

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



October 2011 No 592

Holocaust-Shoah and 9/11:

Two big historical lies perverting the course of Universal Justice!



A recent repeat in Libya – saturation bombing to effect regime change and liberate a people from a ruthless dictatorship through freedom and democracy, thereby securing control over natural resources needed by countries operating under predatory capitalism



Dresden

Germany - February 13, 1945, the war at its end, Germany in ruins. With no warning, Churchill orders US and British bombers to drop over 3,300 tons of incendiary bombs on this non-combatant hospital city, swollen with refugees fleeing other destroyed cities. The bombs ignited a massive human sacrifice firestorm burning alive between 3 to 500,000 innocents in the worst single event massacre in history. More were genocided in defenseless Dresden than died in Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined.

The fleeing city zookeeper later wrote of the spine-chilling screams of the elephants he had to abandon.

Dresden. Don't forget.



Deesillustration.com

A controlled global media set on creating the hedonistic-nihilistic consumer society where predatory capitalism helps close down our human potential, where addiction to 'feeling good' reigns supreme, where independent thinking is criminalised.



Anyone not liked and regarded by Jews as a threat to their base world-view defending is defamed as a: hater, Holocaust denier, anti-Semite, racist, Nazi, xenophobe, terrorist.

Remember, those who use such labels to shut down a conversation are themselves prisoners of a mindset that fears truth and any other transcendental civilising values, which would require them to think things through and to give up huff-and-puff bully tactics or playing the victim when the battle-of-the-wills is against them.

Anyone seeking to retain a civilized life-style where measures of autarky have been achieved, and where liberation from things Jewish has occurred, will feel the blowtorch of smear tactics and legal persecution.

Refined and sophisticated discourse, though sold as an interest, is feared by Jews who cannot help but always fall into the Freudian trap of base motives. Just watch the trash coming out of Hollywood and you'll realize that there is always some toilet-sexual scene that reflects how fearful Jews are of transcendental quests for truth and beauty.

And still Töben reminds us: Don't blame the Jews; blame those that bend to their pressure.



The delusional mindset that carries the Holocaust mythology is well-expressed by Abraham H. Foxman, National Director of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, New York, in *ADL On the Frontline*, January 1994, page 2:

"The Holocaust is something different. It is a singular event. It is not simply one example of genocide but a near successful attempt on the life of God's chosen children and, thus, on God Himself."

The Galileo syndrome

TELL THE TRUTH AND GO TO JAIL! 14/08/2009

(Note for those who have an American public school education: Galileo Galilei was an Italian polymath who actually founded the scientific method. When he became the first human to formally propose that the Earth orbited the Sun, which was contrary to the fables

of the Catholic church that ruled the world in the late 1600s, he spent the rest of his life under house arrest. Our beloved Holy Mother the Church apologized 400 years later.)

Paul was persecuted by Jewish officials for telling the truth to the Galileans, just as those who declare historical truth and warn of the Jewish plans for the world conquest are persecuted today. In his letter he asks the question: "Have I become your enemy because I tell the truth?" (Luther Bible, 1912, Galileans 4:16)

— Revisionist Clarion, 2009

"For the nation that will not serve you shall perish, it shall be utterly ruined." (Isaiah 60:13) How foolish are the non-Jews who do not take these threats seriously! The powerful Jews, the global money lords, live by these rules and follow them exactly. Once more it has become unmistakably clear that they do not have a bad conscience when they deceive and rob us... When they commit robbery and murder, they are but following the commandments of their god!

— Horst Mahler, 2009

How many people thrown in jail for trying to tell the truth will it take 'til we know that too many people passively accept the deliberate lies we've been manipulated into believing?

Like rotting fruit hanging off a diseased tree, examples are rife — 9/11. Iraq. Afghanistan. Gaza. Chemtrails changing the physical composition of humans. Dead microbiologists and the swine flu vaccine mass murder caper. Fixed vote counting. Our food supply is deliberately contaminated by known poisons. The bailout a robbery to exceed all others, just like what happened to Rome.

Mainstream media, tasked with numbing the minds of all they mislead, pretend not to recognize that these issues are all phony spin concealing ulterior purposes, and by doing so, work to suffocate the consciousness of everyone in the world.

All these wrenching public events are false stories foisted on a gullible public for the purpose of, at best, fleecing them. These are the lies that rule the world, and kill people in real time. The New York Times is not a newspaper; it is the dispenser of Jewish information, designed to cripple and maim societies until they bleed or starve to death. As such, it has done more harm to ordinary people than any other publication in history. (See its endorsement of Woodrow Wilson after the Federal Reserve Act passed.)

But the number one example — the issue over which more innocent, truth-telling people have been imprisoned than any other — is the Holocaust, that Jewish public relations gambit to get people to feel sorry for the Jews by exploiting the suffering of their own people, gaining control of political and legal systems, and passing laws that command everyone to feel sorry for the Jews. (Not to mention reaping billions in reparations!) This effectively conceals or at least deflects discussion about any information about crimes committed by Jews.

That the Madoff ripoff of billions of dollars and the kidney-selling rabbis of New Jersey got mainstream

media coverage is a clear indication that this Jewish behavior was so horrible that not even the anti-Semitism card could conceal it, although Ha'aretz, the Israeli daily newspaper, did actually play it.

Our situation is much worse than the harsh futures Orwell and Huxley contemplated. You will be told what to believe or else. You will love the Jews, even as they rob and kill you.

The new Holocaust religion is the thought-control device that enables all the other lies to remain in place amongst the dulled minds of the anesthetized populace. The latest casualty of laws that criminalize speech against the Jews is Fredrick Töben, the revisionist professor, jailed in Australia for publicizing versions of history that Jews find offensive — like, Hitler didn't gas anyone and no soap was made out of Jewish corpses. People were not thrown into "lakes of fire," as Nobel prizewinner Elie Wiesel reported, so emblematic of the fabricated Jewish hysteria so widely publicized by Jewish-owned media.

Töben joins a long line of people of integrity merely reporting their empirical findings of history, who were summarily thrown into jail because their study of what really happened revealed the mainstream Jewish version of World War II to be as fictional as current policies, practices and perspectives in U.S. media so vividly demonstrate.

Ernst, Sylvia and Horst

Of all the unjust imprisonments, the sorry tale of Ernst Zündel has to rank at the top. Kidnapped from his home in Tennessee by federal agents, he has spent the last eight years in one prison or another in one country or another for publishing information that has since been proven to be true. Although due for release next year from Mannheim Prison, Zündel and his wife have no country in which to feel secure, because the Jewish octopus of kangaroo courts has wrapped its demonic tentacles around virtually every so-called nation in the world, and his wife Ingrid is charged with many of the same crimes as Ernst supposedly committed, like "defaming the memory of the dead."

Zündel endured two show trials in Jewish-controlled Canada back in the 1980s and was acquitted, but the Jewish harassment against him never stopped. The original offense he supposedly committed was publishing "Did Six Million Really Die?" by a writer named Richard Harwood. The plaque at Auschwitz has recently been changed from 4 million to 1.4 million, making the 6 million figure a mathematical impossibility, and proving the truth of Harwood's title. But Zündel remains in jail, as do all those other principled individuals who have by scholarship and honesty fully debunked the shamefully twisted Jewish version of World War II.

Even more ridiculous and sinister than Zündel's continuing punishment is the jail sentence being served by his lawyer, Sylvia Stolz, who was convicted of

uttering forbidden information in her attempt to defend Zündel.

Stolz is known for telling the corrupt German Jewish judge what she really felt:

"What about you — are you Germans? "German" stands for honor and steadfastness! Think of Deutsche Treue! Nobody can call what is going on in this court as "honorable." In this court, the only "justice" is inspired by the Talmud!"

Sentenced to three years for merely defending her client, Stolz told the court:

"If my actions bring a little more light into this dark hour for Germany, then I will gladly go to prison! It does not bother me that I am officially ridiculed and insulted by this despicable court and atrocious government... My high and mighty judges, you are convicting yourselves, not me."

Imagine, in this world, a court that denies reason and truth in favor of what it has prejudged to be the truth, and nothing more may be discussed about it, on penalty of the law. This is the new Jewish justice that rules the world.

As heart rending as the long slow torture of Zundel and Stolz has been, the jailing of Horst Mahler shows the sheer cruelty of sadistic Jewish judges trying to maintain their Talmudic mindlock on the world at large. Mahler, 73, was given a six-year sentence. At his age, likely a death sentence, capital punishment for trying to tell the truth.

His initial statement to the court went like this:

I respond to the question of why I am doing this with an observation by Prof. Robert Faurisson, the famous French revisionist and expert on the subject of the legends surrounding homicidal gas chambers.

These legends form the very heart of the "Holocaust" cult or religion.

The following quotation appeared in the newspaper La Montagne on 8 January 2009:

"The Zionist-American axis has bombed German children with phosphorus, bombed the Japanese with atomic bombs, bombed Vietnamese children with Agent Orange and bombed Iraki children with depleted uranium. It is high time that the defeated, humiliated and slandered of the world strike back, and I have been doing this for many years with the "atom bomb of the poor."

That is Historical Revisionism.

Revisionism is a weapon that kills and disfigures no one.

It destroys nothing but lies, slanders defamation, and the myth of "Shoa" along with its horrible "Shoa Business" that is so dear to the likes of Bernard Madoff, Elie Wiesel, all those "miraculously rescued" survivors of "Holocaust" and the murderers of the children of Gaza.

<http://www.aaargh.codoh.com/blog/revieng/2009/04/12/horst-mahlers-heroic-struggle/>

Mahler figured his jailing was just another case of "individuals who choose historical correctness over political correctness must be silenced through use of the criminal laws."

The Revisionist Clarion reported it this way.

Mahler has never suggested that ordinary individual Jews are Germany's enemies, however.

He is referring to Jewish law, especially the Old Testament or Tora, which designates the whole non Jewish world as its enemy.

Mahler emphasizes that since the Jewish ruling elite consider us their enemy, we must take their hostile views into consideration.

The Zionist controlled German media all agree on one point: Mahler must not be allowed to express defense arguments in court.

Can truth be made law?

The law is the law, but the truth is the truth.

How could it be that casting doubt of the Jewish version of events in World War II — a twisted version of it that was not even invented until the 1960s, while the war took place in the 1940s — is a crime in all these Jewish-controlled countries such as the United States, Britain and Germany?

The answer is the brutal truth of human existence. They control everything. The money, the media, medicine, and especially the court system. Not a single district attorney in America dared to convene a grand jury to investigate the 9/11 coverup, despite the overwhelming evidence that has been thoroughly disseminated despite the mainstream media blackout.

Jewish kingpins planned and executed 9/11 and Bush and Cheney went along for the ride. Not a peep of that possibility in mainstream media, or at least not yet, after eight years.

In the same vein, even mainstream media never wrote about a "holocaust" until the 1960s, nothing by World War II leaders Eisenhower, Churchill and Stalin (all of whom, BTW, were Jewish) about "gassings," and no paperwork left by the meticulous Germans about plans to exterminate anyone. The dead at the end of the war were principally killed by starvation and typhus, caused by American bombing of the German supply lines. Many photos of emaciated victims and corpses displayed as Jewish were actually of German dead with changed captions.

There have never been greater crimes than the nuking of two Japanese cities and the firebombing of innocent war refugees in Dresden. Why is it that less than a century later, all we can remember is so-called Jewish descendants seeking to be paid for the suffering of their grandparents?

What is there so special about Jews that we can't talk about them openly? Since the time of Cicero, we've had to whisper about them for fear of them. Such sentiments were also attributed to the character of Jesus.

The answer should be clear to anyone who has studied the problem for any length of time.

It is because they are constantly and perpetually robbing us, literally (more than you know) stealing us blind. And as a result, we ARE blind. We can't see anything they do without seeking alternative sources, because their control of the media is nearly total.

From school to TV to movies to magazines, the message is: "Don't believe. Screw your neighbor. Sell yourself. You're worth nothing unless you do this." And kids buy it. James Dean types. Kids have always bought it. That's how Bernays got the women to smoke.

The billions of dollars that have been sucked out of so many countries for "Holocaust reparations" is all a con game, and people's lives are being ruined simply for saying so. Jewish control of the court systems in all these countries is so complete that Colin Powell's preposterous notion that anyone who speaks badly about Israel is anti-Semitic means that treason rules in the people who run our government. In fact, there is no

U.S. government anymore; it is now a subsection of the Western Corporate Kibbutz of the Jewish terror state in occupied Palestine.

Now, the latest Jewish invention coming to the United States in the form of our latest hate crime law is an exciting new board game called "Tell the truth and go to jail."

It's something all you Hebrew wannabes out there should teach your children, especially on one of your many holy days that celebrates the slaughter of non-Jews.

(Apologies for not mentioning George Hansen, James Traficante, Dick Simkanin, Irwin Schiff and Nancy and Ed Brown, all vivid examples of the Galileo syndrome, along with so many other honest people — especially all the other Revisionists doing time in Jewish jails — who have paid the unfair price exacted by our Jewish masters for simply telling the truth.)

http://johnkaminski.info/pages/the_next_chapter/the_galileo_syndrome.htm

James Petras

Empire or Republic: from Joplin, Missouri to Kabul, Afghanistan

Introduction

On May 29, 2011, President Obama visited Joplin, Missouri, the site of a devastating tornado that killed 140 and pronounced it a terrible "tragedy". But were the deaths the inevitable result of 'natural events' beyond the human intervention?

Coincidentally the same week Afghan President Karzai condemned the killing of a family of 14 by a NATO fighter bomber, running the total to several hundred civilians killed so far this year and thousands over the decade.

The relation between the civilian deaths in Joplin and Afghanistan raises fundamental questions about the priorities, character and direction of the US Empire and the future of the American republic.

Geography of Tornadoes

Every year at least 20 major violent tornadoes – with winds exceeding 200 mph – hit "tornado alley" and beyond, including central Texas, northern Iowa, central Kansas, Nebraska, western Ohio, Missouri, Indiana, Mississippi, Louisiana and Alabama. Each and every year at least sixty are killed and several hundred are maimed and injured. This year, through May 2011, over 519 have been killed, 25% of whom were in mobile homes, almost three times as many as those in standard houses.

In other words, these tornado-related deaths are predictable, annual, and region-specific and have a higher incidence among low income households. Government agencies and academics have compiled data banks and time series information mapping the route, frequency and impact of tornadoes.

Information about the nature of killer tornadoes is plentiful. Nevertheless deaths mount from year to year. Fear and insecurity stalks the region's most susceptible to the violent whirlwinds, even as the Congress and White House have increased personnel and funding for 'Homeland Security' twenty fold over the decade. The current budget is over \$180 billion. If we add the deaths caused by other 'natural' disasters like the flooding of New Orleans, the numbers of deaths are staggering. What explains this perverse relation between huge public funding for 'homeland security' and the increased insecurity of vulnerable Americans in clearly identified danger zones?

The reason is clear: 'Homeland Security' (HS) is an Orwellian misnomer. The agency is not concerned with domestic, civilian, American security. HS is part of a military-police response to imagined overseas threats, which have not materialized or at least have not produced deaths comparable to tornadoes and floods in the last 11 years.

HS spends billions and employs thousands to investigate, spy and harass citizens engaged in legal-constitutional activities. HS and the Pentagon spend tens of billions on overseas infrastructures – buildings, bases, camps -and over 900 billion in arms. HS and the Defense Department forcefully intervene militarily throughout the world via overt and clandestine operations.

To be precise HS intervenes offensively overseas, attacking civilian targets, while it fails to engage domestically to protect American civilians who are left defenseless in the face of predictable natural disasters.

HS and the Pentagon's sustained violent overseas operations are rejected and regarded as a hostile imperial intervention by the civilians in those countries adversely affected. In contrast, defenseless citizens in the US would welcome large-scale intervention in the form of community shelters, which would provide survival, security, life-saving protection and financial aid for rebuilding their lives. Moreover, Pentagon and HS spending on overseas infrastructure, bases and bombs results in deficits, whereas investments in tornado and flood shelters would stimulate jobs, growth and investment in the US.

The current activity of HS destroys lives abroad and neglects survival at home: It has nothing to do with our "homeland" and even less with our "security". Five percent of HS budget would have prevented many of Joplin's 'tragedy' (and saved us from Obama's gaseous oratory!) and the other 400 deaths from this year's crop of tornadoes.

Systemic Bases of Perpetual Domestic Neglect

Death from 'natural' events raises a fundamental POLITICAL question: Why is the budget of Homeland Security and the Pentagon directed overseas, toward destructive, offensive, military activity rather than to domestic, constructive, defensive activity to protect American lives and productive economic activity?

The problem is systemic not due to some personal flaw or political idiosyncrasy of the moment. The structures of the US economy and military institutions are oriented 'outwardly' to conquering foreign financial markets and building a military empire. The ideology which informs strategic policymakers is imperial-centered not republican: They do not speak of developing and deepening the economy and security of 'middle America'.

Every member of the political and corporate elite talks of 'world' or 'global' leadership – a thinly veiled euphemism for the drive to sustain world dominance. Within the imperial framework the entire 'security' budget is directed toward maintaining offensive military supremacy.

No wonder there is a steep decline in all spheres of domestic security – natural, social, personal, health and employment – a phenomenon that proceeds with little public debate. The only exception is when threats to security impinge most directly and forcefully on a significant sector of the population. For example, witness the storm of protest from those directly affected when the politicians moved to privatize social security and Medicare.

Nevertheless, the entire political spectrum, the two parties, the Congress and the White House over the past 30 years, have created an artificial consensus in which overseas wars, foreign aid to patrons (Israel) and clients (Pakistan and Egypt) absorbs the greatest percentage of budgetary spending. No political or

economic leadership has stepped forward to articulate the obvious connection between global expansion and domestic decay; to forcefully state that the deterioration of the republic is a direct product of the vast resources channeled into military and economic empire building. Who on New York City's Wall Street or Washington's Pentagon is going to even look at or consider a 'security plan' with regard to the geography of catastrophes – tornado alley covering a dozen states and the floods and deaths that overwhelm the lowlands from Montana to Louisiana?

Listen America:

Their message is loud and clear:

Small towns and trailer parks do not count! You have your 2nd amendment (the 'right to bear arms'), you have your 'small government', and you have your flags: 'Wav 'em and weep' as tornadoes blow down your houses and your sons and daughters return wrapped in flags to the Battle Hymn of the Empire!

Conclusion

One might argue that community storm shelters won't break the Treasury or reverse the empire. More to the point, their absence, from the federal, state and local political agenda, is emblematic of the total subordination of domestic America to imperial Washington. The 'cost' of building community shelters at the strip malls and trailer parks in Joplin, Missouri is less than a regional training outpost in Kandahar, Afghanistan. It is not a question of money.

Conquering Afghanistan villages enhances the prestige of the Generals, the Joint Chiefs of Staff and NATO officials. Can saving 145 lives in Joplin, Missouri match that in terms of world politics or the politics of imperial leadership?

For Afghanistan, Washington builds a thousand military shelters and bomb proof bunkers. For the Americans living in tornado alley and the flood plains of the Mississippi people must make do.

When you hear the tornado warning, it's up to you. As a proud, free American you can find a rock to crawl under and say your prayer: the Federal government and Homeland Security have the Endless, World-wide War against Terror to fight and cannot be bothered by a Joplin, Missouri nursing home in the path of a tornado.

We exaggerate: Obama will jet in and speak before the cameras in solemn terms of the 'tragedy' and 'courage' of the people of Joplin... But will any local politician stand up and speak truth to power? Most of these deaths and (many more to come) are avoidable; under a democratic American republic, the government 'intervenes' to provide protection, health and employment for its people.

In the meantime, as the empire continues to grow it destroys its own people, just like the sow that devours its offspring.

VARIOUS ITEMS OF INTEREST – about the usual suspect!

Hitler ordered Hess to Scotland ***The Scotsman*, Edinburgh — Claire Gardner & Allan Hall**

For seven decades it remained one of the enduring mysteries of the Second World War. Why did Hitler's deputy Rudolf Hess embark on his disastrous "peace-making" mission to Scotland? ... Now, a document has been discovered in a Russian archive which suggests Hess was following the orders of his Führer.



HITLER'S RIGHT-HAND MAN — Known as the "conscience of the Movement," Rudolf Hess is shown standing beside the Führer at a Nuremberg rally. New evidence reveals that Hitler hoped peace could be reached with Great Britain.

I was Hess's guard in Spandau and I can't believe he flew to Scotland without his Führer's approval

***The Scotsman*, Edinburgh — Clive Fairweather**

Ever since I guarded him at Spandau Prison, I have retained a fascination with Rudolf Hess's peace-making flight and the truth behind it. I was a captain when I guarded him at Spandau in the 1970s and later the commanding officer of the Kings Own Scottish Borderers, and responsible for demolishing the prison in 1987 after his death. ... My thoughts are that I can't believe that Hess was acting alone. I find it implausible that he loaded a Messerschmitt with two additional fuel drop tanks then flew from the bottom end of Germany, across German airspace unchallenged, then across the North Sea, and into British airspace without any challenges about what he was doing.

<http://thescotsmanscotsmans.com/worldwarII/I-was-Hess39s-guard-in.6776569.jp>

Should the British Have Sunk the Bismarck? **Daily Mail, London**

.. .. The son of one of the British sailors who saw Bismarck's end. 70 years ago today has come forward to claim that the battle might have ended very differently - because the German crew tried to surrender at the height of the bombardment ... Royal Navy officers were made aware of the signs but were

determined to follow Winston Churchill's order to "sink the Bismarck." The Prime Minister wanted to avenge the Hood, on which all but three of its 1,418 crew had died. Had the Bismarck been captured, the lives of hundreds of Germans could have been saved. The ship would also have been a prized catch, giving Navy engineers an insight into the design of Bismarck's mighty sister ship, Tirpitz.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1391220/Should-sunk-Bismarck-Tormented-sailor-reveals-German-sailors-tried-surrender-ship-destroyed-costing-2-000-lives.html>

Should William Joyce have been hanged? **Daily Express, London**

.. .. An 82-year-old widow, Heather Landolo, the daughter of William Joyce, the man nicknamed Lord Haw-Haw by the Daily Express, is making an appeal to the British authorities to have her father's guilty verdict for high treason, for which he was executed in 1946, overturned. Aged just 17 at his death, she has just put her name to an application to the Criminal Cases Review Commission (CCRC) ... Even at the time of his hanging at Wandsworth Prison on January 3, 1946, Joyce's conviction was controversial, owing to the serious doubts about his nationality.

<http://www.express.co.uk/posts/view/248115/Should-Lord-Haw-Haw-really-have-been-hanged->

Britain pledges £2.1m. for Auschwitz preservation **Jerusalem Post — Jonny Paul**

The British government announced that it will contribute £2.1 million (\$3.4 million US) to the preservation of the Auschwitz-Birkenau death camp, to help ensure the lessons of Auschwitz live on for generations to come. ... Primarily funded by the Foreign Office and Department for Communities and Local Government, the money will be used to ensure the long-term preservation and restoration of the concentration camp, along with its place in educating people of the horrors of the Holocaust. ... "Through our Lessons from Auschwitz Project, the Holocaust Educational Fund gives over 3,000 British students each year the opportunity to visit Auschwitz-Birkenau. This announcement will ensure that when young people visit the camps,

<http://www.jpost.com/International/Article.aspx?ID=222637&R=R1>

'Rich Jews threaten Obama,' Norwegian headline reads. **Jerusalem Post — Benjamin Weinthal**

The Oslo-based edition of Norway's largest newspaper, Aftenposten, ran a headline in its Saturday edition stating "Rich Jews threaten Obama." Only after reader complaints about the anti-Jewish tone of the headline did the newspaper edit the title on its website, writing

"Jews threaten to withdraw Obama support." ... The article reported on "how segments of American Jewry, 78 percent of whom voted for Obama in 2008, find Obama to be too critical of Israel and therefore are considering pulling economic support.

<http://www.jpost.com/International/Article.aspx?id=221928&R=R4>

Jewish donors warn Obama on Israel

The Wall Street Journal

Jewish donors and fund-raisers are warning the Obama re-election campaign that the president is at risk of losing financial support because of concerns about his handling of Israel. The complaints began early in President Barack Obama's term, centered on a perception that Mr. Obama has been too tough on Israel. Some Jewish donors say Mr. Obama has pushed Israeli leaders too hard to halt construction of housing settlements in disputed territory, a longstanding element of U.S. policy. Some also worry that Mr. Obama is putting more pressure on the Israelis than the Palestinians to enter peace negotiations, and say they are disappointed Mr. Obama has not visited Israel yet.

<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703509104576331661918527154.html>

Hitler 'honorary citizen' row grips Austria

BBC News — Bethany Bell

Several towns in Austria have been checking their archives this week to see if Adolf Hitler is still an

honorary citizen of their communities. It follows an announcement by the town of Amstetten that — after more than 60 years — it was finally revoking Hitler's honorary title. Hitler visited Amstetten -- west of Vienna — in 1938, and was made an honorary citizen the following year. The Green Party sponsored the move to strike his name from the honours list. The decision was passed by a large majority in the town council ... The debate has unsettled Austria, which is still grappling with the legacy of its Nazi past ...

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-13582533>

Hitler and the Chinese Internet Generation

Asia Times — Richard Komaiko

... There is a growing trend in the Chinese blogosphere to vocalize praises and expressions of support for Hitler ... As the rumor spreads throughout the Chinese social web, admiration for Hitler is growing stronger and stronger. Blog posts with titles like "Why I like Hitler" are popping up every day, and an increasingly greater share of young Chinese are choosing to express their nationalism by voicing support for Hitler ... According to several Beijing college students interviewed for this article, the word "Hitler" does not evoke images of anti-Semitism or genocide, but rather, strong leadership and nationalism. They say that they admire Hitler for his ability to unify his country and restore it to a position of respect in the international arena.

<http://www.atimes.com/atimes/China/ME25Ad01.html>

Criticize Israel and lose your career

Interview with Alison Weir

By Kourosh Ziabari, 07 July 2011



If you've ever tried to search for reliable information and analyses which expose the concealed and obscured side of the Israeli - Palestinian conflict, you've surely come across to the website "If Americans Knew." This website belongs to a non-profit organization which focuses on the Israeli - Palestinian

conflict and the foreign policy of the United States toward the Middle East.

"If Americans Knew" publishes commentaries and articles that the American mainstream media pusillanimously shun and reject because of their fear of the influential Zionist lobby which predominantly rules the U.S. administration and Congress. "If Americans Knew" releases statistical reports on the history of Israeli - Palestinian conflict including the number of Palestinian casualties, the number of children murdered by the Israel Defense Forces, the number of Palestinians detained in the Israel jails and the number of Israel's illegal settlements on the Palestinian lands.

American freelance journalist and researcher Alison Weir is the founder and executive director of "If Americans Knew." She has written several articles and compiled investigative reports on the Israeli Palestinian conflict and provoked the furious and frantic criticism of Zionist organizations such as Anti Defamation League. Her articles have appeared on a number of media outlets and news websites including Counter Punch, Antiwar.com, The Link, Znet, Los Angeles Times, Greenwich Post, Poynter.org and Washington Report for Middle East Affairs.

Alison Weir is at the forefront of combating the biased coverage of Israeli – Palestinian conflict in the mainstream media and through her sincere efforts has revealed the plight of the Palestinian nation under the occupation of Zionist regime. She believes that criticizing Israel in public will cost a journalist his career. She says that it's far less damaging for an American journalist to write critically of the United State government than of Israel.

What follows is the complete text of my interview with Alison Weir in which we discussed a variety of topics including the dominance of Israeli lobby over the U.S. administration and Congress and also the biased coverage of the Israeli – Palestinian conflict by the western mainstream media.

Kourosh Ziabari: Ms. Weir; Let me start with the question that, what would really happen if Americans knew? What would happen if they knew that their taxes go to empower an occupying regime which kills women and children ruthlessly, massacres innocent civilians relentlessly and destroys their homes unjustifiably?

Alison Weir: They would be outraged and would demand that this stop. I have found that when I speak to groups around the country the most common question I receive is, "What can we do about this?!"

KZ: What made you think of establishing "If Americans Knew?" Actually, what were your motives for taking such a sensitive step?

AW: When I returned from my first trip to Gaza and the West Bank, I was determined to tell Americans what was going on. I felt that while I could probably occasionally get articles into the mainstream media, the context would remain so distorted that they would make little difference. Therefore, I felt it was essential to begin an organization that would work to get the information straight to the public in as many ways as possible and that would also study and expose media malfeasance on this issue.

KZ: What difficulties did you face while working on this project?

AW: One of the most difficult aspects is raising enough money to sustain the organization. The good news is that we have been able to keep going for almost ten years. The unfortunate reality is that there's never been enough money to go beyond a paid staff of about 2-3 people. Zionist organizations of all sorts have extremely large staffs, extensive offices, etc. They also have a great many people of sufficient wealth that they can work on this issue without compensation. We're in a far different situation.

KZ: Have you ever been pressured by the Zionist-controlled mainstream media or the Israeli lobby in the United States not to talk of Israeli regime critically?

AW: I don't recall being pressured by the Israeli Lobby directly. Instead, they frequently try to pressure local organizations not to have me speak.

Mainstream media organizations also don't pressure me directly. Rather, they simply don't report about my information or inform their audiences about the existence of If Americans Knew. Democracy Now is among this group.

One book editor commissioned an article by me and then attempted to censor what I wrote.

KZ: Have you ever been threatened or seriously intimidated for the content which you publish?

AW: Yes. I received a death threat in 2003. You can read the details [here](#). We periodically receive obscene or harassing emails and phone calls from Zionists. There are websites that misconstrue my work and that defame me, including the very powerful Jewish "Anti-defamation League."

There are infiltrators in the pro-Palestinian movement who initiate whispering campaigns against me and work to prevent groups from inviting me to speak and from using our written materials. This often fails; sometimes it succeeds. Recently a man knocked my phone from my hands. You can see this [here](#).

Once when I tried to go to Palestine I was stopped at Ben Gurion Airport, held in a detention cell for 28 hours, and deported. Twice I have been briefly detained by Israeli soldiers while trying to film incidents in the Occupied Territories.

KZ: Several renowned politicians, academicians, activists and writers have likened Israel's treatment of the subjugated Palestinians to the deplorable situation of the blacks under the South African Apartheid regime. From the former U.S. President Jimmy Carter to the Archbishop of Wales Barry Morgan and from the Nobel Peace Prize laureate Archbishop Desmond Tutu to former Israeli Knesset member Uri Avnery, many people believe that Israel undeniably resembles aspects of the South African Apartheid regime. What's your viewpoint in this regard? Does the Israeli regime have the features of an apartheid state?

AW: While no two situations are ever identical, it is clear that Israeli actions are a form of apartheid. As you note, South African experts who have visited Palestine have stated this and they are clearly in a position to know.

The Human Sciences Research Council of South Africa commissioned a legal study of the Israel-Palestine situation to "scrutinize the situation from the nonpartisan perspective of international law, rather than engage in political discourse and rhetoric." Their 15-month investigation found that "Israel, since 1967, is the belligerent Occupying Power in occupied Palestinian territory, and that its occupation of these territories has become a colonial enterprise which implements a system of apartheid."

In addition, inside Israel itself there is systemic discrimination against non-Jews.

KZ: In your recent article, you referred to statistical studies which reveal that primetime network news shows report on Israeli children's deaths at rates up to 14 times greater than they report on Palestinian children's deaths. The same is applicable to the other aspects of mainstream media's portrayal of the Israeli – Palestinian conflict. For example, we clearly witnessed the exercise of double standards by the Western media during the Gaza War of 2008 – 2009. Why do the American media treat the Israeli – Palestinian conflict so unfairly?

AW: I feel there are most multiple causes. Below are some of the main ones:

1. Advertising and consumer pressure by Israel partisans against media that begin to provide more accurate coverage on this issue. These are often orchestrated and cause considerable financial damage to news organizations.
2. Reporters and editors who are biased towards Israel. I recently was astounded to learn how many of the allegedly "objective" journalists in the region reporting for American media have close ties to the Israeli military. Ethan Bronner, New York Times bureau chief, has a son in the Israeli army. Others have themselves served in the Israeli military. "Pundit" Jeffrey Goldberg, who is often interviewed for commentary on U.S. mainstream news broadcasts, served in the Israeli military.

I've written several articles and made a video on this topic:

[1](#), [2](#), [3](#) and [4](#)

The Associated Press is probably the primary source of international news for media all over the U.S. and is probably an extremely significant cause of the problem. Its control bureau, through which virtually all reports on the region must pass, is located in Israel and is staffed largely by Jewish and Israeli journalists, many with close family ties to the Israeli military. Their reporting invariably contains pro-Israel spin and context. Quite often, they don't even send out reports on newsworthy items that reveal negative facts about Israel.

3. Media owners, publishers, CEOs, etc. who are biased toward Israel, for example, Mortimer Zuckerman, Leslie Moonves, Sumner Redstone, etc. Journalist Jeffrey Blankfort has reported on [this](#).

4. Editors who know nothing about this issue and would not necessarily be Israel partisans but are taken in by AP, the New York Times, etc. Journalists who have never been to the region, never read a book on it, or studied it independently, often think they are experts on the subject because they've been reading AP et al reports for years. They have no idea how filtered and slanted these are.

5. Journalists quickly learn that reporting honestly on Israel-Palestine is not a good career move and often self-censor. It is much safer not to touch the "third rail" of American journalism; they are aware that the people who pay them won't like it. It is far less damaging to one's career to write critically of the American government than of Israel.

6. Fear of being called "anti-Semitic" and of being black-balled. The ADL, similar organizations, and Israel partisans are quite powerful in the U.S. People don't wish to come under their attack; they've seen what happened to Helen Thomas and others.

KZ: Many American citizens who voted for President Obama in the 2008 presidential elections had hoped that he would be a different, sincere and trustworthy politician and a real man of change who would detach himself from the hawkish policies of George W. Bush. However, no essential change of policy was observed during President Obama's administration. What's your analysis of the performance of President Obama? Why did he fail to fulfill his promise of change?

AW: Because he is aware that pro-Israel groups and individuals determine who has the chance to be a major contender for the Presidency of the United States. If he tried to do something substantial, there would be a powerful – and successful – campaign to prevent him from winning a second term. This campaign would consist of funneling donations away from him to his opponents and of defaming him on a variety of issues in the media. Plus, even if he tried to do something, Congress would over-rule him, out of the same fears. Long before Mearsheimer and Walt wrote their exposé on this, Paul Findley described this situation in his powerful book, "They Dare to Speak Out." Richard Curtiss, Janet McMahon, and Delinda Hanley, from the Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, have been exposing this for many years.

KZ: Following the 9/11 attacks, a wave of Islamophobic sentiments began to encompass the public sphere in the United States and the European society. The U.S. administration portrayed a horrific and appalling image of Islam and sowed the seeds of hatred against Muslims in the hearts of the Western citizens. However, we already know that they were not Muslims who planned and carried out the 9/11 attacks. Even if we admit that it was Osama Bin Laden who masterminded the 9/11 attacks, I as a Muslim should promulgate that he was

not a devout Muslim, but rather a CIA asset since the Afghan-Soviet war in 1980s. What's your viewpoint in this regard? Why is the American society's stance toward the Muslim so repulsive?

AW: Islamophobia in the U.S. has been largely promulgated by media, individuals, and organizations working on behalf of Israel. This is one of their most despicable and dangerous campaigns and has been going on for decades. Among those whose work, statements, and or funding have resulted in making Americans fear and hate Muslims are Pamela Geller, Steve Emerson, Aubrey and Joyce Chernick, Martin Kramer, Charles Jacobs, David Horowitz, David and Meyrav Wurmser, Frank Gaffney, Caroline Glick, Daniel Pipes, etc. John Sugg has written on this topic for years; more recently Max Blumenthal, Maidhc O Cathail, and several others have exposed it. See [1](#), [2](#), [3](#) and [4](#)

KZ: The Zionist lobby and organizations such as Anti Defamation League, as you have pointed out in your articles, denounce as anti-Semitic and anti-Jewish every single criticism of the actions and policies of the Israeli regime, thus demoralizing and discrediting the critics of Israel who dare to call into question the illegal and immoral actions of this regime. What's your take on this? How should the critics of Israel find podiums to voice their opposition to the actions and policies of the Israeli regime without being demonized?

AW: This is one of their most widely used tactics. Their intention is two-fold: to undermine the credibility of people speaking and writing accurately on Israel, and to intimidate people from doing this.

I feel that people should simply ignore these attacks and continue to write and speak as honestly and accurately as possible. Such smears have become so widespread that they are beginning to be a bit like crying wolf too often. An increasing number of Americans are rolling their eyes when they hear that yet another person with no record of bigotry is allegedly "anti-Semitic." In fact, such an attack often helps to raise interest in the person being so maligned, many people assuming – often correctly – that this is a person giving the true facts on Israel and/or the Israel Lobby.

KZ: You may admit that as long as the United States gives its unconditional support to the Israeli regime, vetoes any UNSC resolution critical of Tel Aviv and prevents the international community from investigating its crimes and illegal activities, including its underground military nuclear program, no progress may be made in the course of holding Israel accountable for its actions and policies and therefore no change will be resulted and the suffering of the Palestinian nation will continue. Do you foresee a future in which Israel is eventually held responsible for its criminal actions before the international community? Is such a thing practically possible at all?

AW: Yes, I believe strongly that this will change when Americans learn the facts and demand a change in U.S. policies. The reality often forgotten in analyses on this issue is that Israel's power comes from the U.S. When the sleeping giant in this relationship, i.e. the American public, wakes up, everything will change. The fact that this is already starting is reflected by the creation of entities such as J Street trying to co-opt this growing movement.

<http://www.opinion-maker.org/2011/07/criticize-israel-and-lose-your-career/>