I cannot carry on this important fight alone. Your donations literally equal the survival of this case. No organizations are assisting with the bill at all.

You can contact me here:

Marc Lemire
762 Upper James St
Suite 384 Hamilton, Ontario

L9C 3A2

Email: marc@lemire.com

Web: <http://www.freedomsite.org> | <http://www.StopSection13.com>

Twitter: [@marc_lemire](https://twitter.com/marc_lemire)

Blog: <http://blog.freedomsite.org/2012/10/lucy-looses-penalty-provisions-stuck.html>

Blog:

<http://canadianhumanrightscommission.blogspot.ca/2012/10/lucy-looses-penalty-provisions-stuck.html>

Iran arrests 16 forex market manipulators

05 October 2012 17:24

TEHRAN – Iran arrested 16 main suspects on charges of manipulating the foreign exchange market on Wednesday, Iranian media outlets reported.

The arrested individuals had been exacerbating the situation of the foreign exchange market through carrying out illegal activities and exploiting the atmosphere created by the enemy's psychological warfare measures with the help of a number of domestic and foreign groups, which had been spreading "rumors".

According to the reports, a number of other people are being prosecuted in the case.

In addition, an informed source told the Persian service of the Fars News Agency on Friday that the turnover of the suspects' businesses was about 160 trillion rials – about 13 billion dollars at the official exchange rate).

The source also said that the suspects had hired a number of traders to carry out fake foreign exchange transactions on their behalf.

EP/AM/PA/HG

<http://www.tehrantimes.com/politics/102086-iran-arrests-16-forex-market-manipulators->

Argentina: President Cristina Kirchner talks to Iran

Adrian Salbuchi for RT - www.asalbuchi.com.ar

05 October, 2012, 14:53

Making a U-turn, Argentinean President Cristina Kirchner has announced she is now willing to talk to Iran, a country she accuses of master-minding the worst ever terror bombing on Argentine soil 18 years ago.

Immediately, Argentine Foreign Minister Héctor Timerman met with his Iranian counterpart Ali Akbar Salehi with the explicit goal of "reaching the truth".

Dangerous Truths...

Zionists outcries over Argentina's decision were immediately voiced. From Assistant US Secretary of State Roberta Jackson who warned that "*relations with Iran are never benign*"; to the presidents of the local DAIA (Argentine Delegation of Israeli Associations) Aldo Donzis, and AMIA (Argentine Israeli Mutual Association) Guillermo Bolger, who said Iran's proposal to talk "are disrespectful and offend Argentina's judiciary system", as "Iran cannot be trusted", and the Israeli government which condemned the meeting of foreign ministers even before it took place.

The truth is that eighteen years after a powerful bomb destroyed AMIA headquarters on July 18, 1994 killing

86 and injuring over 200, the case remains unsolved and the guilty were never brought to justice.

The Attack

From the very day of the attack, the "AMIA Case" has suffered flagrant interference from the US and Israeli governments. Then Argentine President Carlos Menem – who once boasted that Argentina and the US enjoy "carnal relations" – gave US and Israeli intelligence agencies FBI and Mossad unrestricted access and overriding involvement in the investigations into this attack.

In fact, it was an Israeli military intelligence officer who discovered in the rubble at AMIA's ground zero a small piece of metal belonging to the engine of a van which "luckily" (for the Israelis) carried the manufacturer's serial number and could thus be traced back to an alleged "car-bomb", even though no other pieces of that vehicle were ever found, nor were there credible witnesses who can say they ever saw the van.

As the years went by, the AMIA Case went through seven federal courts in Argentina and became drenched in lies, corruption and cover-ups. After erratically

starting off in different directions, today Argentina's Kirchner government formally accused the Islamic Republic of Iran of masterminding the attack.

Naturally, the US and Israel have great interest in making such accusations prosper as that would add further "evidence" of Iran being a rogue state ripe for unilateral Western military attack. Especially, at a time when US and Israeli credibility in the growing Iran nuclear program crisis reaches new lows, "proof of Iranian guilt" in the AMIA bombing would be a God-send.

So, basically, we have two plausible scenarios even though one of them is systematically ignored by the mainstream media:

Scenario 1 – An alleged "Iranian Link"

The AMIA Case is plagued by judicial and diplomatic corruption. An example refers to the impeachment of federal judge Jorge Galeano who authorized paying a \$400,000 bribe to Carlos Telleldín, a jailed stolen car dealer, so that he would provide false evidence supporting an "Iranian and Syrian Link" to this attack.

The bribe was funded by local banker Rubén Beraja, at the time president of DAIA and owner of the local Banco Mayo bank which would later collapse, landing Mr Beraja in jail for several years. In the nineties, however, Mr Beraja was a prestigious figure in International Zionist circles, being invited by former FED Governor Paul Volcker to join his "Commission of Notable Persons" investigating old dormant Jewish accounts in Switzerland, which led to Swiss banks having to cough up 1.25 billion dollars to global Zionist organizations.

Another milestone took place on September 21, 2006 when President Néstor Kirchner together with his Foreign Minister Jorge Taiana and first lady and then Senator Cristina Kirchner, held a closed-door meeting in New York's Waldorf-Astoria Hotel with eight key international Zionist organizations, notably, the American Jewish Congress, World Jewish Congress, B'Nai B'Rith lodge, Anti-Defamation League and others. No one knows exactly what was discussed but less than a month later, President Kirchner dispatched special public prosecutor Alberto Nisman to meet with CIA and Mossad contacts in Washington.

Upon his return to Argentina, Mr. Nisman – a militant Zionist – formally accused former Iranian President Ali Rafsanjani and seven of his cabinet members that included Ahmad Vahidi who would later become President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's defense minister of planning and financing the AMIA bombing through Hezbollah proxies.

This news hit Argentine newspaper headlines big time, inspiring Rabbi Israel Singer, political director for the World Jewish Congress to "congratulate the Argentine Government" for making official its "accusation against Iran", since that *"confirmed the commitment made by president Kirchner during that meeting"*.

Argentina's accusations, solely based on "intelligence" provided by the CIA and Mossad and their "car-bomb" theory, was promptly rejected by Iran.

Political and diplomatic pressure on Argentina's judiciary was so strong that since the alleged car-bomb wreck was never found, the Court went so far as to say that "the explosion was so powerful that the car-bomb dug deep under the entrance to the AMIA". Juan Gabriel Labaké, Argentine defense attorney for the only local person formally accused in this case, requested the Court order the ground under the AMIA entrance be dug up so the alleged car bomb wreck could be recovered. Alas!! The Court repeatedly refused to allow this...

So, if the Iranians and Syrians did not conspire with Hezbollah and Hamas to carry out this attack, who really did then?

Scenario 2 – Zionist In-fighting: An Israeli Link?

The AMIA case takes on a whole new dimension when approached within the timeline of what was happening inside Israel in the early nineties.

In 1991, the Madrid Palestinian-Israeli Peace Conference was formed which began moving forward fast after General Isaac Rabin won the June 1992 elections, making him Israeli Prime Minister.

Rabin's peace strategy required Israel to stop and dismantle illegal settlements by the ultra-right wing armed settlers movement, who claim that giving up even an inch of "sacred Israeli land" is outright treachery.

Nevertheless, Rabin steamed on with the peace process and in September 1993 famously shook hands with Yasser Arafat in the White House Rose Garden with Bill Clinton's blessing. Shortly after that, Rabin reached initial agreements with Syria over the Golan Heights and with Jordan. At the beginning of July 1994, Rabin allowed Arafat back into Palestine after a 27 year exile.

The ultra-right Zionist Settlers Movement went berserk; literally, because a few months earlier, in February 1994, one Baruch Goldstein – a New York Zionist militant belonging to the fundamentalist Kach group – stormed into a Hebron Mosque, opening fire on praying Muslims, killing 40. No one ever explained how Goldstein got through very strong Israeli security carrying a machine-gun...

Goldstein in turn was killed in the attack, but his tomb later became a place of pilgrimage for the Zionist Settlers Movement.

It is within this timeline that, on July 18 1994, the AMIA bombing took place; at a very critical point in the internal fighting between rival Israeli and Zionist groups.

At the time, AMIA leaders in Buenos Aires supported Rabin's peace process, which is why many in Argentina believe that the bombing could very well have been a "warning shot" from extreme right-wing Zionists aimed

at Rabin, to force him to abandon his "peace for territory" policy with the Palestinians

But Rabin "did not get the message". And so we reach the terrible climax of this sad story when on November 4, 1995 – little more than a year after the AMIA bombing in Argentina – Israeli Prime Minister Isaac Rabin was gunned down "JFK-style" on the streets of Tel-Aviv; not by a Muslim Fundamentalist, nor by a Palestinian terrorist, nor by a Neo-Nazi fanatic, but by one Ygal Amir: a young ultra-right wing Israeli student, member of the Zionist Settler's movement and linked to Shin-Beth, Israel's internal security service that was being revamped by Mr Rabin at the time.

With Isaac Rabin assassinated and out of the way, Shimon Peres stayed on as lame-duck Prime Minister for seven months until elections in 1996 brought Benjamín Netanyahu and the Likud Party to power, and Labor was mostly swept out of Israeli politics. Then came Ehud Barack, Ariel Sharon, Ehud Olmert, and again today Netanyahu, showing that militant Zionism is fully at the helm in Israel.

Today, as the US, Israel and the UK constantly threaten Iran with unilateral military attack, it appears President Cristina Kirchner – whether inspired by prudence or outright panic – has decided to "sit down and talk with Iran".

One wonders what will they be talking about? Little, no

doubt: Argentina's irrational and arbitrary accusations are shamefully unsustainable.

What will the local and international Zionist organizations that wield so much power over Argentina, the US and elsewhere do about this?

What will "The Embassies" in Buenos Aires (from the US and Israel, of course) do to bully Argentina? These are just some of the questions the AMIA case poses on the increasingly complex global grand chessboard in which Argentina has repeatedly and consistently mismanaged the AMIA case since 1994, all of which does not spell a bright future for Argentina.

Adrian Salbuchi is a political analyst, author, speaker and radio/TV commentator in Argentina.

http://rt.com/emailstory/?doc_id=102728&type_doc=1&referer=http%3A%2F%2Frt.com%2Fnews%2Fpresidentiranamiazionist728%2F%3Ffb_action_ids%3D2357646478809%26fb_action_types%3Ddog.recommends%26fb_source%3Daggregation%26fb_aggregation_id%3D288381481237582

Jean-Marie Le Pen unleashes new anti-Semitic conspiracy theory Honorary president of France's third-largest party claims B'nai Brith prevented him from joining government

October 4, 2012, 6:00 pm

JTA — The French far-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen said that B'nai B'rith had signed a "pact" with other politicians to keep him out of the government.

"The leader of the soft right signed a pact in which they vowed never to unite with Front National," Le Pen, who founded the National Front party 40 years ago, said this week in an interview for the television show "Minute." "It is said that it was B'nai B'rith, a sort of Jewish Freemasonry group, that imposed this clause."

Le Pen, who is the honorary president of the National Front now headed by his daughter, Marine Le Pen, added that former French President Jacques Chirac of the center-right UMP party signed the pact.

"Furthermore, I think that certain representatives of the RPR took pride in that pact, which was notably signed by the abominable Chirac," said Le Pen, 84. Founded in 1976, RPR dissolved into UMP in 2002.

B'nai B'rith is an international organization focused on promoting Jewish unity and countering anti-Semitism, according to its website.

The president of B'nai B'rith France denied the existence of any pact involving B'nai B'rith and Front National.

Dr. Richard Prasquier, president of CRIF, the umbrella group representing French Jewish communities, called Le Pen's theory the result of "senility or obsessive anti-Semitism, and probably of both." In a statement posted on the CRIF website, Prasquier added that the "tendency to see conspiracies is a characteristic of anti-Semites, and unfortunately this is the case with Mr. Le Pen."

He also called on Marine Le Pen to remove her father as honorary president of the party, currently the third largest in France.

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/jean-marie-le-pen-unleashes-new-anti-semitic-conspiracy-theory/>

Lateline Business

Jewish publisher sparks asylum seeker debate

Reporter: Hamish Fitzsimmons, Australian Broadcasting Corporation, 09/08/2012

The publisher of Australia's major Jewish newspaper has sparked a fierce debate by arguing the holocaust experience was generating misplaced sympathy for asylum seekers.

TONY JONES, PRESENTER: An article by the publisher of Australia's major Jewish newspaper has sparked fierce debate in the Jewish community about refugee policy.

In a piece titled Curb Your Compassion, Robert Magid argued that the Holocaust experience was generating misplaced sympathy among Jews towards asylum seekers.

Hamish Fitzsimmons reports from Melbourne.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS, REPORTER: When Robert Magid crafted his article for The Australian Jewish News, he never foresaw the vehemence of the response from his own community.

ROBERT MAGID, PUBLISHER, AUSTRALIAN JEWISH NEWS: I think it is absurd. Nothing I've said had anything to do with racial hatred. Last thing I would be accused of if anyone knows me is of racial hatred.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: He was accused of stoking anti-Muslim sentiment when he warned of an increase of Islamic extremism in Australia if authorities couldn't verify the identities of asylum seekers arriving by boat. He also warned Jews should curb their enthusiasm for supporting boat people.

ROBERT MAGID: What's happened is the whole thing is skewed because we see images of people on boats fearing to be drowned and we feel compassion towards them but we don't see the people who are waiting many years and who are eligible to come but are prevented from coming as a result of the queue jumping.

MARK BAKER, MONASH UNIVERSITY CENTRE FOR JEWISH CIVILISATION: Jews have been a refugee community. They have been dispersed across many countries and often had to seek refuge and I think historically not only have Jews been on the receiving end of seeking asylum but they've also been at the forefront of defending the human rights of refugees, campaign for them as they do in Australia today.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: In the piece, Mr Magid wrote: "the collective memory of Jews' attempts to escape the Holocaust leads to sympathy for any immigrant whatever the situation."

ROBERT MAGID: Of course we should be compassionate but the compassion should be tempered

by reason and I believe that by expressing my point of view, which is unsympathetic to illegal immigration, that I've trodden on a lot of toes for whom it is a sacred cow.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: Arnold Zable is an author and human rights campaigner. He believes Robert Magid would change his opinion if he heard the stories of many of those so called queue jumpers.

ARNOLD ZABLE, AUTHOR AND HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGNER: I invite him to come with me and speak to asylum seekers who have been tortured and traumatised, and traumatised in ways that take me back to what I experienced as a child with a mother who was so severely traumatised by having lost her family during those dark years known as the Holocaust.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: Mr Magid says people who pay criminals to bring them to Australia are taking the place of others waiting for official approval. He's critical of the claims Jews should be more compassionate because of the Holocaust.

ROBERT MAGID: To bring that analogy to the situation of people who pay smugglers rather than wait for their turn to be accepted as refugees is not the kind of compassion that I support.

ARNOLD ZABLE: I find that outrageous. The core issue here is putting ourselves in the shoes of those who are fleeing and if you put yourselves in the shoes of those fleeing, you want to make a better life for family. You want to get out of an oppressed situation and the situations are dire.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: Others argue it is exactly the historical experience that makes Jews more accepting of refugees.

MARK BAKER: The experience of the Holocaust bears out the importance of the story of compassion. There are in the post-Holocaust period millions of people who are from other countries who have experienced genocide, have experienced war, who are seeking a life in escaping the prospect of death, and I think that Australia should be receiving as many people as is possible.

HAMISH FITZSIMMONS: Hamish Fitzsimmons, Lateline.

<http://www.abc.net.au/lateline/content/2012/s3564657.htm?>