

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



October 2010 No 533

Dagmar Brenne: Deutschland über alles, 12 September 2010

An email exchange between Jaroslav of Serb/Tschech/ Polish background, and some Germans. Only on the internet can anyone still be pro German, and even that is being threatened as we are speaking.

Introduction:

The years between 1933 - 1945 saw the most extraordinary movement that Germany, or perhaps even the world ever experienced. It was a re-awakening of the German spirit after the defeat and utter humiliation of the First World War and the so-called "Versailles Peace Treaty".

It was an era of such outstanding **life force**, that all the world stood in utter amazement at its emergence. That is, those who became aware of it, without the daily propaganda against Germany in their country's media. Germany experienced a productivity, creativity and prosperity as a people united, un-equalled in world history. A broad Middle Class came into being that bridged the great divide between rich and poor that is common in most countries. All Germans felt elevated and involved, felt to be contributors and honoured as being part of a new direction and philosophy, where the ordinary man was counted as worthy.

Visitors to Germany reported that the German people looked as radiant as a bride on her wedding day.

To extend the metaphor: Germany was no longer the downtrodden woman, used and abused, but deemed worthy as a wife to be cherished and honoured. And the doer of these miracles was a politician, who himself was an ordinary man and who was democratically elected. He was possibly the only politician ever who kept and even exceeded his election promises! Think on that!

The core of this German Renaissance was the severing of the connection with the City of London banking Cartel that holds the rest of the world in its thrall. Of course this was not to be! It had to be undermined, destroyed, slandered, lest other countries should follow the German lead. Indeed, other countries became aware.

And yes, we have been told over these last 70 years that in reality Germany prospered because of Jewish money lenders. At this I feel like laughing heartily, Just think that Jewish bankers are to instill the glow of bridal bliss in any people, German or otherwise! Can anyone give me other examples!

I rather think of the scene where Jesus was whipping the money lenders out of the temple. This evokes quite a different picture to the radiant countenance of a bride.

No, the connection to the bankers was curtailed and it made them howl with indignation: **Germany must perish.**

The money powers rallied 52 nations around them to defeat Germany, as many as there are weeks in the year, in order to crush the German philosophy of moral integrity, working for the common good and honourable conduct.

This becoming independent of Jewish High Finance was the underlying reason for the demonisation of Germany, even though lofty ideals were paraded before the beguiled population of the 52 nations at war with Germany.

I was born right at the end of this era. I believe I was not born for futility but was rather born for a specific purpose.

I had a strong sense of being on a mission from birth on. I was born in Stettin, the same city where the outstanding German princess, who became known as Katharina the Great, empress of all the Russias was born. It was she who gave many land starved German settlers a new home.

And may I emphasise, they brought new skills and prosperity into the lands where they settled. Also, they helped fortify the lands they occupied against the Turks and Mongol invaders, alongside their Slavic neighbours, which was their main purpose.

Slavs, in their envy and hatred have forgotten this. These Germans were never a burden to their new homeland, as any historic research will show. They were always an asset. It is as if God now shows up, that by rejecting the Germans, he brings a totally different kind of Immigrant into our countries, the ones who never integrate, are a burden and drain on our country's resources for ever, the Multi-Culture that will consume all in its wake.

With this in mind I entered this email exchange with Jaroslav and the others.

Summing up:

This email exchange was important to me, as the entire topic of German guilt or innocence was brought into play before the worldwide email audience.

The international media has made absolutely sure that Germans are for ever seen as the perpetrators of immense crimes and nobody else.

This emboldens Slavic claims of territory that was once occupied by Slavs and that is now settled or was settled by Germans but is in reality the rightful possession of their erstwhile Slavic owners, according to Slavic estimation. The cruelties, murders and manslaughter done to Germans are therefore justified. This principle of justification is understood only to apply to Germans, not to Slavs or anyone else living in USA, New Zealand, Australia or South Africa. Here other rules come into play.

If the truth be known, the international bankers, who desire that: **Germany must perish**, are intent to deprive Germany of any claim to the least bit of land anywhere so as to dissolve Germany and make Germans into that what they are themselves: **A rootless tribe of roaming vagabonds**. Ahasver the wandering Jew, is meant to become the wandering German. And indeed all of our Western White population has become wanderers, professional nomads in search of a place where to peddle our skills and expertise. There we have pilots in Dubai, agronomists in China, computer experts in Japan, nurses in Saudi Arabia, doctors in the Emirates. Where is their homeland, where is their loyalty after so long a foreign service? Will they have a land to return to?

Even though Jaroslav defends the position of the Slavic population, and there are undoubtedly certain touch points between the Germans and the Slavs, it is obvious that hidden forces have pitched Germans and Slavs against each other, exploiting to the fullest ancient enmities and the ever present envy of a people less gifted. Jaroslav is aware that both parties position is precarious, giving little hope of surviving intact or even as an identifiable racial entity. The push to dissolve us is strong in multi cultural post Christian Europe. And may I say this again, and I am not the only voice in history to stress this...By rejecting the Germans, the best and most beneficial of immigrants and colonists, the countries, 52 of them that fought and defeated Germany, thinking they were doing God a favour, are now flooded with the worst of all immigrants. The very ones that a man like Jaroslav fears, dreads and despises. Maybe one day Germans will be welcome as saviours in all lands from which they had been driven out. And again, I am not the only voice that is proclaiming this!

The email exchange started with my writing in answer to an email letter that stated that bands of Tschech and Polish robbers are stealing German property in the

areas of recent flood disasters in Saxony and Thüringen:

Re-establishing of border controls Nationaldemokraten bringen CDU-Innenpolitiker in Erklärungsnot -

Dear Alexander,

I am shocked! Is there no decency in either Poles or Tschechs? Obviously not. All is being carried off and stolen, today as in the past. Instead of helping Poles and Tschechs steal from the Germans. What low down trash, those two!

Dagmar

*

Gerard writes:

Wer lebt auf gestohlenem Boden? Wer schläft in gestohlenen Betten? Wer isst von gestohlenem Geschirr? Wer arbeitet in gestohlenen Industrien? Wer lebt ein Leben von monströsen Lügen bestückt? Wer lebt in gestohlenen Städten? Wessen Geschichte ist die blutigste in Europa? Wer stiehlt die Identität von Deutschen Denkern, Dichtern, Erfindern und Wissenschaftlern? Wessen Grossväter und Grossmütter(!) haben die grauenhaftesten Verbrechen in der Geschichte der Menschheit an Deutschen verbrochen? Antwort: Die Polen, die Tschechen und die Serben, von ENGLAND unterstützt und angetrieben! Gerard

www.germancross.com  www.germanmotorcycles.cl

*

Jaroslav answers to this:

Yessss! those damned Poles, Serbs, Czechs bombed without war declaration German cities Warsaw, Belgrade, Nis, Lodz and whole country sides to pulp murdering millions of innocent German civilians all over Poland and Serbia. Warsaw is only city in history of wars which was TOTALLY ANIHILATED TO THE GROUND August - September 1944 by sadistic Poles exterminating any and each hidden German in any hole wherever they were hidden.

Also old and original German settlements with the pure German names like Brlina, Drlina, Lipice, Budisin, Gradisce, Luzice and rivers Laba, Odra with typical German meanings of those words, were later taken by Slavs and renamed to disgusting Slavic names which have no meanings: Berlin, Drezden, Leibzig, Bautzen, Burgenland, Lautzen, Elbe, Oder.

All those pure German family names in Brlina, pardon me, Berlin, telephone books terminating with ~ski, ~ek, ~ow are Slavenized by Slavic invaders.

Jaroslav Hus (even my last name is German and The greatest Czech national hero, Jan Hus was not Czech but really German Johan Huss.)

*

Gerard replies to this:

Rad, I think you are a bit confused as to who came first, who civilized (all the cities you mention were strictly German-founded under Magdeburg law, were built according to the German Gothic, ALL civilizatioin came from Germany, not only into the lands in the

east (since Roman times according to every Roman historian) but into Scandinavia as well. The first mention of any Poles or other Slavs was made in around 600 (Czechs) and 850 (Poles). To claim these places and names to be of Slavic origin is ludicrous, since NO SLAV at any time fulfilled a civilizing role, but benefitted MASSIVELY from the Germans. The Slavs regularly without fail acted barbarian in a way which was quasi Jewish ---- and, please save me your comments about Warsaw or Belgrade, BOTH cities which had been given ample opportunity to be evacuated and disarmed. The Warsaw up rising was only possible because of incredibly easy conditions imposed by the Wehrmacht and what Poles and Jews did to German wounded and medical personal in Warsaw, AS THE FIRST THING, is so horrid it defies description. NO German EVER at any time can be accused of anything even remotely as beastly. No, no, no. Your attempts at cynicism sound hollow and are contrived. The Poles as well as the Czechs even steal German scientists, aertists, musicians and sculptors, claiming them to be theirs after translating their namesw. NO., no, no, these people (with notable, honorable exceptions) are what they are and that is NOT civilized, and it cert tainly is NOT innocent. Ger Dagmar writes:

RAD tries to tell us that Poles/ Slavs had a civilising role in the various places he mentions. How can it be as Poles are a strangely untalented people?

Tell me three world famous Poles, without consulting the encyclopaedia or lying about Germans whom they posthumously make into Poles eg. Kopernikus.

Does RAD wish to tell us, in his own territory-claiming way that Poles/ Slavs were justified in their cruelty and utter beastlyness toward Germans? I have encountered this before and I answer this with: Where do YOU run when the natives of the country where you live stake their claim?

No, Poles have never lived in peace with any of their neighbours and have always ingratiated themselves to the English/ USA and French, whom they fawn over as a dog over his master, wagging their tail and drooling from the mouth, then as now. And the English/ USA and French certainly use the Poles as their dogs.

The hatred of Poles toward Germans is as that of the first murderer, Cain, who slew his brother Abel because of **ENVY**.

Dagmar

*

To which Gerard added:

If ANYONE ever hit the nail on the head, it is Dagmar, Ger

*

Jaroslav replies:

Hi, Dagmar

I never said about any talent of Poles only that Germans anihilated Warszaw like no city in history was anihilated and that Wermacht was chasing each and

any Pole hiding in Warszaw during uprsing (ORGANIZED BY ENGLISH GOVERNMENT AND SOWIET BOLSHEVIKS) and murdering them on point blanc. I do not think that they are genetically specially stupid but they are 1000 years conditioned by roman catholic cult and wodka against protestant Prussians to the WEST AND orthodox Russians on the East.

Seems to me that Archeologists find both Salvic and Germanic traces of pre-historical settlements all over those areas and depending to affinity, claim teritory for themselves. Fact is that Slavs lived at the teritory of present Berlin, Luzice, East Austria etc which means nothing, just historic fact, same as Germans - Ostrogots lived by Black Sea,

Only I never learned that Poles or Czechs or Serbs invaded Germany. About cruelty, seems that only nation of Europe imune to cruelty towards enemy is Italian. People get in frenzy instigated by any propaganda and then like herd ... Strangelly the worst cruelty ever committed on German population was during religious wars by your beloved Croats. No German wants to spoil relations with them (only proven KANIBALS of Europe and PROUD OF THAT). "God, save us from plague, war and Croats" at Munchen Rathaus (removed 10-20 years ago).

Wierd that you included Serbs who never had common borders with Germany? Caried away by INERTIA???

J.

*

Dagmar writes:

So RAD,

what is It that you want to tell the world? That Poles suffered too? No doubt. But that is exactly the point! Poles can suffer, Tschechs can suffer and Jews even have the monopoly on suffering. But when Germans want to state their case everyone brings out their sufferings, blotting out anything that Germans have to say.

For decades Katyn was laid at the feet of Germans and some German soldiers were hanged. I believe case after case needs to be unrolled, especially the infamous Nürnbergger Trials, a mockery of justice, And the atrocity ofthe Rheinwiesen. How come "Warsaw was anihilated like no city in history was anihilated"? What about Dresden?

All cases need to be looked at in justice, including German cases.

There in no freedom or reconciliation without truth.

Dagmar

*

Linda Schaitberger has this to say:

"Germans anihilated Warszaw like no city in history was anihilated?" What?

Allied bombing destroyed 3.5 million German homes, leaving more than 20 million Germans homeless (not counting the homes, businesses and farms lost by the expellees. It destroyed 2000 medieval houses in Frankfurt, 1000 in Hildesheim, 1000 in Nuremburg,

2000 in Braunschweig and thousands of others elsewhere. It wiped out good parts of Aachen, Allgäu, Anklam, Aschaffenburg, Attendom, Augsburg, Bad Reichenhall, Bamberg, Barmen, Bautzen, Bayreuth, Berchtesgaden, Berlin, Bielefeld, Bingen, Bitburg, Boblingen, Bocholt, Böhlen, Bonn, Braunschweig, Breisach, Bremen, Bremerhaven, Breslau, Bruchköbel, Bruehl, Boblingen, Bocholt, Böhlen, Bonn, Braunschweig, Breisach, Bremen, Bremerhaven, Breslau, Bruchköbel, Bruehl, Castrop Rauxel, Chemnitz, Colberg, Cologne, Cottbus, Cuxhaven, Danzig, Darmstadt, Datteln, Dessau, Dillenburg, Dollbergen, Donauwörth, Dorsten, Dortmund, Duisberg, Dülmen, Dresden, Düren, Düsseldorf, Eilenburg, Eisenach, Elbing, Ellingen, Elmshorn, Emden, Emmerich, Erfurt, Erkelenz, Esen, Eschweiler, Essen, Flensburg, Frankfurt 1, Frankfurt 2, Freudenstädt, Friederichshafen, Freiberg, Freiburg, Fulda, Geilenkirchen, Gelsenkirchen, Gera, Gey, Gladbach, Gotha, Graz, Grötzingen, Gubin, Hagen, Halberstadt, Halle, Hamburg, Hamich, Hürtgen, Hameln, Hamich, Hamm, Hannover, Hanau, Hattingen, Heilbronn, Heinsberg, Heligoland, Herne, Hildesheim, Homburg, Hückelhoven, Hürtgen, Immenstadt, Ingolstadt, Innsbruck, Isny, Itzehoe, Jena, Jülich, Kaiserslautern, Karlsruhe, Kärnten, Kassel, Kempten, Kiel, Kleve, Koblenz, Königsberg, Krefeld, Landau/Pfalz, Landshut, Langerwehe, Leverkusen, Leipzig, Lennep, Linz, Lübeck, Ludwigshafen, Magdeburg, Mainz, Mannheim, Marburg, Meerbeck, Meiningen, Memmingen, Minden, Moers, Mühldorf am Inn, Mülheim, Munich/München, Münster, Neumünster, Neuss, Neustrelitz, Neuwied, Nordhausen, Nürnberg, Offenburg, Ohrdruf, Olpe, Osnabrück, Ottbergen, Paderborn, Pforzheim, Pirmasens, Plauen, Potsdam, Prenzlau, Prüm, Rathenow, Recklinghausen, Regensburg, Remscheid, Reutlingen, Ronsdorf, Rositz, Rostock, Rothenburg, Schmalkalden, Schwandorf, Schwäbisch Hall, Schweinfurt, Siegen, Soest, Solingen, Sonneberg, Sonthofen, Staßfurt, Stettin, Stralsund, Stuttgart, Swinemünde, Torgau, Tilsit, Treuenbrietzen, Trier, Ulm, Xanten, Waldenburg, Weimar, Weisweiler, Wesel, Wetzlar, Wiesbaden, Wilhelmshaven, Wismar, Witten-Stockum, Wittenberg, Worms, Wupperfeld, Wuppertal, Würzburg, Zeitz, Zweibrücken and scores of other German cities. Only three medieval German cities, Bamberg, Heidelberg and Göttingen, remained for the most part, intact.

Bombing was not enough cultural destruction for the victors. It continues to this day.

Germany's "Culture Committee" recently met and renamed "Mother of East Prussia" Agnes Miegel Street in St. Arnold to Anne-Frank-street. Suddenly, a world renowned German poet is "controversial". The "Court of Culture" met and decided to rename the street where she lived because "of the Nazi glorification by Miegel" and awarded the street the new name, "Anne-Frank-Straße".

Miegel, a touching poetess and a symbol of the East Prussian tragedy, was guilty merely by association, collectively guilty. We might bear in mind that in Rostock, Germany today there still exists an "Ilya Ehrenberg Street" named in honor of the vile communist propagandist who inspired the Red Army to mercilessly rape and torture female German civilians at war's end. That is apparently not "controversial" in today's Germany.

No, today's Germany is no longer Germany, we few are what remains of a proud Germany.

Linda

*

Wilf writes:

This has nothing really to do with the subject, but victimhood has been mentioned, so, here my one cent worth.

German "historians", as well as politicians, are not willing to really address the issue of German suffering, they are afraid that when the extent of crimes committed against Germans was known, this then would overshadow the crimes allegedly committed by Germans.

There is for instance the attempt by some organisations of German expellees to have a memorial erected, it will come to naught, unless it is watered down by adding all others who also suffered. Here is the most recent write-up about it in "Die Welt", a German daily. The article in German, but worth reading for those able, some statistics are provided, 12 million were expelled.

<http://www.welt.de/debatte/kommentare/article9462568/Der-Phantom-Streit-um-die-Vertriebenen.html>

But even those statistics etc. have been manipulated to fit the Zeitgeist. While searching for something I stumbled across an article about the earliest effort, in the 1950s, to document the plight of those expelled, that effort undertaken by German "historians" deemed to be worthy of the task, i.e., people convinced that Germany alone is to blame.

<http://www.z-g-v.de/doku/archiv/einfuehrung.htm>

In the article we read that people from organisations representing the expelled protested vehemently, because the narrative presented was biased, told from the perspective of the expellers "*in wesentlichen Punkten nach dem Geschmack der Vertrieber ausgefallen*". One of the historians, Theodor Schieder, responded by stating that "a report, based only on experiences, is not history",

"*Eine reine Erlebnishistorie*" - so schrieb Theodor Schieder in seinem methodologischen Aufsatz - wäre "*keine wissenschaftliche Historie*" mehr[...] (Hans Rothfels in seiner Vorbemerkung des Herausgebers zum Aufsatz von Theodor Schieder, S.2)"

Remarkable. When Germans told their story it was - if not dismissed - taken with a grain of salt. Compare this to all the tales told by Jewish "survivors", which are accepted as fact.

12 million expelled, and that is the number admitted to by "re-educated" scribes, millions of them butchered, more just perished. But this is a taboo subject, perpetrators can never be victims the official narrative. And this will remain to be so, too much at stake.

Regards, Wilf

*

Rolf Winkler writes:

Bitte verschonen sie mich mich in Ihrer "Reeducation" mit der Besatzersprache. (Please don't re-educate me in the language of our oppressors) Danke. Rolf Winkler

*

Jaroslav was very happy to hear this comment, as he obviously feels the same way about his own mother tongue as R. Winkler feels about German.

To which Karl-Heinz Heubaum writes in agreement:

Dem schlieÙe ich mich gern an. Auch ich verabscheue diese Sprache im deutschen Schriftverkehr! Ich habe nicht das geringste Interesse der Abschaffung unserer schönen Sprache Vorschub zu leisten. Siehe WIDERHALL-Artikel über einen echten Vertrag zu Abschaffung der deutschen Sprache mit einem deutschen Rundfunksender:

8.) DEUTSCHE ~ SPRACHE ~ WIRD ~ ABGEWICKELT ? Ein weiterer Blick in die Zukunft. Per

Vertrag zwischen Deutschlandfunk und BBC geregelt und abgesegnet. Der BBC-Boss lobte nach Vertragsabschluss die Bereitschaft des Deutschlandfunks, "die allmaehliche Uebernahme der englischen Sprache eingeleitet zu haben". Ein Beitrag von Klaus Krusche, Berlin. Ausfuhrlich hier = <http://karl-heinzheubaum.homepage.tonline.de/43wh-spr.htm> mfg. Karl-Heinz Heubaum

*

Dagmar's answer:

Das freut mich, dass es noch einige Deutsche gibt, die noch Deutsch sprechen koennen und es auch wollen.

Im allgemeinen komme ich mit Leuten ins Gehege, die ein furchtbares Denglisch Kauderwelsch schreiben hier in den elektronischen Briefen. Sie meinen sie seien gebildet wenn sie tüchtig Denglisch auftragen.

Es scheint mir, dass jetzt in Deutschen Universitäten bereits in Englisch gelehrt wird. Meine Nichte studiert "Molecular Science" (Molekül Wissenschaft) in Erlangen, wo später, so im zweiten, dritten Jahr auf Englisch gelehrt wird, um sie für den "internationalen Arbeitsmarkt vorzubereiten"! Welche eine Schande!

Wie unser Freund Rolf Winkler so nett sagt: Die "**Re-education**" mit der Besatzersprache ist trefflich gelungen.

Dagmar

DID YOU MISS THIS ITEM IN APRIL?

No free speech for racists, says Robert McClelland

By Peter Veness, AAP , April 27, 2010

FREEDOM of speech only goes so far and it does not stretch to racism, Attorney-General Robert McClelland says.

In a speech to a cyber-racism summit in Sydney, Mr McClelland said the Government was tackling the difficult world of cyber bullying and online protection of children.

"It may well be appropriate to set some limitation to public expression of hateful material," Mr McClelland said.

He said cyber-racism was a growing area of concern for the Human Rights Commission. Eighteen per cent of racism complaints handed to the Commission in 2008-09 were about racist internet material, up from nine per cent in the previous year.

He said the rise meant the Government would be asking the Commission to do further work on the issue.

"One of the fundamental principles of a democratic society like Australia is freedom of expression," Mr McClelland said.

"Freedom of expression is a human right that is enjoyed by all Australians and must be protected.

"However, a right to freedom of expression should not derogate from the rights of people to be treated with equality, dignity and respect."

The speech was one of Mr McClelland's last formal duties before travelling to Washington DC to meet with attorneys-general from Canada, Britain, New Zealand and the US. The quintet will be focused on issues of national security and terrorism but will also touch on increasing legal cooperation.

Mr McClelland has also been promised classified briefings from the Obama administration on intelligence and cyber security.

<http://www.adelaidenow.com.au/news/breaking-news/nofree-speech-for-racists-says-robert-mcclelland/storye6frea73-1225858945012>

Here is a newspaper article opinion piece about an individual who responds appropriately:

What will happen to Chris Murphy? Friday, 11 April 2008

Adam Schwab writes:

Colourful Sydney lawyer and self-professed gun share trader, Chris Murphy, has had better months. The man who Kerry Packer once called a "market genius" was, apparently, a market dud, somehow managing to lose \$100 million of other Opes Prime clients' money on stocks like Challenger and Australian Pharmaceutical Industries.

By all accounts, Murphy owes Opes Prime creditors (who now include a swathe of innocent Opes clients) upwards of \$100 million. It has been widely reported that Murphy was able to lose so much because he was being protected from margin calls by Opes boss, Laurie Emini, who just happened to be a co-investor of Murphy.

Murphy's claims since the collapse have ranged from the absurd to the ridiculous. For Murphy, an experienced share-trader (he allegedly was given a share trading terminal by Opes) to claim that he wasn't aware he had exceeded his margin is completely preposterous. Either he is a complete fool or he's not being fully frank. Neither scenario places the man known as "Syringe" on trading site, HotCopper, in a particularly positive light. Even the most amateur of share traders will have a vague idea of where their equity position lies. Given that Murphy was trading with loan-to-valuation ratios approaching 100%, it isn't difficult to realise that if the share price drops even by a small percentage, the equity position will be swamped by the large debt stake, precipitating a margin call.

The question which hasn't been asked though is what will happen to one of Sydney's most renowned criminal lawyers? It would appear likely that Opes administrators will take legal action against Murphy to recover the \$100 million or so that he managed to lose. Murphy claims he has assets of \$40,000, so unless his creditors agree to accept one twenty-fifth of a cent-in-the-dollar, Murphy may be forced to declare bankruptcy.

If Murphy declares bankruptcy, he may be stripped of his legal practising certificate and be barred from acting as a lawyer. The good news for Murphy is, according to the NSW Law Society, bankruptcy in itself isn't a trigger for the immediate loss of a practising certificate. Rather, the legal regulator will look at the factors which lie behind the bankruptcy, for example, whether the bankruptcy was a personal matter (as it appears to be in Murphy's case) or whether it related to their legal practice. While the majority of bankrupt solicitors and barristers are able to continue to practise in some form, a serious issue will arise with regards to the ability of the bankrupt to handle trust monies.

Admittedly, the looming spectre of bankruptcy and the possibility of losing his practising certificate may not be Murphy's biggest concern at the moment, with The Age noting today that:

Melbourne Finance broker Tom Karas, who has links with underworld figure, Mick Gatto, told BusinessDay on the day of the Opes Prime collapse "Chris Murphy is the black hole. Chris Murphy and Sarah Brown – that where all the money's gone." Legal career aside, at the moment, avoiding a cameo appearance in Underbelly 2 may be Chris Murphy's biggest problem.

Colourful Sydney lawyer and self-professed gun share trader, Chris Murphy, has had better months. The man who Kerry Packer once called a "market genius" was, apparently, a market dud, somehow managing to lose \$100 million of other Opes Prime clients' money on stocks like Challenger and Australian Pharmaceutical Industries.

By all accounts, Murphy owes Opes Prime creditors (who now include a swathe of innocent Opes clients) upwards of \$100 million. It has been widely reported that Murphy was able to lose so much because he was being protected from margin calls by Opes boss, Laurie Emini, who just happened to be a co-investor of Murphy.

Murphy's claims since the collapse have ranged from the absurd to the ridiculous. For Murphy, an experienced share-trader (he allegedly was given a share trading terminal by Opes) to claim that he wasn't aware he had exceeded his margin is completely preposterous. Either he is a complete

fool or he's not being fully frank. Neither scenario places the man known as "Syringe" on trading site, HotCopper, in a particularly positive light. Even the most amateur of share traders will have a vague idea of where their equity position lies. Given that Murphy was trading with loan-to-valuation ratios approaching 100%, it isn't difficult to realise that if the share price drops even by a small percentage, the equity position will be swamped by the large debt stake, precipitating a margin call.

The question which hasn't been asked though is what will happen to one of Sydney's most renowned criminal lawyers? It would appear likely that Opes administrators will take legal action against Murphy to recover the \$100 million or so that he managed to lose. Murphy claims he has assets of \$40,000, so unless his creditors agree to accept one twenty-fifth of a cent-in-the-dollar, Murphy may be forced to declare bankruptcy.

If Murphy declares bankruptcy, he may be stripped of his legal practising certificate and be barred from acting as a lawyer. The good news for Murphy is, according to the NSW Law Society, bankruptcy in itself isn't a trigger for the immediate loss of a practising certificate. Rather, the legal regulator will look at the factors which lie behind the bankruptcy, for example, whether the bankruptcy was a personal matter (as it appears to be in Murphy's case) or whether it related to their legal practice. While the majority of bankrupt solicitors and barristers are able to continue to practise in some form, a serious issue will arise with regards to the ability of the bankrupt to handle trust monies.

Admittedly, the looming spectre of bankruptcy and the possibility of losing his practising certificate may not be Murphy's biggest concern at the moment, with The Age noting today that:

Melbourne Finance broker Tom Karas, who has links with underworld figure, Mick Gatto, told BusinessDay on the day of the Opes Prime collapse "Chris Murphy is the black hole. Chris Murphy and Sarah Brown – that where all the money's gone." Legal career aside, at the moment, avoiding a cameo appearance in Underbelly 2 may be Chris Murphy's biggest problem.

<http://www.crikey.com.au/2008/04/11/what-will-happen-to-chris-murphy/>

CHRISTOPHER MURPHY

Posted Friday, 11 April 2008 at 6:33 pm | Permalink

While I consider my remedies you should consider speaking to people you write about or do you fear the truth? I have never called myself a 'gun' trader. I have never said to anyone I have assets of \$40,00. Kerry Packer did not call me a 'market genius' but rather said 'You know nothing about markets. You've got big balls and you are lucky'.

I was once lucky but things change and I can handle it. Oh yes, in addition I have never said my accounts did not exceed their margins. I said that I did not get 'margin calls'. The fool here Mr Schwab is your self. I am frank and I'm no fool. The margin calls did not come when the market price of my shares exceeded my equity. Why? You'll have to ask someone else that. I can't help you. Try picking up the telephone, other journalists aren't phobic about it!

Perhaps you should leave this stuff to real journalists with proper jobs who do not avoid their subjects for fear the truth will ruin a mocking article.

Christopher Murphy

<http://www.crikey.com.au/2008/04/11/what-will-happen-to-chris-murphy/#comment-4540>

Interesting article:

The USA is slipping into Fascism and Israelis are helping in this process by labelling opponents of this new Fascism phenomenon as 'terrorists'. Any comment, please?

America Slips down the Path to Fascism

[A3P News Team](#) | September 16, 2010



In an indication how far down the road to Fascism America has slipped since the Patriot Act became law, a peaceful long-time political Pennsylvania activist who once ran for a Democratic nomination has been added to the terrorist watch list by an Israeli-based "security consultancy" to the government.

Gene Stilp, who has been an independent activist exposing graft and government abuse of funds since the Three Mile Island incident, has won fame mostly for his 20 ft inflatable pink pig which he uses to publicize issues.

Mr. Stilp, who is not and never has been linked to the A3P, stood for the Democratic nomination of lieutenant governor a few years ago.

He also famously brought public attention to the more than \$3 million in taxpayer-funded "meritorious bonus payments" that House and Senate leaders had given to hundreds of staffers in 2005-06.

Mr. Stilp filed a lawsuit seeking to find out if any of the bonus payments went for political campaign work, which would be illegal, rather than as a reward for long hours of legislative work.

Other lawsuits filed by him include ones against pay raises in 2005, against unvouchered expense accounts for lawmakers, against tying state judges' salaries to those of federal judges, and against the four \$50 million "leadership accounts" controlled by House and Senate Democratic leaders.

In other words, Mr. Stilp is a right pain for the government, whoever they may be. But the point is that he has always openly, democratically and peacefully, presented his opinions and views, and never once been involved in any sort of subversive activity.

Yet Mr. Stilp has now been put on a state terror threat list by Homeland Security officials submitted by the Israeli-based Institute of Terrorism Research & Response (ITRR) organization, which is officially contracted to the Department of Homeland Security to provide such intelligence.

The ITRR is a commercial company despite being registered as a non-profit organization in America with offices in Philadelphia, Washington D.C., and Jerusalem.

The ITRR was paid \$125,000 to compile the list as part of the state Homeland Security's federally mandated mission to protect public infrastructure, reports said.

Mr. Stilp was included in the "intelligence" which the ITRR provided after he attended an anti-tax protest in Harrisburg with his pink inflatable pig.

Homeland Security officials then distributed the list in a security bulletin to government and law enforcement officials, Pittsburgh City Council members, universities and gas drilling companies.

Mr. Stilp has announced the he is preparing a federal lawsuit for the violation of his civil rights.

According to the ITRR website, that organization's "US-based experts specialize in facing and overcoming domestic forms of terrorism like environmental, ecological, anti-abortion, anti-government, and 'home-grown' religious extremism."

Furthermore, it boasts that "ITRR's Israeli and American experts provide counter-terrorism training, seminars, and security specialization in dealing with threats such as Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD), suicide bombers, and other forms of international terror striking both the public and private sector."

There are two issues at stake here: firstly, what is an Israeli company doing advising the Department of Homeland Security on who are potential terrorists; and secondly, Mr. Stilp's case is yet another example of how the powers vested in the state by the Patriot Act and the Homeland Security Act have grown completely out of control.

The powers-that-be have very nearly completely subverted the principles of the American constitution.

The light of liberty is fading fast and only determined action will save it from being completely extinguished. Are you ready to do your part?

<http://american3p.org/establishment-news/america-slips-down-the-path-to-fascism/>

Fredrick toben responds to Roberto Muehlenkamp SEPTEMBER 21, 2010

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Fredrick Toben toben@toben.biz

Date: Tue, Sep 21, 2010 at 7:32 AM

Subject: Fredrick Toben responds to Roberto Meuhlenkamp

To: Michael Santomauro RePorterNoteBook@gmail.com

Santomauro - I been busy - could you post this for me, please. Thanks, mate. Fredrick.

Friday, September 17, 2010 9:57:00 PM

Roberto Muehlenkamp said...

Thanks for an insight into the reasoning of certain people who are impressed by "Dalton"'s ignorant and mendacious

pamphlet.

And you can rest assured that I have read it in the meantime and seen no reason to change my opinion about the book or its author. On the contrary.

"Revisionists" may be right in that the Nazi genocide of the Jews is talked about way too much in the media, etc. But denying it or playing it down is the stupidest conceivable way of doing something about this situation. Don't expect dumb liars like "Dalton" to understand that, though.

Sunday, September 19, 2010 1:06:00 PM

michael santomauro said...

From: Fredrick Toben toben@toben.biz

Sent: Monday, 20 September 2010 12:36 PM

"A discussion with Michael Santomauro and Thomas Dalton, Ph.D." In his comment Roberto Muehlenkamp offers no new aspect except that his comment reveals he is afflicted by a deeply authoritarian mindset that cannot tolerate another viewpoint. He is great on personal abuse, and instead of asking the basic question, which is Faurisson's challenge: Show me or draw me the murder weapon, the homicidal gas chamber? - he avoids basic scientific research thinking.

To date Faurisson's challenge remains just that. Why? Then, another basic question: Where is the written order that allegedly started the systematic extermination process? Any bureaucracy needs a written order before it begins physically to act. Don't tell me that all Germans involved in the alleged extermination process knew that Hitler hated the Jews and that to fulfill his hate-driven plan needed no written order. The Holocaust is a miracle story that has no reality in space and time, only in memory.

<http://www.blogger.com/profile/14257040568294637764>

Monday, September 20, 2010 6:28:00 AM

Roberto Muehlenkamp said...

Like Mr. "Dalton", his publisher can offer nothing better than old herrings in a new can.

Authoritarian mindset? Nonsense. I can accept any other viewpoint that is duly substantiated by evidence. Unfortunately "Revisionist" viewpoints are sorely lacking in this respect.

Faurisson's "basic question"? Apart from the fact that homicidal gas chambers are not the "basic murder weapon" (they accounted for little more than half of the Jewish victims of Nazi genocide and mass murder and a much lower percentage of all victims of Nazi crimes), it's not like the homicidal gas chambers cannot be reconstructed in their essential features on the basis of eyewitness testimonies - see for instance the [CAD Reconstruction of the Gas Chambers in Treblinka](#). And even if eyewitness testimonies were not precise enough to allow for such reconstruction, they would still leave no room for reasonable doubt that these devices existed and were used for mass murder. No, they are no longer physically visible because the Nazis destroyed or dismantled them. But then, this also applies to just about all facilities of the Stalin's Gulag labor camps, to mention just one example. Faurisson's "challenge" is bereft of logic.

Basic scientific research thinking? No stranger to me, as it consists in testing a thesis against evidence and determining if and to what extent evidence supports this thesis. "Revisionists" are understandably unwilling to put their theses to that test.

A written order from the Führer? The quest for such order, which would have been almost certainly destroyed if it had been issued, reveals a laughable ignorance of how the Nazi state's decision-making progress functioned at high levels. The Führer hardly ever issued orders, not to mention written ones. He let his paladins know what his policy was and what programs and procedures he accordingly wished them to prepare, whereupon said paladins did what they thought the Führer wanted them to do and submitted it to his approval. Furthermore guys like Himmler and Heydrich were allowed and displayed a great degree of initiative. A book that might help Santomauro overcome his ignorance is Christopher Browning's *The Origins of the Final Solution*.

A miracle story that has no reality in space and time? That applies not to the amply documented Nazi genocide of the Jews, but to the cloud-cuckoo-land fantasies of Santomauro and his ilk about an all-powerful conspiracy that, among other

utterly implausible achievements, is supposed to have induced millions of Jewish non-victims throughout the world into concealing their identity and origins and refrain from compensation claims. Or does Santomauro endorse the even more preposterous "fish tale" lunacy of Mr. "Dalton"?

"Revisionists" projecting their own fallacies onto their opponents is a spectacle well known to who is familiar with these people. Santomauro's rambling is just more of the same.

<http://www.blogger.com/profile/03608133715777146924>

Monday, September 20, 2010 11:40:00 AM

Roberto Muehlenkamp said...

Oh, I see now that the rambling was authored by Mr. Toben. I had forgotten that Mr. Santomauro likes to play the messenger for other enlightened spirits from "Revisionist" cloud-cuckoo-land ...

Monday, September 20, 2010 11:49:00 AM

Fredrick Töben responds: 22 September 2010

1. Roberto Muehlenkamp's response is typical of those individuals who uncritically believe in the Holocaust narrative and who feel threatened by having to concede that a raging public discussion has been in progress since the late 1960s. Labelling Mr Santomauro as a 'messenger' merely reflects Mr Muehlenkamp's own personal frustrations at not being in control of setting the Holocaust narrative parameters to his likings. Becoming abusive towards those who dissent from his own held beliefs about matters Holocaust indicates Muehlenkamp's moral and intellectual bankruptcy.

2. Over these past 40 years the Holocaust narrative has changed in detail and re-fabricating itself as Revisionists sift through the rubbish. Revisionists do not deny anything because their task has been to evaluate the details of the official Holocaust narrative, which as stated above, keeps on changing. For example, it was through the Zündel Toronto trials of 1984-5 and 1988 that established the 4 million deaths figure at Auschwitz was a nonsense and the removal and replacement of the official 20 plaques with an inscribed figure of 1.0-1.5 million deaths confirmed this.

3. Then in 1996 the alleged homicidal gas chamber, Krema I, at Auschwitz Stammlager, was de-commissioned by van Pelt/Dwork with the claim that this re-construction was made to symbolically represent what happened at Auschwitz-Birkenau's Krema II.

4. In 2002 non-Revisionist news magazine *Der Spiegel* editor, Fritjof Meyer, stated that there were no gassings at Auschwitz-Birkenau, something Gitta Sereny also stated in *The Times* on 29 August 2001. This effectively removes Auschwitz I-Stammlager and Auschwitz II-Birkenau as gassing/killing centres.

5. Mr David Brockschmidt wrote to the US Holocaust Museum asking about the gas chambers and on 21 April 1995 Michael Berenbaum responded. Among other things he stated: "1. We do have crematoria ovens in the Museums. We could not bring over gas chambers because there was no original that was available for us to bring to the United States. Instead we made a model of the crematoria and labelled it a model." This statement speaks for itself.

6. If the 'homicidal gas chambers are not the "basic murder weapon"...', then why has Germar Rudolf's *The Rudolf Report*, and its precedent, *The Leuchter Report*, been criminalized/banned in Germany, for example? Remember how the official 9/11 reports fiddled the scientific data to fit the official narrative, which is exactly what Soviet Union scientists had to do when they had to align their research with Marxist ideology. Of interest is the fact that Rudolf, as a true scientist, stated that his results are not absolute because there is

always an element of error in any research. This was taken up by some critics as indicating Rudolf's work is basically flawed when in fact any body of scientific research admits that results are not absolute. Note how those scientists, who are pushing climate change ideology, are absolute in their assertions, something that is quite un-scientific. In scientific research an element of error is always expected

7. Muehlenkamp's mindset is an absolute mindset that cannot tolerate dissent because as new information comes along he would have to revise his views on things. How does he cope with the deaths reduction at Auschwitz – from 4 million to 1.-1.5 million? And yet the overarching six million was not reduced. Why not?

8. This paragraph reveals Muehlenkamp's mindset in full flourish: "A written order from the Führer? The quest for such order, which would have been almost certainly destroyed if it had been issued, reveals a laughable ignorance of how the Nazi state's decision-making progress functioned at high levels. The Führer hardly ever issued orders, not to mention written ones. He let his paladins know what his policy was and what programs and procedures he accordingly wished them to prepare, whereupon said paladins did what they thought the Führer wanted them to do and submitted it to his approval. Furthermore guys like Himmler and Heydrich were allowed and displayed a great degree of initiative. A book that might help Santomauro overcome his ignorance is Christopher Browning's *The Origins of the Final Solution*."

Raoul Hilberg in his *The Destruction of European Jews* stated there were two written Hitler orders that started the Final Solution process. Yet after he had left the witness stand in the Zündel Toronto trial, Hilberg had himself made history by having admitted there were no written orders. Muehlenkamp in this respect is either ignorant of the fact or a blatant liar. No bureaucracy operates without written orders when it entails massive actions such as the alleged extermination of European Jews. Muehlenkamp's claim that to insist there be a written order: "...reveals a laughable ignorance of how the Nazi state's decision-making progress functioned at high levels." is pure rubbish. The German war machine was meticulous in record keeping. In his own words, Muehlenkamp's embrace of this massive conspiracy theory – that Germans exterminated Jews – is behaviour that is "...projecting their own fallacies onto their opponents [and] is a spectacle well known to who is familiar with these people".

9. As an afterthought, I assume Muehlenkamp is aware of the fact that a whole legal fraternity exists that persecutes Revisionists in trials where the matters of fact of the Holocaust are never tested for truth content. This is done because not believing in the Holocaust scandalises certain societies! But he would know that, and hence it explains his abusive and defamatory tone because through verbal abuse it makes it easier for him to deflect opening his mind to the fact that he has believed in nonsense for decades. No wonder he needs to project his hatred on anyone who demands clarity of thought and physical proof that during World War Two Germans systematically exterminated European Jews in homicidal gas chambers, in particular at Auschwitz concentration camp. That this belief is also pure German hatred need not be canvassed at this stage.

10. My brief response, however, is inadequate and hence I augment it with Professor Arthur Butz's brief 12-point summary of what Revisionism is all about.

Arthur R. Butz

A Short Introduction to the Study of Holocaust Revisionism

1. I see three principal reasons for the widespread but erroneous belief in the legend of millions of Jews killed by the Germans during World War II: US and British troops found horrible piles of corpses in the west German camps they captured in 1945 (e.g. Dachau and Belsen), there are no longer large communities of Jews in Poland, and historians generally support the legend.

2. During both world wars Germany was forced to fight typhus, carried by lice in the constant traffic with the east. That is why all accounts of entry into the German concentration camps speak of shaving of hair and showering and other delousing procedures, such as treatment of quarters with the pesticide Zyklon. That was also the main reason for a high death rate in the camps, and the crematoria that existed in all.

3. When Germany collapsed in chaos then of course all such defenses ceased, and typhus and other diseases became rampant in the camps, which quartered mainly political prisoners, ordinary criminals, homosexuals, conscientious objectors, and Jews conscripted for labor. Hence the horrible scenes, which however had nothing to do with "extermination" or any deliberate policy. Moreover the west German camps involved were not the alleged "extermination camps", which were all in Poland (e.g. Auschwitz and Treblinka) and which were all evacuated or shut down before capture by the Soviets, who found no such scenes.

4. The "Final Solution" spoken of in the German documents was a program of evacuation, resettlement and deportation of Jews with the ultimate objective of expulsion from Europe. During the war Jews of various nationalities were being moved east, as one stage in this Final Solution. The legend claims that the motion was mainly for extermination purposes.

5. The great majority of the millions allegedly exterminated were east European, not German or west European, Jews. For that reason study of the problem via population statistics has been difficult to impossible, but it is a fact that there are no longer large communities of Jews in Poland. However the Germans were only one of several parties involved in moving Jews around. The Soviets deported virtually all of the Jews of eastern Poland to their interior in 1940. After the war, with Polish and other Jews pouring out of the east into occupied west Germany, the Zionists moved large numbers to Palestine, and the US and other countries absorbed many Jews, in most cases under conditions making impossible a numerical accounting. Moreover the Polish borders were changed drastically at the end of the war; the country was literally moved west.

6. Historians generally support the legend, but there are precedents for nearly incomprehensible blindness on the part of scholars. For example throughout the Middle Ages even the Pope's political enemies conceded his false claim that the 4th century Emperor Constantine had ceded rule of the west to the Pope, although all knew very well that Constantine had been succeeded by more emperors. Near unanimity among the academics is especially suspect when there exist great political pressures; in some countries Holocaust revisionists have been prosecuted.

7. It is easy to show that the extermination legend merits skepticism. Even the casual reader of the Holocaust literature knows that during the war virtually nobody acted as though it was happening. Thus it is common to berate the Vatican, the Red Cross and the Allies (especially the intelligence agencies) for their ignorance and inaction, and to explain that the Jews generally did not resist deportation because they did not know what was in store for them. If you add all this up you have the

strange claim that for almost three years German trains, operating on a continental scale in densely civilized regions of Europe, were regularly and systematically moving millions of Jews to their deaths, and nobody noticed except for a few of our Jewish leaders who were making public "extermination" claims.

8. On closer examination even those few Jewish leaders were not acting as though it was happening. Ordinary communications between the occupied and neutral countries were open, and they were in contact with the Jews whom the Germans were deporting, who thus could not have been in ignorance of "extermination" if those claims had any validity.

9. This incredible ignorance must also be attributed to Hans Oster's department in German military intelligence, correctly labeled "the veritable general staff of the opposition to Hitler" in a recent review.

10. What we are offered in evidence was gathered after the war, in trials. The evidence is almost all oral testimony and "confessions". Without the evidence of these trials there would be no significant evidence of "extermination". One must pause and ponder this carefully. Were trials needed to determine that the Battle of Waterloo happened? The bombings of Hamburg, Dresden, Hiroshima and Nagasaki? The slaughter in Cambodia? Yet this three year program, of continental scope, claiming millions of victims, requires trials to argue its reality. I am not arguing that the trials were illegal or unfair; I am arguing that such historical logic as the legend rests on must

not be countenanced. Such events cannot happen without generating commensurate and contemporaneous evidence for their reality, just as a great forest fire cannot take place without producing smoke. One may as well believe that New York City was burned down, if confessions to the deed can be produced.

11. Detailed consideration of the specific evidence put forward in support of the legend has been a focus of the revisionist literature and cannot be undertaken here, but I shall mention one point. The claim of the legend is that there were no technical means provided for the specific task of extermination, and that means originally provided for other purposes did double duty in improvised arrangements. Thus the Jews were allegedly gassed with the pesticide Zyklon, and their corpses disappeared into the crematoria along with the deaths from "ordinary" causes (the ashes or other remains of millions of victims never having been found).

12. Surely any thoughtful person must be skeptical.

Arthur R. Butz is an associate professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at Northwestern University.

<http://mccormick.northwestern.edu/directory/profiles/Arthur-Butz.html>

This article was originally published in the *Daily Northwestern* of May 13, 1991, corrected May 14.

<http://michaelsantomauro.blogspot.com/2010/09/fredrick-toben-responds-to-roberto.html>

'My death camp tours aren't sick':

Controversial historian David Irving has hit back at claims his guided history tours of Polish death camps are 'sick'.

Holocaust denier David Irving defends plans for 'Nazi Travel' tourist trail

By Sarah Gordon, 10th September 2010

Claiming that it is the Polish authorities who are 'tasteless' for their promotion of Auschwitz as a 'Disney-style' tourist site, Mr Irving defended his own trip which is fully-booked with American and British tourists.

Critics have slammed the trip Mr Irving is organising to Hitler's headquarters and the notorious death camp Treblinka, referring to it as 'Nazi Travel'.

However, the historian, who was convicted of Holocaust denial in Austria in 2006, has said his critics have clearly not read his books on the Second World War.

'If they had seen my website, they would know I have already visited many of the death camps two or three times,' he told TravelMail. 'There is no question that the Nazis killed millions of people in these camps. 'When people call me a Holocaust denier I get quite hot under the collar.'

The controversial historian has come under fire after details emerged of a £1,500, one-week holiday he is planning to lead, taking in the notorious SS-run death camp Treblinka - despite previously being convicted of Holocaust denial by an Austrian court.

However, Mr Irving defended his visit to the 'hallowed ground' of Treblinka, instead directing criticism at the Polish authorities for the 'money-making machine' that is Auschwitz.

Accusing the authorities of building fake watchtowers at Auschwitz and creating a 'Disney' atmosphere there, he said many of the death camps where the worst atrocities took place have been neglected because they are not as 'marketable' and 'don't have a Holiday Inn down the road'.

'I have been a historian for 40 years, I know a fake when I see it, when you look at old photographs of Auschwitz, those towers aren't on the photographs,' he said.

On the trip, Mr Irving will take international tourists to Hitler's headquarters, known as 'The Wolf's Lair', where the expansion of death camps was planned, and the base where head of the SS, Heinrich Himmler, spent his time.

'We will not only be going to the place where many were killed, but the place where the orders were given,' he said.

In the promotional material for the tour, the trip is billed as an 'unforgettable journey' for 'real history buffs'.

It includes a special screening of the film 'Downfall', which depicts the final ten days of Hitler's life, with a voiceover by Mr Irving and a lecture on Treblinka by the historian as well as another by a 'neutral expert'.

Claiming that his books on Hitler have sold 'very well' in Poland - 'an irony considering they are hard to come by in neighbouring Germany' - the historian said there was a real 'morbid fascination' with the Nazi Final Solution and that many were interested in 'treading the same ground monsters trod'.

The trip has been so popular that he has had to turn people away and is planning to repeat the journey every two years.

However, Polish war veterans have criticised the controversial historian for even contemplating the tour.

'This is sickening. Our people suffered very cruelly under the Nazis and this man should never be allowed in the country,' said one. 'But he has denied that the Holocaust ever happened. He should take his Nazi Travel tour and go home.'

Mr Irving has written a multitude of books on the Second World War, but fell from grace for questioning Hitler's involvement in the Holocaust and claiming that the Jews did well out of the genocide as they gained the state of Israel.

He was imprisoned in Austria in 2006 for Holocaust Denial due to a speech he gave in Austria in 1989 in which he said there were no gas chambers at Auschwitz.

He was then made bankrupt after losing a libel action he started in the UK after being accused of denying the death camps and manipulating history to present Hitler in a better light.

However, as there is no current warrant for his arrest in the EU, the historian is allowed to travel unhindered.

A spokesman from the Polish Embassy said the trip would be closely monitored by the authorities. 'The secret service in Poland and in the UK are aware of the visit and that he has

previously visited Treblinka,' he said. 'The visit at the end of September will be under strict observation by the Polish authorities.'

However, Mr Irving said: 'I don't intend to break any laws, I am a law-abiding citizen. But as you can probably guess, law-abiding citizens often end up in prison.'

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/travel/article-1310819/Holocaust-denier-David-Irving-organises-Polish-death-camp-holidays.html>

Amelia Aremia: The Denial Twist

ANCIENT ISRAEL:

Is there any hard scientific evidence to substantiate the ancient Hebrew tales of Israelite supremacy in the Holy Land? A huge number of scholars have quietly come together agreeing on the historical fact that the various tales of "ancient Israel" are largely fictional.

Based on known facts of geography, history, archeology and even biblical scholarship, many of them argue there was no such entity as "ancient Israel" --that it never existed. If a hoax, then clearly "ancient Israel" is the most profitable hoax in history, with the exception of what happened to Jews of Europe during WWII, that 6 million were gassed to death by the German government. However, there is no evidence of any of this either.

William G. Dever, formerly the head of the University of Arizona's Near Eastern studies department, in his book: *Who Were the Early Israelites and Where Did They Come From?*, answers these questions:

"Did the House of David really exist? And "Is King Solomon a fantasy?"

"Jews are not the same as Judahites, who are not the same as Israelites, who must be distinguished from Hebrews---and Israelites are something else altogether."

Actually there is no such thing as ancient Jews. The confusion of these terms works greatly to the advantage of the movement for political Zionism and is understood by all open-minded scholars.

"It was Julian Welhausen who challenged the historicity of the Bible stories and claimed that biblical historiography was formulated, and in large measure invented, during the Babylonian exile."

Today the thought has shifted further so that there is a whole cluster of scholars who propose that the Old Testament does not provide us with adequate evidence to construct a history of early Israel.

(In the Old Testament, God gives his land to those he calls his chosen ones. They continuously disobey his commands, and for that he takes away their land; gives it back to them, and helps them to fight off their enemies, yet they refuse to obey. God finally takes away the land---scattering them to wander the world.) The cardinal rule of historiography is the balance search for truth, and one does not find this in a partisan document such as the Old Testament.

It does not take a great scholar to realize that the Torah is essentially a panegyric "mythic history" of the Israelites, a people now long extinct but claimed, with little actual justification, as their ancestors by the modern Jews. It is what is known in German as *Heilsgeschichte*, or a holy and theological pious fiction, but not true historiography.

The completed article can be found in the May/June 2007 issue of **The Barnes Review**:

<http://www.barnesreview.org/>

ABRAHAM:

Another diabolical plan of the Zionists is to seal off history beyond 200 years. I read that somewhere but at the time did not make a notation... However, I began to look through my collection of old books that contain history, and found some good information in **Beacon Lights of History**, First Series, Jewish Heroes and Prophets, written by John Lord, LL.D. Published by Forbes, Howard, and Hulbert, 1888, ---His series are a collectors' item....

An excerpt- page 37:

"The history of Abram until his supreme trial seems principally to have been repeated covenants with God, and the promises held out of the future greatness of his descendants. The greatness of the Israelitish nation, however, was not to be in political ascendancy, nor in great attainments in the arts and sciences nor in cities and fortresses and chariots and horses, nor in the outward splendor, which would attract the gaze of the world, and thus provoke conquests and political

combinations and grand alliances and colonial settlements, by which the capital on Zion's hill would become another Rome, or Tyre, or Carthage, or Athens, or Alexandria, ---but quite another kind of greatness.

It was to be moral and spiritual rather than material or intellectual, the center of a new religious life, from which theistic doctrines were to go forth and spread for the healing of nations, --all to culminate, when the proper time should come, in the mission of Jesus Christ, and in his teachings as narrated and propagated by his disciples.

This was the grand destiny of the Hebrew race; and for his fulfillment of this end they were located in a favored country, separated from other nations by mountains, deserts, and seas, and yet capable by cultivation of sustaining a great population, while they were governed by a polity tending to keep them a distinct, isolated and peculiar people."

Zionism in the Middle East...

Israel, as a socialist state that assigns all Christians along with other non-Jews to an inferior class, calls itself the only "Democracy" in the Middle East. Israel, while it continues to conduct itself treacherously towards the United States, the

United Kingdom, Germany and a few other countries friendly towards. Yet, to express any dissatisfaction towards Israel's action, is at your risk. Few Conservatives want to publicly talk about the fact that Israel has been selling American military

supplies and high technology to China. To express any dissatisfaction is at your risk. Most people are afraid of being accused of "anti-Semitism" and fear other sanctions.

Although Zionism isn't part of traditional Judaism, many Orthodox Jews have incorporated it into their faith as a version of modern Internationalism incorporated as "Judeo-Christianity-Zionism." Of course, everyone's religious beliefs seem odd to those of other religions, one reason why Religion and State are supposed to be separated here in America, giving freedom of religion to all. In the States people have made religion a personal matter in which the government must not interfere. Even in social life the subject of religion rarely comes up. This works reasonably well and keeps peace---until lately when it became public that the Muslims want to build their mosque only two blocks from Ground Zero..but then, when you look at the situation it truly is not a religious problem---It has become a special site for Americans. It is Zionism which presents a special problem around the word. If we want to be neutral about the competing theological claims, why is the American government siding with Israel against Christians and Moslems in the Middle East?

Why should Israel have a special Hate Law it forces around the world, that now includes 87 nations, which makes criminals out of those who question the Jewish Holocaust when there is not a word, or memorial to other religious groups where more than three times the number of Jews perished during WW 2.

Israel and Zionism present a special problem because of unclear reasons why the U.S. Government is committed to Israel-- right or wrong. Another question feared to be asked is: How can people born in US, the UK, and Minsk claim to own Palestine? How can the US, the UK think that they have a better right to live there than the non-Jewish natives who have lived in Palestine for countless generations? They do not deserve the treatment given to them by Israel while the world "sadly" looks on.

Again and again we claim that a state must not meddle in another's legal status. Yet, non-Jews in this country, have been taxed since 1948 to give Billions of dollars in foreign aid

to a state that discriminates against all non-Jews? Why do we export military weapons and airplanes, then "forgive" the bill? In 1982 Lebanon was again mercilessly attacked and bombed by Israel, and then lied to President Regan about their intentions. Moreover, Israel has acted treacherously toward the US, many times over.

We should stay out of the Middle East and avoid conflict with the Arabs who pose no threat to us. Obama left 50,000 or more troops in Iraq when they should be brought home! We need them to guard our own country and borders from "terrorists" and illegal aliens, many already becoming vocal dissidents. The country was reluctant to go to war in Iraq. The vote in Congress was very close. There can be little doubt that Zionists propaganda made the difference. The decision to go to war should have been made strictly in the interests of the United States, not for Israel, who wasn't concerned about the killing and spilling blood of Americans. Zionism has made the deciding factor in most of our fateful decisions, and still poses a danger to Americans at home and abroad.

God and the Prophets rebuked the Jews for their evil ways, their sins, and their disobedience time and time again until God dismissed them from their promised land and left them to wander the world without a country.

Americans are beginning to wake up to what is happening around the world and our part in it, they no longer are in a mood for more foreign entanglements from which the country gets no benefit at all, while costing tax payers Billions and Billions of dollars and the loss of our best men. The Foreign Policy over the past 9 decades has brought our interventionism into disgrace. Respect for our Foreign Policy is gone, no longer do the people feel that it is a lack of respect for automatic support, the situation is at a point the people are losing respect, trust and affection for their president and Congress. And like his predecessors, inspires no loyalty.

Meanwhile, Israel and Palestine have agreed to start peace talks. Have they finally realized that basically the two countries do have a similar heritage and should become friendly neighbors?

IS TRUTH ANTISEMITIC? - just asking a question?

Russian Jewish leader urges textbook pulled September 20, 2010

MOSCOW (JTA) -- A Russian Jewish leader urged the head of Moscow State University to take steps to drop a history textbook considered by many to be anti-Semitic.

Alexander Boroda, president of the Federation of Jewish Communities of Russia, sent a letter Monday to Viktor Sadovnichy urging the Moscow State leader to act upon a history textbook written by university professors Alexander Vdovin and Alexander Barsenkov.

Many experts have perceived the textbook, which deals with Russian history between 1917 and 2004, as extremist and anti-Semitic. It provides the percentage of Jews in former Soviet governments and similarly treats many historic events. "For example, [the authors] say that the deportation of the Crimea Tatars was caused by the necessity of clearing the territory for the Jewish republic, which is nonsense from a

historical point of view," sociologist Anatoly Golubovsky, a history graduate from Moscow State, told JTA.

"The stance of the Federation of Jewish Communities of Russia is the same as of the public and of the expert community who called the textbook an example of extremist literature," Boroda said in his letter, describing some of the authors' descriptions as "sounding Nazi."

Boroda also asked Sadovnichy to hold an internal investigation into the textbook and to put an end to any anti-Semitic activities at the university.

"We wouldn't like to go as far as to a court trial," Boroda said, "but the degree of heat of public discussion makes us think about this."

<http://www.jta.org/news/article/2010/09/20/2740977/russian-jewish-leader-urges-textbook-pulled>

Who fears Free Expression?
