ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300 Adelaide 5067 Australia Mob: 61+401692057

Online ISSN 1440-9828



Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: http://www.adelaideinstitute.org

August 2010 No 515

The Hunted Becomes the Hunter

The Holocaust Front is heating up again as Kevin Käther continues the struggle for German liberation. Written by Kevin Käther

Translated from the German by J M Damon - jamesmdamon@yahoo.com

The new trial resulting from appeal of my conviction of December 2009 will take place on 6th - 10th August 2010.

In my first self-accusation trial for expressing doubts about "Holocaust" I received a sentence of 20 months (suspended for three years) as punishment for exercising my right to defend myself with evidentiary motions.



Kevin Käther

The NATIONAL JOURNAL reported extensively on my evidentiary motions, which were based on empirical evidence, historical events and natural law.

The motions incurred the displeasure of Chief Prosecutor Michael von Hagen, head of the department for *STAATSSCHUTZDELIKTE* (crimes against state security) in District 11 of the Berlin District Attorney's Office, who brought four new counts of of *VOLKSVERHETZUNG* (Incitement of the Masses) against me.

He chose to charge me with four counts of Incitement because I dared to submit evidentiary motions on four trial days.

This revealed the "Holocaust" laws for just what they are: pure arbitrary pretension; and we are grateful for this self-revelation on the part of the government.

"Holocaust Justice" operates by manufacturing charges of alleged "Holocaust Denial", thus depriving the political dissident of right to defend himself.

If the dissident still insists on defending himself by referring to the abundant empirical evidence amassed by Revisionist researchers, he can be certain that additional charges will be filed against him.

The only thing missing from this witch-trial scenario is the pyre in front of the courthouse, then Germany will be back in the days of the Holy Inquisition.

In the course of my two showtrials, I submitted around 240 evidentiary motions that included almost 15,000 pages.

As is usual in such show trials, every one of my evidentiary motions, including the one concerning *OFFENKUNDIGKEIT* (Manifest Obviousness) was disallowed - on grounds of Manifest Obviousness! My so-called judge needed just a couple of hours to dispose of my 7,000 pages of evidence, which quite possibly qualifies him for mention in the Guinness Book of Records for rapidity in rejecting evidentiary motions!

[TR: "Manifest Obviousness" is a legalistic concept adopted by the German occupation government from the Moscow and Nuremberg show trials. By suspending the rules of evidence imposed on courts of law, "Manifest Obviousness" relieves the Prosecution of the burden of proving that alleged crimes took place.]

The legal crisis posed by "Manifest Obviousness" is clearly depicted in the reform movement initiated by revisionist German researchers and investigators.

In the course of this campaign, we strongly urged every chief magistrate and prosecutor in the OMF-FRG to explain what "Manifest Obviousness means in " regard to "Holocaust."

[NOTE: OMF - ORDNUNGSFORM EINER MODALITÄT DER FREMDHERRSHAFT (Organizational Form of a Modality of Foreign Rule) is the description and explanation provided in 1948 by Prof. Carlo Schmidt, the "Father of the FRG," when he preented the Basic Law of the BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND or

Federal Republic of Germany. The term clearly expresses the reality that the FRG is not sovereign, while avoiding the expression "puppet government."]

There should have been a great flood of responses to our request since the "unique and inexpiable atrocity of Holocaust" is as "obvious" to the German courts as is the fact that the day has 24 hours. Alas, the expected flood of postal responses was not forthcoming.

The judges all wrapped themselves in judicious silence or else referred us to "politically correct" historians or district attorneys, while the attorneys general all responded with thunderous silence.

This gives an idea of just how "obvious" Manifest Obviousness is in our "Organizational Form of a Modality of Foreign Rule."

[For more on OMF-FRG visit:

http://www.zundelsite.org/english/zgrams/zg2006/2 006-April/001209.html]

The responses (or rather lack thereof) show that the so-called "Manifest Obviousness of Holocaust" is just another swindle.

Our judiciary is not and has never been able to demonstrate anything "obvious" about "Holocaust." Either our German jurists are completely lacking in professional knowledge or else they are afraid of exposing themselves as the willing tools of foreign masters.

We are reminded of the confessions of Judge Fahsel of Stuttgart, who wrote the following in a candid letter to SÜDDEUTSCHE ZEITUNG on 9 April 2008:

"I have unfortunately known countless judges and prosecutors who can truly be called 'criminal...' I have experienced countless violations and manipulations of the law that were simply unbelievable, but against which nothing could be done because they conformed to our System...

When I think back on my career - I am now retired - I am overcome with revulsion at the likes of myself."

But now let us return to my first show trial.

After having accused myself of doubting "Holocaust" I was sentenced to eight months incarceration in spite of my massive submission of evidentiary motions.

We appealed that verdict with the result that Berlin Superior Court overturned the verdict and ordered District Court to conduct a new trial.

Unfortunately this new trial, which we were eagerly anticipating, languished in cold storage for almost a year.

(To anyone who is interested in additional information, I recommend the National Journal / Global Fire reports:

http://search.freefind.com/find.html?oq=1+Jan+201 0&id=9413227&pageid=r&_charset =UTF-8&bcd=%C3%B7&scs=1&query=Kevin+K%C3%A4th er&Find=Search&mode=ALL&search=all

As it now stands, my 2009 conviction on four counts of "Incitement of the Masses" (specifically submission of evidentiary motions) will enter its second round in August.

We are looking forward to this new trial with real anticipation since the Federal Constitutional Court and former judges, as well as high ranking Jewish personalities, have created a new situation.

With its decision of 4 November 2009 on the constitutional complaint of recently deceased Attorney Jürgen Rieger against the prohibition of the memorial march for Rudolf Hess, the First Senate of the Federal Constitutional Court demolished the legal proscription against denying or minimizing "Holocaust" (Section 130 of the Penal Code.)

The Karlsruhe judges clearly stated in their ruling that Section 130 is an exceptional and illegal law that violates the civil protections guaranteed by Section 5 Paragraph 1 of Basic Law.

In the German courtrooms, we Germans who want to be German will now clear away the ruins of Section 130, piece by piece. We Germans have the duty to re-establish the freedom of our nation and secure it for all time.

Only through freedom gained through struggle can a nation flower and flourish anew and ultimately survive.

As long as the lies of our enemies prevail, as long as we are subjugated, robbed and cheated, we will continue to be menials in our own fatherland.

We have obligations toward our women, children, families and fellow Germans.

Let's get to work!

- Alexander Hoyer -

Wenn Lüge, Haß und Rache wüten, könnt Ihr die Wahrheit zwar verbieten, doch, dass wir fest an Deutschland glauben, kann uns der stärkste Feind nicht rauben, Ihr mögt uns fesseln, foltern, töten, wir stehen auf aus tiefsten Nöten, so, wie die Sterne sich nicht biegen, lässt sich die Treue nicht besiegen!

When lies, hatred and vengeance hold sway, You may be able to forbid the truth, But not even our most powerful enemy can do away with

(The fact) that we are steadfast in our faith in Germany.

You may bind us, torture, kill us, Yet we will rise from deepest degradation, And, just as the stars cannot be dislodged, Our loyalty cannot be vanquished! The translator is a "Germanophilic Germanist" who attempts to make noteworthy articles accessible to those who do not read German.

*

Here's freedom to him who would speak,
Here's freedom to him who would write;
For there's none ever feared that the truth should be heard,
Save him whom the truth would indict!
ROBERT BURNS (1759-96

Declaration of John Demjanjuk to the Court in Munich, Germany

On John Demjanjuk's behalf, the following Declaration was read in the German language by his attorney, Dr.

Ulrich Busch, during the April 13th 2010 public court session in Munich, Germany.

DECLARATION of the Accused to the Criticism of the Court and the Prosecutors of his Behaviour During the Trial: By John Demjanjuk

I am personally thankful to the people who are helping me in my hopeless position as a very ill person, be it in prison or here in the courtroom. Therefore, I especially thank the medical personnel who are very helpful in alleviating my major aches and pains and who help me to survive this trial which for me is torture. As a matter of fact, I point out the following:

- Germany is guilty of the war of destruction against the Soviet Union through which I lost my home and homeland.
- Germany is guilty of forcing me to become a prisoner of war.
- Germany is guilty of creating prisoner of war camps where through purposeful denial of enough food rations I and millions of other Red Army prisoners were sentenced to die of starvation and only with God's help did I survive.
- Germany is guilty of forcing me to become a slave labourer of the Germans in the prisoner of war camp.
- Germany is guilty of this war of destruction where 11 million of my fellow Ukrainians were murdered by the Germans and more millions of Ukrainians, including my loving wife Vera, were abducted to Germany to forced labour and slavery by the Germans.
- Germany is guilty of making thousands upon thousands of my countrymen unwilling German collaborators and forcing them to join in the perverse genocide program against Jews, Sinti, Roma, Slavs, Ukrainians, Poles and Russians under the threat of death as hundreds and more than hundreds that wanted to refuse this, were killed for that by the Germans. Over and above this, hundreds of thousands were deported to Ukraine and executed by Stalin or tortured for ages in the Siberian Gulag and lowered to work as slaves for the Communists.
- Germany is guilty of forcing me to live a wretched life as a displaced person in a DP camp years after the war.
- Germany is guilty of forcibly having me deported to Germany even after 30 years of legal prosecution in Israel, the USA, as well as, Poland and after more than 10 years of imprisonment, more than 5 of those in a death cell in Israel, at the end of my life and in my 90th year.
- Germany is to blame for false charges of accessory to murder being levied against me at the end of my life when I am without life's energy in violation of 65-year-old case law and also in violation of the EMRK (European Convention for Human Rights).
- Germany is to blame for my having to vegetate more than
 months in Stadelheim, in a prison, isolated, not free –
 though innocent.
- Germany is to blame for my having forever lost my second homeland, the USA, without a chance to return.
- Germany is to blame for my having forever lost the sense of my entire life, my family, my happiness and any kind of future and hope.
- I have experienced every minute, every hour, every day, every week and every month since May 12, 2009 as a prisoner of war in Germany. I have experienced this process

as a continuation of my terrible memories with Germans, as a continuation of the indescribable wrong which has been done to me by Germans. I am again and repeatedly an innocent victim of the Germans. I feel this as an inexpressible wrong that Germany with the help of this trial is making out of me, a prisoner of war, a war criminal. I find it an unbearable arrogance of Germany, that Germany is misusing me to turn the attention away from the war crimes committed by Germans, to make them forgotten and against the truth to claim that the true criminals of the Nazi crimes were me, the Ukrainians and the European neighbours of Nazi Germany.

I consider this trial, which is held exclusively against me, an alleged foreign Travniki, in violation of the principles of equal treatment as provided to German SS members and to an uncountable number of "German Travnikis", incompatible with justice and the principle of equality. I have already defended myself against the accusation of the Munich prosecutor while in Israel. In Israel, I was accused to be connected to Nazi crimes in Sobibor. The Israeli Supreme Court specifically recognized that this accusation of the Israeli Prosecutor could not be proven, a legal verdict was decided on the Sobibor accusation in such a manner taking into account with special reference to the already suffered arrest of more than 7 ½ years. I was jailed in Israel for these charges against me for 7 ½ years with 5 of those years in a death cell.

I feel it is not compatible with fairness and humanity, that for over 35 years I have had to defend myself as a constantly chased legal victim of the Office of Special Investigation of the USA and the circles behind it, especially the World Jewish Congress and the Simon Wiesenthal Centre which live off of the holocaust. Now at the end of my life and the end of my strength, the 30th or 40th trial in the same case is being made and I do not have the strength to fight. I am helpless against this judicial war waged against me for over 30 years which the Germans are now continuing against me in place of the OSI.

My beloved wife Vera, with whom I have been married for over 50 years, also suffered in Germany. It was the Germans who deprived my wife of her youth and took her by force to Germany for years of forced labor. She was for years in German slave labor under cruel and inhumane conditions.

The suffering that we endured in connection with the German war of annihilation against us Slavs is not describable in measurable words. That Germany has again and again chosen me and my family to be victimized is, for me, incomprehensible.

John Demjanjuk

April 2010

WebNote: Anyone interested in contacting the defense team can do so at this email address.... **Help.JohnD@gmail.com**

Israel signs agreement to fight antisemitism with 87 countries – 21-07-2010

More than 80 countries signed an agreement to cooperate in the fight against antisemitism and Holocaust denial.

The Task Force for International Cooperation on Holocaust Education, Remembrance, and Research and the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights signed the agreement at Israel's Foreign Affairs Ministry .

"This agreement is a step that doubles the power of 87 states' cooperation in the fight against the delegitimization of Israel and anti-Semitism towards Jews around the world," said Deputy Foreign Minister Daniel Ayalon, who signed the agreement on behalf of Israel. "There are those who deny the Holocaust and are preparing for the next Holocaust. We must remember the Holocaust so that the horrors will not return, and we will make the world a safer place."

Israel was selected to lead the task force for the first time in 2010.

The task force, established a decade ago at the initiative of the Swedish government, aims to promote the remembrance of the Holocaust through education, research and memorial sites. It is comprised of 27 member countries, mostly European. Six international organizations belong as observers, including the United Nations and the European Union.

The Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights, which has 57 members, deals with educational programs and monitors instances of xenophobia, mainly anti-Semitism and Islamophobia.

Source: http://www.mfa.gov.il/

http://www.antisemitism.org.il/eng/struggle/48219/Israel-

<u>Israelsignsagreementtofightantisemitismwith87countries</u>

http://www.osce.org/about/13131.html

Participating States

With 56 States from Europe, Central Asia and North America, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) forms the largest regional security organization in the world.

Albania

- Admission to the OSCE: 19 June 1991
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 16
 September 1991; signature of Charter of Paris: 17 September 1991

Andorra

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 April 1996
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 10 November 1999; signature of Charter of Paris: 17 February 1998

Armenia

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 17 April 1992

Austria

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Azerbaijan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 20 December 1993

Belarus

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 26
 February 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 8 April 1993

Belgium

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Bosnia and Herzegovina

• Admission to the OSCE: 30 April 1992

 Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992

Bulgaria

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Canada

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Croatia

- Admission to the OSCE: 24 March 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992

Cyprus

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Czech Republic

Admission to the OSCE: 1 January 1993

Denmark

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Estonia

- Admission to the OSCE: 10 September 1991
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 14 October 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 6 December 1991

Finland

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

France

• Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973

 Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Georgia

- Admission to the OSCE: 24 March 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 January 1994

Germany

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Greece

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Holy See

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Hungary

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Tceland

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Ireland

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Italv

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Kazakhstan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 23 September 1992

Kyrgyzstan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 3 June 1994

Latvia

- Admission to the OSCE: 10 September 1991
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 14 October 1991; signature of Charter of Paris: 6 December 1991

Liechtenstein

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Lithuania

- Admission to the OSCE: 10 September 1991
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 14
 October 1991; signature of Charter of Paris:
 6 December 1991

Luxembourg

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

• Admission to the OSCE: 12 October 1995

Malta

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Moldova

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 26
 February 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 29 January 1993

Monaco

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Montenegro

- Admission to the OSCE: 22 June 2006
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 September 2006

Netherlands

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Norway

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Poland

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Portugal

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Romania

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Russian Federation

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

San Marino

• Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973

 Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Serbia

Admission to the OSCE: 10 November 2000

Slovak Republic

• Admission to the OSCE: 1 January 1993

Slovenia

- Admission to the OSCE: 24 March 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992; signature of Charter of Paris: 8 March 1993

Spain

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Sweden

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Switzerland

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Tajikistan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 26 February 1992

Turkey

Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973

 Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Turkmenistan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 8 July 1992

Ukraine

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 26
 February 1992; signature of Charter of Paris:
 16 June 1992

United Kingdom

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

United States of America

- Admission to the OSCE: 25 June 1973
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 1 August 1975; signature of Charter of Paris: 21 November 1990

Uzbekistan

- Admission to the OSCE: 30 January 1992
- Signature of the Helsinki Final Act: 26
 February 1992; signature of Charter of Paris:
 27 October 1993

Helsinki Final Act Final act of the 1st CSCE Summit of Heads of State or Government, Helsinki

In letter, Farrakhan accuses Jews of hurting blacks June 30, 2010, JTA

Minister Louis Farrakhan in a letter addressed to U.S. Jewish organizations accused Jews of hurting blacks and called for dialogue to "repair" the damage.

The Nation of Islam leader sent the letter, as well as a two-volume copy of "The Secret Relationship Between Blacks and Jews" by the Nation of Islam Historical Research Team, which he said proves "an undeniable record of Jewish Anti-Black behavior," the Associated Press reported Tuesday.

"We could charge you with being the most deceitful socalled friend, while your history with us shows you have been our worst enemy," he reportedly wrote in the letter, dated June 24. In the past, Farrakhan has called Judaism a "gutter religion."

The letter was sent to several Jewish organizations, including the Anti-Defamation League, American Israel Public Affairs Committee, the American Jewish Committee, and the Orthodox and Reform movements, according to the AP.

Farrakhan called for dialogue with Jewish groups and their supporters. "This is an offer asking you and the gentiles whom you influence to help me in the repair of my people from the damage that has been done by your ancestors to mine," he wrote in the letter.

Abraham Foxman, ADL's national director, received a copy of the letter and the books.

"Never, in our more than 20 years of following Minister Louis Farrakhan, have we seen him so obsessively devoted to his hate crusade against Jews," Foxman said. "His anti-Semitism is obsessive, diabolical and unrestrained. He has opened a new chapter in his ministry, where scapegoating Jews is not just part of a message but the message.

"Dialogue does not begin with bigoted accusations. Farrakhan's call for dialogue with Jews is so outrageous and disingenuous that you can't believe anyone would take him seriously."

In a news release, the ADL pointed out that Farrakhan, in an address to followers in Atlanta on June 26, announced that he had sent the book to the media and members of the Obama administration.

He also continued to speak negatively about Jews.

"Today the Jewish people have developed a new strategy," Farrakhan said, according to the ADL release. "They have always tied themselves to black people. They attach themselves to our talent. They are the managers, the agents, and they are the accountants, and that's why our black artists loved fame and got fame but died poor because somebody else got their money.

"No black man or woman becomes a multimillionaire without friendship in the Jewish community."

http://www.jta.org/news/article/2010/06/30/2739839/in-letter-farrakhan-accuses-jews-of-hurting-blacks

Billboard linking Obama, Hitler draws complaints By LUKE MEREDITH - Associated Press Writer, July 13 2010

DES MOINES, Iowa — A billboard created by an Iowa tea party group that compares <u>President Barack Obama</u> to <u>Adolf Hitler</u> and <u>Vladimir Lenin</u> is drawing sharp criticism _ even

from fellow tea party activists who have condemned it as offensive and a waste of money.

The North <u>Iowa</u> Tea Party began displaying the billboard in downtown Mason City last week. The sign shows large photographs of <u>Obama</u>, Nazi leader <u>Hitler</u> and communist leader <u>Lenin</u> beneath the labels "Democratic Socialism," "National Socialism," and "Marxist Socialism."

Beneath the photos is the phrase, "Radical leaders prey on the fearful & naive."

The co-founder of the roughly 200-person group said the billboard was intended to send an anti-socialist message. But Bob Johnson admitted Tuesday that the message may have gotten lost amid the images of fascist and communist leaders.

"The purpose of the billboard was to draw attention to the socialism. It seems to have been lost in the visuals," Johnson said. "The pictures overwhelmed the message. The message is socialism." He said he didn't know of any plans to remove the sign.

But others in the tea party movement criticized the sign.

"That's just a waste of money, time, resources and it's not going to further our cause," said Shelby Blakely, a leaders of the Tea Party Patriots, a national group. "It's not going to help our cause. It's going to make people think that the tea party is full of a bunch of right-wing fringe people, and that's not true."

Blakely also expressed outrage at linking Obama to Hitler, the leader of Nazi Germany who oversaw the killing of 6 million Jews and whose invasions of neighboring countries led to World War II.

"When you compare Obama to Hitler, that to me does a disservice to the Jews who both survived and died in the

Holocaust and to the Germans who lived under Nazi regime rule," Blakely said.

John White, an Iowa coordinator of the Tea Party Patriots, said that he can understand the North Iowa group's perception that Obama is "Hitler-esque," but he thinks the billboard is offensive and unproductive. White said that he planned to discuss the matter with national tea party officials.

"I fear they may end up in some kind of trouble over it, because it's basically slanderous," White said. "I don't know that it's the message we want to send. I'd much rather see billboards that say 'Remember in November. Get Out and Vote."

The billboard is owned by Waitt Outdoor of Omaha, Neb. Waitt general manager, Kent Beatty, said the company didn't have a problem with the message.

"We believe in freedom of speech," Beatty said. "It doesn't reflect our views, necessarily."

The White House declined to comment on the sign.

One person who welcomed the billboard was Dean Genth, a Democratic activist from Mason City, a city of 30,000 people just south of the <u>Minnesota</u> border, who said he thinks the sign lays bare the views of tea party supporters.

"I welcome them to continue to spew that kind of stuff because I think it's going to do a lot of good for the good <u>Democrats</u> around the state," Genth said.

Associated Press writer Julie Pace in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://rr.com/news/topic/article/rr/1110/1601315
7/Iowa sign linking Obama Hitler draws complain
ts/full/

From Adelaide Institute's Archive: Behind every Nazi mass murderer was a woman: New book claims women under Hitler were just as ruthless as men

By Alan Hall, 3 February 2009

Women who worked under Adolf Hitler were just as ruthless as men, a shocking new book reveals.

Female Perpetrators; Women Under National Socialism exposes the myth that women under Hitler were the fairer sex and were simply caught up in the cruel actions of men. In Nazi art, films and magazines, women were always portrayed as the fairer sex, fighting on the home-front as

their menfolk fought on the battlefields.



Women who served under the Nazis, including those involved in the Hitler Youth, were just as ruthless as men according to a German historian

But the book, which goes on sale in Germany this week, shows this was all propaganda and that women who worked under Hitler were just as brutal as men.

In the first German post-war reckoning of the role of women in underwriting the crimes of the Nazis, historian Kathrin Kompisch has revealed what has been swept under the carpet since 1945.

'The history of National Socialism has long been reduced to one that blamed men for everything,' Ms Kompisch said. 'This was and is the popular picture.'

But the fairer sex venerated by the propaganda machine of Josef Goebbels was, according to Ms Kompisch, every bit as eager to turn the thumb-screws on the victims held in Gestapo cellars across Europe.

Her book shows that women were also every bit as fanatical as the men when it came to crushing resistance to the state.



Eva Schmidt, German pilot and gliding expert owned a glider with a swastika on the back, showing she supported the Nazis

This was partially portrayed by Kate Winslet, who plays a Nazi guard accused of war crimes, in the Oscar-nominated film The Reader.

'Apart from a few particularly cruel examples, the participation of women in the crimes of the Nazis has been blended out of the collective conscious of the Germans for a long time,' Ms Kompisch wrote.

'I tried to analyse the motives and the personal circumstances of the women involved and to pose the question about their own personal responsibility for what occurred.'

She found that Hitler and his satraps virtually hypnotised women to do the bidding of a regime founded on violence and race hatred.

As such they became handmaidens to the S.S.; staffed the 'baby farms' where 'supermen' children were born and assisted the doctors who first sterilised.

Later women went on to become murderers of the disabled as well as guards in the gulag of concentration camps.

'One should never forget the legions of women who supported their menfolk as they killed people by the tens of thousands in Russia, in Poland, in places like Auschwitz and Treblinka,' Ms Kompisch said.

'Women typed the statistics of the murdered victims of the S.S. Action Squads in the east, operated the radios which called up for more bullets, were invariably the secretaries – and sometimes much more – in all the Gestapo posts,' Ms Kompisch said.



Actress Kate Winslet in the Oscar-nominated film, The Reader, in which she plays a woman facing trial for her role as a Nazi guard during WWII

'And at the end of the war they tried to diminish their responsibility by saying they were just cogs in the all-male machine which gave the orders.'

Analysing pre and post-war statistics, Ms Kompisch found there were more government, private sector and military jobs to be had for women under Hitler than in peacetime. But even those who didn't work bloodied their hands in other ways.

It was largely housewives who queued up at government warehouses to buy the furniture, jewels, household appliances and clothes of their Jewish neighbours who had disappeared in the night without a word.

She found the high-testosterone, all-male hierarchy of the Nazi state blocked out women from leadership positions from the very start, but the regime actively encouraged female participation in enforcing the Nazi terror at grassroots levels.

Most 'Blockwaerts' – apartment house snoops who reported on un-Nazi activities to the party – were female.

They also made unofficial denunciations to the Gestapo of suspicious neighbours, Jews and other enemies of the state at a rate of three-to-one compared to men.

The surviving files of the Gestapo in the city of Duesseldorf noted that they 'try to change the power balance of the household by denouncing their husbands as spies or Communists or anti-Nazis.'

'The cliché of Gold Mother Cross-wearing women having 10 babies and baking bread was as much of a myth as all women in the 1920's drinking absinthe and doing the Charleston,' she said.

'Women could and did advance themselves massively through the Third Reich.'

Some 3,200 women served in the concentration camp gulag.

Ms Kompisch draws on several case histories of other more outwardly normal, intelligent women to try to get to the core of the corruption of their sex in Nazi Germany.

One of them Karin Magnussen, born in 1908 in Bremen, was a brilliant biologist and physicist.

She was a woman venerated by her profession, unaffected by the financial and political upheavals that propelled Hitler to power.

The book shows she ended up using the eyeballs from still-living prisoners at Auschwitz by the demented Dr. Josef Mengele for experiments on the pigmentation of the human iris

Ms Kompisch concludes; 'The fact is that women allowed their female characteristics to be suppressed to bind themselves to the Nazi state and its agencies.


'To say, as most did at the end of the war, that they knew nothing of the terror and torture, is absolutely unbelievable. They supported and underwrote such terror and torture.' **Read more:**

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/worldnews/article-1134832/Behind-Nazi-mass-murderer-woman-New-bookclaims-women-Hitler-just-ruthlessmen.html#ixzz0u477qXxC

Sobibor. Holocaust Propaganda and Reality

By Juergen Graf, Thomas Kues and Carlo Mattogno. Between 25,000 and 2,000,000 Jews are said to have been killed in gas chambers in the Sobibór camp in eastern Poland in 1942 and 1943. The corpses were allegedly buried in mass graves and later incinerated on pyres. This book investigates these claims and shows that they are not based on solid evidence, but on the selective use of absurd and contradictory eye-witness testimonies. Archeological surveys of the camp in 2000-2001 are analyzed, with fatal results for the extermination camp hypothesis. The book also thoroughly documents the general NS policy toward Jews, which never included an extermination plan.

Softcover, 434 pages, B&W illustrations, bibliography, index. \$25.00



http://www.barnesreview.org/catalog/product_info.php?cPath=21&products_id=278&osCsid=412 65fcbfc49e5982abe984591fbf2ac