

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



May 2010 No 500 - C

CELEBRATING NEWSLETTER NO 500



Peter Hartung, right, with friends in 2007. Two years later, on 13 May 2009, he became the Director of Adelaide Institute, a position he has now fearlessly filled for a full year.

Dear Supporters,

As the first year ends of my taking over the directorship of Adelaide Institute from Dr Toben, it is time to reflect on what is happening in the world of truth-seeking.

In Australia, the current government is attempting to introduce internet censorship laws, to protect us all from unsavoury information. No doubt that Adelaide Institute is high on the hit list, with our internet provider Adam Internet already asking us to find an alternative service. They have denied being under pressure from anyone, but with some large Government contracts on the horizon, they have found this to be the prudent course of action to take.

Great Britain has just rushed through its own internet censorship laws, The politicians are obviously under pressure. In the US the ADL is constantly pushing for tighter censorship laws.

So what is all of this about? In this day of Great Western Democracies, where freedom of speech is one of the cornerstones of our very existence, what is going on?

In Germany, where the Glorious Allies liberated the country from the evil Nazis, so that the people could be free, we have revisionists such as Horst Mahler, Sylvia Stolz, Wolfgang Fröhlich, Gerd Honsik and others rotting away in jail.

It doesn't take a brain surgeon to work out what is going on. As the Greatest Lie in the History of Mankind crumbles before our very eyes, we need to be 'educated' and 'persuaded'. It is easy to educate the general public to embrace your way of thinking - just restrict what information is available to them. If this doesn't work, then persuasion by spending time in jail is a good back up. It is not particularly effective though - just ask Fredrick.

So, what are 'They' afraid of? The truth, of course. The truth is like a little stone with which David slayed the giant Goliath. It is the atomic bomb of the poor soldier - us, the revisionists - with which we can blow the Holocaust Lies into 6 million fragments.

The powers that be, in today's Western democracies, will do anything they can to preserve the lies - under great influence from certain powerful lobby groups - and in the short term, things will get much tighter, but in the end the truth will prevail, and we can all head back to a path of righteousness.

Sincerely

Peter Hartung

Hitler artworks set to fetch high prices at British auction

Deutsche Welle, 08 April 2010

Adolf Hitler never made it as an artist, but his drawings and paintings have garnered increasingly high bids at auction. Apparently it's not neo-Nazis who are buying, but history buffs and art collectors.

It's no surprise when works by famous artists sell for prices in the tens of thousands. Perhaps more surprising is the fact that drawings and watercolors by Adolf Hitler have commanded similar prices at recent auctions.



A Hitler painting titled "The Church of Preux-au-Bois"

The British auction house Mullock's offered 15 pieces by Hitler in an auction last April, drawing a sales total of about 140,000 euros (\$187,000). Next week, Mullock's will host another auction featuring 22 pieces by Hitler alongside other objects from the World War II era. Price estimates on the items from Hitler range from about 4,500 to 17,000 euros.

Mullock's is not the only venue for buying the infamous dictator's works. A Nuremberg auction house sparked protest last year by selling two Hitler works for a combined 32,000 euros, while the American collector Charles Snyder, Jr. has dealt in Hitler's artwork for decades. His Web site lists items including a \$70,000 watercolor titled "Arc of Triumph in Munich 1914."



This watercolor was among the pieces auctioned last year by Mullock's

"These prices are clearly much too high when considered just in terms of the works' artistic value," curator for 20th-century art Stephan Diederich told Deutsche Welle. "I would guess that - for whatever reasons - the buyers are less interested in art and more interested in pieces that recall Hitler."

Wrong assumptions

But many people wrongly assume that buyers are Nazi sympathizers or Neo-Nazis, said Richard Westwood-Brookes of the Mullock's auction house.

"Our buyers last year were interested either from a historical or from an artistic point of view - and in the latter case, I mean they were interested in acquiring the work of such a well-known failed artist," he explained

The works auctioned last year were brought to Mullock's by a man who had bought them for virtually nothing decades before. He hoped to earn enough from the 15 pieces to cover the costs of some home repairs. Both he and Westwood-Brookes were stunned when the works fetched five to 10 times their estimated value.

Since news of last year's sale spread, Westwood-Brookes said he has been contacted by three sellers interested in auctioning their own pieces. They include an Austrian man who discovered the pieces in a chest after buying a complete estate in Austria as well as an artist living in France who was interested in examining the drawings' deficiencies.

Given the steady increase in price on works attributed to Hitler, some buyers may even approach their purchases as an investment.

Shadowy provenance



Other objects like this car once belonging to Hitler have also brought high bids

Thus far, the pieces at Mullock's seem to have been bought and sold exclusively by private individuals rather than museums or galleries. Russian collectors were particularly interested in buying works at auction, according to Westwood-Brookes.

But the apparent demand for Hitler's art combined with its often shadowy provenance has also led to a proliferation in fakes. In an interview with Germany's Die Welt, Westwood-Brookes acknowledged that it is impossible to be completely certain that the works are authentic.

"We can put together as many expert opinions as we like, but at the end of the day, you have to decide whether you believe them," he said. "We can only make these objects available for public scrutiny and give as much information about them as we have."

As such, the question remains open not only about the works' authenticity but about how much buyers can expect to pay for them in the future.

Author: Greg Wiser Editor: Kate Bowen

<http://www.dwworld.de/dw/article/0,,5441101,00.html>


DAWKINS WARNS OF DANGER OF MUTLICULTURALISM

'I don't have any sympathy with multiculturalism as an alternative to factual reality... you can keep your political sympathy on one hand, but it must not interfere with your assessment of objective reality.'

[This will not please the internationalists who so hotly embraced climate change as an alternative worldview when their delusional Marxist-Feminist worldview began to disintegrate – and don't mention this to Holocaust Believers who refuse to open themselves to critical discourse but rather delight in relying upon legal persecution to get their pound of flesh. – ed. AI]

A MATTER OF FACTS

Luke Stegemann, *The Adelaide Review*, 25 March 2010



In Adelaide for Writers' Week and in association with the RiAus, author Richard Dawkins spoke with Luke Stegemann about the way modern science, as means of understanding the world, has come increasingly under attack in an age of fundamentalisms and anxieties.

Given the range, scale and complexity of the problems urgently requiring thought, planning and action either at home or around the globe – ongoing wars of religion, climate change, global food production, pandemic disease, migration waves, poverty, drug wars and security of water supply to name a few – one might think society would be heeding the advice of scientists more than ever before; it's hard to see the intractable concerns of the world's physical and social environment being solved without their significant and guiding input.

Yet our age is characterised by an anxiety of knowing: the worldview that flows from scientific rationalism and its body of knowledge, for a range of reasons, is seen as threatening and is contested and constantly challenged. Possibly it is not just the nature of certain forms of knowledge, but the very volume of knowledge, that causes fear – the breadth and depth of scientific knowledge now far outstripping that of religious explanations of the nature of reality.

Richard Dawkins is a famously polarising figure, a man not given to open-ended consideration of his opponents' viewpoints. He is painted by those who oppose his strident rationalism as curt, arrogant and demanding, yet these are attributes that have nothing to do with the facts of an argument. In person, and notwithstanding the jetlag apparent after less than 24 hours in the country, he is seductive if not always endearing, sometimes surprisingly generous and open, switching just as suddenly to a cautious and guarded approach to his answers. His latest book, *The Greatest Show on Earth*, presents the evidence for evolution against the backdrop of an increasing militancy towards scientific rationalism and the enlightenment values such a worldview inherently conveys, yet Dawkins does not claim to provide the sociocultural explanation for the rise and spread of fundamentalism.

"I just have a kind of layman's view – I'm a biologist and don't have expertise in trends in society, changes in the zeitgeist and so on, but suspect the revival of fundamentalism is part of a sociological phenomenon whereby people resent intellectuals who they feel are looking down on them, and who feel their "old time" values are under threat. Maybe there is indeed an anxiety as

people perceive so much of science as difficult, and there's so much of it to know, and so rather than even try to understand, they just push the whole thing away and say "Right, I'm going to stick with my values and beliefs". I think we see a different version of this in intellectuals who are highly educated in other subjects, who again feel that science is too difficult, and so they perhaps resent or fear science."

As the recently retired Professor for the Public Understanding of Science at Oxford University, it remains of great importance to Dawkins that the general public knows not just the utility of science in shaping their everyday quality of life, but feels the scope and awe of what science can reveal to us. Yet along with the suggestion that there are those who resent science for its claims to explain our physical universe, there is also an element of fear, of battering down the hatches before this wave of knowledge. For many, the majesty of life and the universe has a religious explanation that brooks no argument, nor requires any alternative explanation.

"It's not totally obvious that increasing knowledge makes life harder. Peter Medawar writes in an interesting essay that far from needing to know more and more as science develops, we actually need to know less and less, because whole multitudes of miscellaneous facts become subsumed under generalising principles. You can forget many of the details once the generalising principles are there. And evolutionary biology ought not to be threatening for that reason – it may well be threatening for other reasons, but not because of all the detailed facts. The facts are subsumed under the principles."

An age of change, anxiety, paranoia and looming resource shortages throws up many forces that would militate against the worldview Dawkins proposes, not least new ageism, faith schools, and intellectual relativism. Modern science and its methods come increasingly under attack, or are simply too hard to be bothered with. "It is worrying that people seem to gravitate towards ley lines, and astrology and so on, when real science is so much more exciting. Maybe they tap into something that science ought to tap into. Just to contrast astrology to astronomy – there is

something wondrous when you look up at the stars, the poetry of the stars is something that you should be getting from real astronomy, which is far more wonderful. And yet, maybe science is somehow letting people down, and so they are ripe for the picking by new age nonsense."

Is this a matter of better science education in schools, or of the media being more science literate? "Probably both. Maybe there is something wrong with the way science is taught. I must say I wasn't turned on by science when I was at school, though biology was an exception. But really any science - chemistry, physics, geology - ought to be absolutely spell-binding. And to understand the scientific worldview, you don't need to be a practicing scientist, any more than you need to be able to play an instrument in order to appreciate music, and be thrilled by it."

And while Dawkins is concerned by the effects of the increasing tendency towards mumbo-jumbo, new ageism and a myriad of other illusory beliefs and practices, he doesn't worry that we might be witnessing a rolling back of long-established enlightenment progress. "You've got to take the long view of the path of the enlightenment. You can't examine it from year to year and extrapolate trends from what happens to be happening in this year or even this decade."

Dawkins is also wary of the difficult balancing act involved in modern societies that seek, through policies of multiculturalism, to assimilate and accept wholly divergent worldviews. "I don't have any sympathy with multiculturalism as an alternative to factual reality. There's something almost sacrosanct about evidential reality." He cites the example of the case of Kennewick Man, 9,000-year-old human remains found in the western USA which had the potential to change the view of the original migration of humans into North America. When scientists came to do the relevant DNA testing, a local tribe of North American Indians claimed the remains as their ancestor, and hence claimed ownership. "In the interests of multiculturalism, the government immediately seized this body and denied all further scientific testing. That I think is multiculturalism gone mad and if you actually think about it, this body was 9,000 years old and there's absolutely no chance he had any connection with the particular local tribe of Native Americans. It was total nonsense to say the tribe should claim ownership, and yet such is the climate - I suppose it's partly fostered by guilt because of the way Native Americans were treated, just as I think was probably the case with respect to aboriginals here. Yet because of that, statements of utter nonsense were accepted as being somehow on a par with scientific statements. Multicultural extremism gives weight to claims that "Western, paternalistic, arrogant" science is no better than any other explanation. Well it bloody well is better, because it's true. But having said that, I have every sympathy with the way their country was raped, but that's a totally separate issue. That's the point - you can keep your political sympathy on

one hand, but it must not interfere with your assessment of objective reality."

Unusually for a professional biologist, Dawkins is most widely known for his book *The God Delusion*, published during the tumultuous last decade when seemingly pointless wars were being waged in the name of various religious fundamentalisms.

"The Taliban mentality is one of the great evils in the world today, this really loathsome, puritanical, anti-joy, anti-everything that makes life worth living. Then there are other fundamentalisms that are at war with that, but I find it very hard to get myself inside that mentality. I find the utterly joyless brutality of the Taliban and the Iranian ayatollahs very hard to get my head around. I can't imagine why anyone would wish to lead a life like they must lead, and impose it on others."

Yet progress in the West has all kinds of enemies. What Dawkins terms "ashionably relativist intellectuals" also impede human social and intellectual development; the idea that no one truth should be privileged over another seems to be inherently anti-progress. The notion horrifies Dawkins. "One of the things that frightens me is the thought that at some point in the future that point of view, that all truths are equal, should become the norm, that somehow reality should be downgraded as not being in any way "privileged" - to use their jargon - over anything else. The idea that if it feels good to you, if it feels right to you, then it's just as true as objective reality."

The logical extension of such a proposition would make progress impossible. "If you look historically at what we know, at least in the case of science, it is undeniably progressive. We absolutely know more with each decade than in all the previous decades in history. You can't say that the art of any decade is better or worse than that of any other decade, or the poetry, or the music, but with science you definitely can say that. It is progressive; it builds on the past, no matter how brilliant scientists of the past may have been. Any modern day undergraduate student of physics could give Newton a tutorial."

Dawkins is adamant there is much beauty and wonder in the way he understands the world. If indeed people want or need to believe in mysteries, miracles and largely intangible wonders then one need look no further than nature itself as revealed through our scientific knowledge. "There is Grandeur in this View of Life" Dawkins argues in his closing chapter, unpacking the final paragraph of Darwin's *On the Origin of Species* line by line, underscoring the wondrousness of what science can reveal to us. "In unweaving the rainbow, Newton made it far more wondrous in the process, whereas Keats and others tried to make out that it spoils it to have it explained. Quite the opposite."

http://www.adelaidereview.com.au/features.php?subaction=showfull&id=1269481677&archive=&start_from=&ucat=16&

The following report is sensational but if you recall that during the past years over 7 million US families have lost their homes, then perhaps there's some truth in it because the USA has millions of angry citizens who have had enough of financial exploitation.

April 5, 2010

'Death Camps' Warned Being Prepared As Millions In US Left Hopeless

By: Sorcha Faal, and as reported to her Western Subscribers

A chilling Russian Space Forces ([VKS](#)) report prepared for Prime Minister Putin is warning today the United States is in the process of constructing at least 300 massive "death camps" in 11 of their Western States [secret documents](#) had previously revealed President Obama's Department of the

Interior was preparing to take over in a massive 13-million acre land grab against his own people.

VKS satellites had been tasked with overseeing this vast area of the United States after Obama had ordered all of

these 13 million acres not to be flown over by aircraft or trespassed upon under threat of life imprisonment.

These reports further state that the [US Northern American Command](#) has been put in charge of this "death camp" project and in their largest logistical deployment [since World War II](#) are moving massive amounts of equipment from their war zones to America in preparation for "relocating" nearly 30 million of their citizens into them over the next 3 years.

Not being understood by the American people is that their government has used these cruel extermination procedures against them before when in fear of their being toppled from power during the Great Depression they murdered nearly 8 million of their own citizens.

Though this **Great American Genocide** has been nearly erased from their people's memories it bears their remembering as a new generation of them are unknowingly about to meet the same fate as their ancestors, and it all began in the spring months of 1932 as the economic collapse of the United States was threatening to topple their elite masters from power...something they would *never* allow.

Causing this wave of fear to sweep through the corridors of power in the United States was the self-named [Bonus Expeditionary Force](#) which was an assemblage of some 43,000 marchers — 17,000 World War I veterans, their families, and affiliated groups, who had assembled in Washington D.C. during the spring and summer months of 1932 demanding economic relief and equity from their officials who were protecting the giant banking and financial interests while allowing ordinary Americans to be thrown from their homes by the tens of millions and then letting them starve.

To suppress this rebellion the US Military brutally attacked and destroyed the Bonus Expeditionary Forces in July, 1932 using troops commanded by Army Chief of Staff General Douglas MacArthur, General, later US President, Dwight D. Eisenhower, and Major George Patton; all three of whom would in the next 13 years defeat the German and Japanese Empires using the same tactics of mass civilian deaths to achieve their war goals.

[Note: Estimated German and Japanese civilian deaths in World War II are estimated between [40-52 million](#) and were defended by top World War II [US General Curtis LeMay](#) who said, "There are no innocent civilians. It is their government and you are fighting a people, you are not trying to fight an armed force anymore. So it doesn't bother me so much to be killing the so-called innocent bystanders."*]*

The estimated 500 leaders of the Bonus Expeditionary Forces upon being captured by MacArthur's forces were imprisoned in what the Americans called a Works Progress Administration ([WPA](#)) labor camp in Florida where they were all executed by military firing squad. The US, or course, has always maintained that these Bonus Army leaders were not killed by execution but instead were killed by the catastrophic [1935 Labor Day hurricane](#).

[Note: The Works Progress Administration (renamed during 1939 as the Work Projects Administration; WPA) was the largest [New Deal](#) agency, employing millions to carry out public works projects, including the construction of public buildings and roads, and operated large arts, drama, media, and literacy projects. Almost every community in the United States had a park, bridge or school constructed by the agency, which especially benefited rural and Western populations. Expenditures from 1936 to 1939 totaled nearly \$7 billion.]

[Note: The Bonus Army leaders were held and executed in Florida's then nearly abandoned [Fort Pickens](#) that had previously held as prisoner of war the Apache Indians including their leader [Geronimo](#).]

After the 1932 destruction of the Bonus Army the new American President Franklin Roosevelt ordered the construction of massive WPA labor camps throughout the United States in order to "contain and suppress" the growing rebellion facing the elites in his country, and which

according to archived KGB reports were ordered by General MacArthur to be *administered* by US Army veterans who had served under [General Jacob H. Smith](#) in the Americans war against the Philippine people barely a generation before.

MacArthur's purpose for using these US Army veterans, these reports continued, was their "outstanding" use of terror tactics against men, women and children, including rape, torture and execution.

[Note: General Smith remains one of the most brutal of all American War leaders after his issuing this order to his troops: "I want no prisoners. I wish you to kill and burn, the more you kill and burn the better it will please me. I want all persons killed who are capable of bearing arms in actual hostilities against the United States." When asked by his troops if even children down to 10 years of age should be killed, Smith replied, "Yes."*]*

Upon their taking control of these WPA labor camps, "Smith's Dandies", as they were referred to by President Roosevelt, began a ruthless campaign against the American people put into their charge that was modeled on Belgium's forced labor regime instituted in the Congo which has been estimated to have caused over [10 million deaths](#), thus making it one of history's largest genocides no one in America have been allowed to know about.

To how many Americans died in during the Great Depression in these WPA labor camps and through outright starvation Russian researcher Boris Borisov has estimated at over 7 million, and as we can read:

"Borisov used the official data of the US Census Bureau. Having revised the number of the US population, birth and date rates, immigration and emigration, the researcher came to conclusion that the United States lost over seven million people during the famine of 1932-1933.

"According to the US statistics, the US lost not less than 8 million 553 thousand people from 1931 to 1940. Afterwards, population growth indices change twice instantly exactly between 1930-1931: the indices drop and stay on the same level for ten years. There can no explanation to this phenomenon found in the extensive text of the report by the US Department of Commerce "Statistical Abstract of the United States," the author wrote. The researcher points out the movement of population at this point: "A lot more people left the country than arrived during the 1930s – the difference is estimated at 93,309 people, whereas 2,960,782 people arrived in the country a decade earlier. Well, let's correct the number of total demographic losses in the USA during the 1930s by 3,054 people."

Analyzing the period of the Great Depression in the USA, the author notes a remarkable similarity with events taking place in the USSR during the 1930s. He even introduced a new term for the USA – defarming – an analogue to dispossession of wealthy farmers in the Soviet Union. "Few people know about five million American farmers (about a million families) whom banks ousted from their lands because of debts. The US government did not provide them with land, work, social aid, pension – nothing," the article says.

"Every sixth American farmer was affected by famine. People were forced to leave their homes and go to nowhere without any money and any property. They found themselves in the middle of nowhere enveloped in massive unemployment, famine and gangsterism."

Today another generation of Americans are facing even worse catastrophes than their ancestors, and who aside from being thrown from their homes and facing mass unemployment like their fellow citizens of the Great Depression, are now facing an ecological disaster of *biblical proportions* as the massive distortions caused to our Earth's magnetic fields by **[DELETED: See Sister Neala's March 31st report "Are You Prepared To Be Shocked?"**] are causing a catastrophic die-off of [honey bee](#) and [bat](#) populations and destroying the ability of these [pollinators](#) to sustain the crops needed to sustain human life on this planet.

As these American people continue to rush headlong towards their own destruction, what remains unknown is if, like their ancestors before them, they will go to these death camps willingly still believing their government lies, or face the stark and brutal truth of what is about to happen to them and begin preparing for their survival? During the 1930's when so many of our Sisters risked their lives to warn of the dangers Hitler and his Nazi Regime posed very few even listened, many more mocked and ridiculed us. Most unfortunately for the American people of today they are walking upon the path of denial once trod upon by their German cousins and mocking us too. Does history repeat itself? Beyond a doubt! And America today is proof positive of it.

© April 5, 2010 EU and US all rights reserved

[Ed. Note: Western governments and their intelligence services **actively campaign** against the information found in these reports so as not to alarm their citizens about the many catastrophic Earth changes and events to come, a stance that the [Sisters of Sorcha Faal](#) strongly disagrees with in believing that it is every human beings right to know the truth. Due to our missions conflicts with that of those governments, the responses of their 'agents' against us has been a longstanding misinformation/misdirection campaign designed to discredit and which is addressed in the report "[Who Is Sorcha Faal?](#)"
<http://www.whatdoesitmean.com/index1358.htm>

Israel police uncovers organ trafficking ring in north

By Eli Ashkenazi and Jack Houry, Haaretz Correspondents, April 7, 2010

Police on Tuesday arrested six men suspected of being involved in an organ trafficking ring in northern Israel. Among the suspects are an IDF reserves brigadier-general and two lawyers.

The department for fraud and misappropriation in northern Israel has been conducting an undercover investigation which began following a complaint by a 50-year-old woman from Nazareth, who replied to an advertisement in Arabic offering 100,000 dollars for a kidney.

The woman underwent medical examinations to ensure a match, and she was then flown a country in Eastern Europe where they extracted her kidney. The woman said that when she returned to Israel, she did not receive the money promised to her. Police say they have since received similar complaints.

Police also said that during the investigation they uncovered a large, very well-organized industry of organ trafficking. The ring includes organ traffickers, agents, and lawyers.

"The ring is operating throughout Israel and not only in the north, and appeals to the public through local media and internet," a police official said. "The organ traffickers somehow receive details about potential transplant candidates and they offer them their services," he said.

The investigators said that the traffickers usually demand around 120,000 dollars for a kidney transplant. While the donors, the majority of which are in serious financial

troubles, are taken advantage of and receive around 10,000 dollars. Some of them get even smaller sums, and some do not receive any money at all.

The donors sign a contract and fill out fraudulent affidavits claiming a family connection between the donor and the recipient - a requirement in the countries where the surgeries take place.

Afterwards, the donors undergo medical examinations where they are categorized by blood types and other medical conditions, and are then flown to countries in Eastern Europe, the Philippines, and Ecuador.

There, the donors undergo surgery to extract their kidney, and shortly afterwards return to Israel without any medical documentation, many times suffering from medical complications.

During the investigation, police found out that a number of transplant candidates were on their way abroad to undergo surgery. Police located the donors and informed them that they were victims of fraud. Some of the donors were located at Israel's Ben-Gurion airport, right before their departure.

Investigators said that there are several more fraud victims located abroad who are due to return to Israel after they were notified that some of the traffickers were under arrest.

<http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1161405.html>

Ethnic Conflict in German Physics

Dan Michaels danielmichaels@earthlink.net March 31, 2010

After the Napoleonic Wars the Jews began for the first time to enjoy the benefits of full citizenship in the countries of Europe. By virtue of their innate talents, pent-up energy, drive, and ambition, they quickly climbed the social ladder, becoming especially prominent in the first instance in commercial pursuits and later in financial, professional, cultural, and scientific circles. Generally, the leaders in the countries in which they lived, recognizing the talents of the new comers, quickly embraced and employed them as tax collectors, bankers, and investment advisors in order to protect and increase their own wealth and position.

With the Jewish rise to prominence, even dominance in some areas, Jewish thought and teachings have transformed Western society — even the sciences. The ascendancy of the Jews in society in general may be seen in microcosm in the competition for the laurels awarded to the most distinguished researchers in the physical sciences by the Nobel Prize selection board.

Although an imperfect measure, the number of Nobel Prize winners is a handy gauge of outstanding achievement in the sciences. Some consider the measure unreliable because they question the impartiality of the selection board. Others would prefer emphasizing the ethnicity of the laureate

rather than the country he happens to reside in, believing that ethnicity is a more significant criterion than citizenship in a particular nation state. Without a homeland of their own, ethnic Jewish scientists have always carried out their research in diverse countries and have won their Nobel Prizes as citizens of those "host" countries. Some Jewish groups, motivated by pride, have taken to identifying and publicizing the Nobel Prize laureates who are Jewish. Ethnic identification is made according to strict Halachic definition based on the interpretation of Hebrew Scriptures, stipulating that to be a Jew requires being born to a Jewish mother (adherence to the Jewish *Volk* is inherited down the female line in the same manner as mitochondrial DNA) or undergoing formal conversion to Judaism. In other words, a Jew by that definition can be either one who adheres to the religion of Judaism or a non-believer whose mother happened to be Jewish even if she was not practicing her religion.

To avoid ethnic chauvinism, the Nobel Prize committee itself does not take the ethnicity or the heredity of a laureate into account. The Nobel Committee does not choose the winning Laureate as exemplars of a specific racial group but as citizens of the county in which they reside.

On the basis of the Nobel committee's official criteria, in the overall compilation of winners (in all fields: physics, chemistry, biomedicine, economics, literature, peace) Germany, without reference to race or religion of its citizens, has had a total of about a hundred laureates. On the other hand, the Jewish world community, using its own Halachic identification criteria, proudly claims today that the number of Jewish laureates to date in all fields has already far surpassed that number, which is to say that approximately 25% of all Nobel laureates to date have been Jews. Using the Halachic method of counting, many American Nobel laureates are of course scored as Jewish.

Based on the citizenship of the country in which they reside, the United States is the grand master with over 160 winners in all fields, representing one-third of all Nobel laureates. Before World War II the Germans held the overall lead, but have fallen behind owing to the human and territorial losses in World War II as well as the postwar emigration (voluntarily or forcible) of scientists to the United States, Great Britain, Soviet Russia, and elsewhere. In addition, the reorganization and democratization of the German school system by the Allies after the war also lowered standards.



Johannes Stark (1874-1947): Leader of the German Physics Movement

Both peoples, German and Jews, have unquestionably contributed more than their share to the advances made in the various fields of scientific endeavor and especially in the natural sciences, as exemplified by physics since about 1900. In the specific field of physics, Germany has produced 26 winners 5 of which were Jews, or roughly 20%. By Halachic definition, Jews worldwide have earned some 44 laureates in physics alone. An educated guess, if not by actual count, suggests that an even greater percentage of U.S. laureates, perhaps 25-30 %, were also Jewish. Four of 6 Russian winners in physics, i.e., two-thirds, were Jewish. Roughly the same numbers and percentages would pertain to chemistry and medicine as well.

The high number of winners for the United States and ethnic Jews since about 1950 can partially be attributed to the fact that increasingly multiple (2-3 or more) scientists may be awarded the laureate for the same accomplishment, i.e., a team of researchers may share the award. Another factor at work affecting the high number of U.S. and Jewish laureates is the high costs involved in modern research that favor the rich countries and put the smaller countries at a disadvantage. Also, the personal wealth of a significant number of U.S. Jewish laureates permitted them to study at the best universities in the world, which, in turn, helps to explain their remarkable success. Moreover, the gifted and affluent ethnic Jewish laureates were able to use and build upon the scientific infrastructure (labs, universities, scholarship, traditions, preexisting and accumulated achievements of earlier, indigenous scholars, etc.) of the advanced Western countries in which they resided.

Intelligence tests have consistently shown that Ashkenazi Jews score higher on average than Whites and Asians. And among the Jews themselves, the Ashkenazi group rates somewhat higher than the Sephardim. However, IQ is a [poor explanation](#) of Jewish success in being Nobel laureates because non-Jews greatly outnumber Jews. For example, if we take an IQ of 145 as a cutoff for genius and assume that Jews were around [3.4%](#) of the [White US population in 1950](#), there were nearly 4 times more non-Jewish White geniuses in the US than Jewish geniuses. And there would have been a much greater disparity in pre-World War II Germany where Jews were around 1% of the population.

Ethnic Competition in Physics

Nationalism in the first half of the 20th century, especially in Europe, was so strong that many thought the sciences themselves were pervaded with the spirit of the people who developed them. It was in this age and atmosphere that Zionism too (secular Jewish nationalism) was aborning. To a considerable extent it is true that each nation has its own specific approach or style of research, but can the results of research be colored by the ethnicity of the researcher? The following is based mainly on [Johannes Stark's Jüdische und deutsche Physik \(Jewish and German Physics](#), originally published in 1941). Stark was a leader of the German Physics movement during the National Socialist period.

No one has ever accused the Jews or the Germans of being underachievers in matters intellectual. If anything, it is precisely because both peoples are notorious overachievers that they have incurred the suspicion and dislike of the less ambitious who often claim to find the manners of Jews and Germans offensive. Compounding the situation, Jews and Germans often find each other's behavior objectionable, most probably because they are in competition with each other. Like two magnets of the same strength, they can attract each other in one configuration or they can repel each other in another. We have seen both configurations, though admittedly more of the latter.

Both Germans and Jews are proud peoples and have earned the right to be so.

Unfortunately, competition, driven by national pride, has in the past provoked discord and even scandalous contention among Nobel laureates. In Germany, decades before the rise of the National Socialists, a bitter dispute arose between German and Jewish physicists, clearly reflecting group cultural differences. At the center of the storm was Albert Einstein.


A small but important group of German physicists ([Wilhelm Wien](#), [Philipp Lenard](#), Johannes Stark), all early Nobel laureates and suffused with the spirit of German nationalism, resented the fact that natural phenomena discovered by German scientists were quickly appropriated by the British and assigned English names (e.g., Röntgen rays were called X-rays). Even more irritating to the German nationalists was the fact that a number of Jews, domestic and foreign, who studied in Germany, were soon getting an exorbitant amount of publicity and credit for research that had been pioneered earlier by Germans and others.



Philipp Lenard (1862-1947)

This animosity toward the British, like so much of the anger that propelled the rise of the National Socialists, stemmed from World War I propaganda that painted the Germans in the worst possible light, culminating in declaring Germany solely guilty for the war.

To counter this propaganda, the Germans, with Wien and other physicists in the lead, joined in the so-called Krieg der Geister (War of Minds) in which leading figures in German society fought a paper war with the French and British to set the record right.



Wilhelm Wien (1864-1928)

The prominence and celebrity accorded to Einstein and "his" Theory of Relativity was, in German eyes of that day, the main provocation and the straw that broke the back of the German nationalists. Several important German physicists believed, for example, that [Friedrich Hasenöhrl](#), a German almost unknown today because his work was not publicized, deserved much of the credit and certainly at least some credit for developing the theory. Hasenöhrl, for example, was the first to postulate the fundamental idea of the equivalence of energy and mass. Underlying the surface dispute of assigning proper credit for the development of the relativity theory was an even more sinister concern. Einstein's relativity was, in the minds of many, equated with moral relativity, a trait that Germans and others associated with Jews. Even the famous $E = mc^2$ formula had been introduced earlier (1903), albeit for a different purpose, by the Italian Olinto De Pretto and published by the Veneto Royal Science Institute in the scientific journal *Atte*.

In actual fact, Einstein did not win his Nobel Prize for the Theory of Relativity, but for his work in theoretical physics in general and his discovery of the law of the photoelectric effect. Nonetheless, Einstein was faulted by German and other physicists for failing to give proper credit to the many scientists upon whose studies he based his own.

Stark, among others, asserted that Einstein gave no credit, not even in footnotes, to researchers like Hendrik Lorentz, Jules Henri Poincaré, Ernst Mach, and Stark himself, all of whom had contributed much in the field. Not even the German astronomer and geodesist J. G. Soldner, who lived decades before Einstein and who was the first to describe the bending of light in the gravitational field of the Sun, was mentioned by Einstein.

Methodically, these disgruntled German physicists proceeded to define how German physics differed from Jewish physics. The essential difference, according to the Germans, resides in each group's fundamental approach to the study of physics. The traditional approach to the study of physics in Germany has been classical, pragmatic, empirical, and experimental. Jewish physics, on the other hand, was considered dogmatic, intuitive, overly abstract

and theoretical. Generally speaking, Jewish scientists tended to rely on mathematical rather than observed physical laws, on inductive leaps rather than on the laborious accumulation of empirical evidence. The two divergent schools of research, many thought, reflected the innate ethnic attributes of the physicists.



Friedrich Hasenöhrl (1874-1915)

In 1941 Stark, who was by then a member of the National Socialist Party, condemned the dogmatic approach as practiced by Einstein and other Jewish physicists:

The dogmatic approach seeks to extract scientific knowledge from the human mind. It builds thought systems based on human concepts of the outside world and sees in these only manifestations of their own thoughts and formulas. Our pragmatic approach draws its knowledge from careful observations and planned targeted experiments. Our own imagination is used only as a means of planning the experiment. If the plan does not confirm the experiment, then it is replaced by another concept that better corresponds to reality. The dogmatic approach believes that new knowledge can be obtained by means of desktop mathematical operations. The dogmatists then spin out their formulas into great theories and propagate them in books and on the lecture circuit. A prime example of this is the worldwide aggressive propagandizing of Einstein's theories of relativity. The pragmatic approach seeks to understand reality in patient, often yearlong laboratory work and limits itself to the publication of the results so obtained.

Because the pragmatic German physicists rely chiefly on careful, instrument-based, direct observations of experimental data, their approach is occasionally referred to as "brass machine physics" because of the many tools and instruments employed in their investigations. The dogmatists, on the other hand, chose to derive their knowledge of the laws of physics through mathematical operations and formulas that then formed the basis of the grand theories spun by Einstein and other members of that school of physics. Further irritating the Germans was the disproportionately approbative publicity accorded such dogmatic theories by the print and electronic media, which the Germans believed was managed and promoted by fellow Jews.

By the 1930s extreme elements of the National Socialist Party were even labeling ethnic German researchers like Werner Heisenberg, Max Planck, and Arnold Sommerfeld "White Jews" for sometimes adhering to the Einsteinian theoretical mathematical approach. Even when Heisenberg postulated his Uncertainty Principle, which challenged Einstein's belief in a causal, predictable universe, many

German physicists opposed him. These scientists rejected quantum physics on the grounds that all unified field theories, including Heisenberg's, viewed space-time in Einstein's terms.

Some racially minded individuals further opined that perhaps Jewish dogmatism even harkened back genetically to their Semitic ancestors in the age when Jewish prophets and lawgivers abounded. In a more critical vein some critics accused Jews of using their inherent analytical talent for the destruction of their host society's existing absolutes and replacing them with a value system of their own creation (i. e., the thesis of *The Culture of Critique*). The talent of the Germans on the other hand, was attributed by some to their adeptness in synthesizing proven components into a viable whole (analysis vs. synthesis).

With regard to the employment of Jewish scientists in Nazi Germany, a certain flexibility prevailed. It is said, for example, that that Herman Göring, when once asked to dismiss a valued colleague (Field Marshal Erhard Milch) who was Jewish, told the investigators that "I [Göring] will decide who is a Jew." When it suited them, or expediency demanded it, the Nazi Party was even prepared to declare certain Jews "honorary Aryans." In the field of nuclear physics, for example, Fritz Houtermans was a Communist and the son of a Jewish mother. He was therefore considered Jewish both by according to Jewish law and National Socialist law. Houtermans worked with his German colleagues throughout the war. The higher political echelons of the Party were obviously taking a very prudent approach, preferring not to foolishly alienate modern physicists who might be needed or who might even be correct.

As James Wyllie has shown in his book *The Warlord and the Renegade* this political flexibility on the part of the Göring family and the Nazi Party was also evident in the field of psychotherapy, in the German Institute for Psychogenic Research and Psychotherapy in Berlin, directed by Matthias Heinrich Göring, a cousin of Herman. Although Matthias

Göring himself and the Party favored Adlerian psychoanalysis, his staff included practitioners in the three major fields of psychotherapy as developed by Freud, Adler, and Jung. The Nazi Party, which saw religion and culture as the main determinants of mental processes and behavior, referred to psychotherapy as *Seelenheilkunde*, literally "soul therapy." So successful was Herman Göring in reconciling the Institute's practices with Hitler and the Party that after the war many of his staff were permitted by the Occupation to continue their work.

The renowned psychologist Carl J. Jung **remarked** of Hitler: **He belongs in the category of authentic wizards. ... He has in his eyes the expression of a prophet. His power is not absolutely political; it is magical. Hitler listens and obeys. The true leader is well led. The idea is confirmed in the word Mahdi, the Islamic Messiah, which translates to 'He who is well led.'** - <http://www.amazon.com/HITLERS-TERROR-WEAPONS-Doodlebug-Warheads/dp/0850528968>

Adler and Freud described him quite differently. Thus, German and Jewish scientists can and have worked successfully together, even under the worst possible conditions. Even if the extreme nationalists on both sides have to bite their lips, their scientists were able to cooperate in both the best and worst of times. Indeed, their different approaches to research may actually complement each other.

Postscript: After the war in 1947 Nobel laureate Johannes Stark, who had been actively concerned with ridding the German university system of all Jewish influence, was declared a "major offender" and sentenced to four years imprisonment by a denazification court. Philipp Lenard died in 1947 before the courts could convict him.

Daniel W. Michaels, a native New Yorker, received his BS in geography from Columbia University in 1954. Following five years in the Army (three of which stationed in Germany) and a Fulbright grant for studies in Tuebingen University, Mr. Michaels worked in the Defense Department until his retirement in 1993. He continues to contribute articles to various journals on World War II and Cold War matters. <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/authors/Michaels-Physics.html>

CAREFULLY "CHOSEN" HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS

By Brave Heart billguru1@gmail.com, Apr 8, 2010 at 8:44 PM

In Chapter 15 of his book "The Unnecessary War", entitled "America Inherits The Empire" Patrick Buchanan lays the blame squarely on Great Britain for converting two European wars into world wars. He writes:

"In the twin catastrophes of Western civilization, World Wars One and Two, Britain was the indispensable nation and Churchill the indispensable man. It was Britain's secret commitment to fight for France of which the Germans were left unaware, that led to the world war with a Kaiser who never wanted to fight his mother's country...

It was Britain that converted a Franco-German-Russian war into a world war of four years that brought down the German, Russian, Ottoman, and Austro-Hungarian empires and gave the world Lenin, Stalin, Mussolini, and Hitler.

It was Britain whose capitulation to U.S. pressure and dissolution of her twenty-three year pact with Japan in 1922 insulted, isolated, and enraged that faithful ally, leading directly to Japanese militarism, aggression and World War Two in the Pacific."

This is quite a list. But note what Buchanan does not say:

"It was Britain which issued a declaration sponsoring a Jewish 'national home' in Palestine, ostensibly as a quid pro quo for getting the U.S. into the war through Zionist help.

It was Britain which betrayed Prince Feisal and the Arabs who had fought for Britain against the Ottoman Turks.

It was Britain who sacrificed Sheriff Hussein of Mecca after he had served his purpose in provoking the Arab revolt.

It was Britain which subdivided Arabia into the mandates of Palestine, Transjordan and Iran and gave Syria and Lebanon to the French as mandates. Britain thus betrayed its war time pledge of a unified Arab state post-war to Sheriff Hussein.

It was Britain which appeased the Zionists at Paris with the Palestinian mandate - and which installed Feisal on the throne of Iraq and his brother Abdullah as emir of Transjordan as a sop for the betrayal of the Palestinian Arabs."

Patrick Buchanan does not say these things. Instead he merely includes the destruction of the Ottoman Empire with the other empires being destroyed. That gloss over conceals many things, Since Buchanan is very hard on Churchill it is interesting that he does not mention Churchill's early 1920's stint as Colonial Secretary. In the year of 1921-1922 Churchill issued a White Paper under his name in which he denied that the Balfour Declaration intended either the creation of a Jewish state in Palestine in the immediate future or the dispossession of the Arabs of Palestine. He stated that he intended for Zionism "to be good for both the Arabs and the Jews". These words are like so many of Winston Churchill - they make for ironic reading indeed in

light of subsequent developments. (Some may object that the deceitful words were not really written by Churchill; they were written by Sir Herbert Samuel, the first High Commissioner of Palestine. But Churchill signed his name to the document. And, as subsequent events verified, he endorsed those sentiments.) Patrick Buchanan may object that his book deals with Churchill and two world wars. That is true. But the Middle East and Palestine in particular were vastly affected by these two wars, especially the First. Since Churchill and his relationship to things Jewish plays such an important role in the development of Palestinian problems, why does Patrick Buchanan not mention it? In 1921 it was still possible for Britain to back off the Balfour declaration. It was not yet writ in stone. Indeed, there was considerable agitation in the House of Lords in that very year against the Balfour Declaration. Lord Islington had led a successful motion demanding that the Balfour Declaration be repudiated as inconsistent with the pledges made to the Sheriff Hussein on October 24-25, 1915. Winston Churchill's decision as Colonial Secretary in 1921-1922 to reaffirm the Balfour Declaration played a key role in quelling the very

powerful opposition to it which existed in Parliament and the British military at that time. Patrick Buchanan, a very erudite and well read man, knows these facts perfectly. But he knows that to investigate Churchill's career as Colonial Secretary would, once again, require delving into those anti-Semitic "myths" of Jewish manipulation of Great Britain and America behind the scenes in World War One.

No one should criticize Patrick Buchanan for his reluctance to "come clean" on the totality of hushed up facts. He knows the limits of candid discourse. It is the price of getting his books published. But the careful reader of Buchanan will note his similarities with the old John Birch Society. The Birchers always knew who the real enemy was, but rather than use the dread three letter word they would always hide behind circumlocutions like "The Insiders", the Illuminati and the like. Patrick knows better than to make himself ridiculous. But like the extreme right of the nations better days, he knows exactly who it really is. That is the only explanation for his carefully "chosen" omissions in otherwise impeccably researched historical accounts.

Video Shows American Killing of Photographer

By **ELISABETH BUMILLER**, April 5, 2010

WASHINGTON — The Web site WikiLeaks.org released a graphic video on Monday showing an American helicopter shooting and killing a Reuters photographer and driver in a July 2007 attack in Baghdad. Notes from Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq and other areas of conflict in the post-9/11 era. A senior American military official confirmed that the video was authentic.

Reuters had long pressed for the release of [the video](#), which consists of 38 minutes of black-and-white aerial video and conversations between pilots in two Apache helicopters as they open fire on people on a street in Baghdad. [The attack killed 12](#), among them the Reuters photographer, Namir Noor-Eldeen, 22, and the driver, Saeed Chmagh, 40.

At a news conference at the National Press Club, WikiLeaks said it had acquired the video from whistle-blowers in the military and viewed it after breaking the encryption code. WikiLeaks edited the video to 17 minutes.



At War

An image from a video obtained by Wikileaks.

David Schlesinger, the editor in chief of Reuters news, said in a statement that the video was "graphic evidence of the dangers involved in war journalism and the tragedies that can result."

On the day of the attack, United States military officials said that the helicopters had been called in to help American troops who had been exposed to small-arms fire and rocket-propelled grenades in a raid. "There is no question that coalition forces were clearly engaged in combat operations against a hostile force," Lt. Col. Scott Bleichwehl,

a spokesman for the multinational forces in Baghdad, said then.

But the video does not show hostile action. Instead, it begins with a group of people milling around on a street, among them, according to WikiLeaks, Mr. Noor-Eldeen and Mr. Chmagh. The pilots believe them to be insurgents, and mistake Mr. Noor-Eldeen's camera for a weapon. They aim and fire at the group, then revel in their kills. "Look at those dead bastards," one pilot says. "Nice," the other responds.

A wounded man can be seen crawling and the pilots impatiently hope that he will try to fire at them so that under the rules of engagement they can shoot him again.

"All you gotta do is pick up a weapon," one pilot says.

A short time later a van arrives to pick up the wounded and the pilots open fire on it, wounding two children inside. "Well, it's their fault for bringing their kids into a battle," one pilot says.

At another point, an American armored vehicle arrives and appears to roll over one of the dead. "I think they just drove over a body," one of the pilots says, chuckling a little. Reuters said at the time that the two men had been working on a report about weightlifting when they heard about a military raid in the neighborhood, and decided to drive there to check it out.

"There had been reports of clashes between U.S. forces and insurgents in the area but there was no fighting on the streets in which Namir was moving about with a group of men," Reuters wrote in 2008. "It is believed two or three of these men may have been carrying weapons, although witnesses said none were assuming a hostile posture at the time."

The American military in Baghdad investigated the episode and concluded that the forces involved had no reason to know that there were Reuters employees in the group. No disciplinary action was taken.

Late Monday, the United States Central Command, which oversees the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, released the redacted report on the case, which provided some more detail. The report showed pictures of what it said were machine guns and grenades found near the bodies of those killed. It also stated that the Reuters employees "made no

effort to visibly display their status as press or media representatives and their familiar behavior with, and close proximity to, the armed insurgents and their furtive attempts to photograph the coalition ground forces made them appear as hostile combatants to the Apaches that engaged them."

Brian Stelter contributed reporting from New York.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/06/world/middleeast/06baghdad.html?src=me>

From: Fredrick Toben toben@toben.biz

Sent: Tuesday, 6 April 2010 1:36 PM

Subject: Bagdad killings


1.
2.

— April 05, 2010. Think how easy it was for the Allies during World War Two to escape such scrutiny because the Germans were branded as the perpetrators/originators of the conflict - and to this day through the Holocaust lies this view is sustained. The video shows how conventional war is not a viable proposition anymore - so, how will the next universal basic battles-of-the-wills be fought? Is there a message in what is happening in the Middle East?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F_vfm3Eic3M
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hu8OyxuLCn4>

16 Years of Revisionist Work

David Brockschmidt Reflects



David Brockschmidt holding his father's Bundesverdienstkreuz with the *Schindler's List* film poster behind him, which became one of his first pioneering works for Adelaide Institute in 1994. He is still waiting for a response from Thomas Kenealey and Steven Spielberg to the question why his father's role in transporting the Schindler Jews from Poland to Czechoslovakia was not acknowledged by either of them.

Stop them from functioning

This was the battle cry of Australia's Zionist guardian angel Jeremiah Jones and his brothers-in-arms. They wanted to destroy the Adelaide Institute. Today, ten years later, the Zionists are nearly defunct themselves, not only in Australia but world-wide.

The Adelaide Institute and historical revisionism is stronger than ever.

One of the reasons was the incarceration the founder of Adelaide Institute, Dr Fredrick Töben, in Germany, Great Britain and the first prisoner of conscience in Australia.

Any critique against the Zionist Federation of Australia and their world-wide associates is answered by hysterical accusations of 'antisemitism', 'antijudaism', 'racism', 'nazism', 'fascism', 'self-hating Jew', against anybody who either criticises the policies of the State of Israel towards the Palestinians, who are the original owners of the whole of Palestine. The same goes for criticising Talmudic Judaism.

Jewish anti-Gentilic hate, as it is laid down in the infamous *Babylonian Talmud*, is the main driving

force here. Jeremiah Jones should have studied Greek philosophy instead of burying his head in Torah, the Babylonian Talmud, the Kabbalah, and other Jewish mysticism. The ancient Greeks knew what they were talking about. Jones should remember, if you attempt to cut off the head of the Hydra [Töben] ten new heads will grow.

Unfortunately, the Jewish people have to learn the hard way, so it seems, that Zionism is not their saviour but their grave-digger, and that Truth and justice will prevail for all humans because the days of religious and political Zionism are counted.

The Allies, the Axis and Anzac Day

Australia welcomes people of all races, cultures and nationalities who are willing to contribute to the countries' wellbeing and respect the rule of law. Unfortunately when it comes to history regarding World War One and World War Two, for example, Australia is still playing the old worn-out game: **The good, the bad and the ugly** - which means we, the Allies are the good because we won the wars and you, the Axis, are the bad and the ugly because you lost them. This confirms Winston Churchill's statement when he said: 'History will be kind to me because I intend to write it'.

The causes of wars, genocides and dictatorships are not addressed at all. The core question is: CUI BONO - in whose interest? The answer is straight forward: The international money cabal and the financial terrorists of Wall Street and the City of London and their world-wide misfit offsprings.

Wall Street was and still is the cancer of the international community. Wars cost a lot of money and Wall Street provides, as long as they can make a profit out of it. A human life means nothing for these speculators, usurers and financial criminals.

The Borsky's, Milken's, Katz's, Madoff's and many others in Gotham City New York have to go. Wall Street must die so humankind can live. Wars are a crime against humanity. They cost not only the lives of the best and strongest of a nation but also millions of civilians as collateral damage.

This brings us to the **Lest We Forget** slogan of the ANZACS. Our memory of honouring the dead of wars is rather selective. When I look at the memorial walls of migrant museums all over Australia I found that many victims of wars have been commemorated here – and that is good. These memorial plaques, for example, not only deal with the victims of war but also with the victims of Communism in various countries, the victims of Fascism and National Socialism.

But there is not a word of Allied war crimes committed against civilians in World War One and World War Two. Where are the memorials for the civilian victims of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, the fire bombings of Tokyo, Dresden, Hamburg, Berlin and Pforzheim, et al". Where is the memorial for the mass murder of Tibetans by the Chinese Communists? Where is the memorial for the

Palestinian Holocaust committed by the Zionists, both Christians and Jews.

And, of course, the biggest memorial should be reserved for the victims of Wall Street, the paymasters of all Holocaust wars and genocide.

The survivors of the Wall Street Holocaust now appeal to Barak Hussain Obama, President of the United States, to clean up Wall Street, once and for all, not bailing out the criminals – which means privatising their ill-gained profits and socialising their well-deserved losses, all paid for by the taxpayer.

Who bails out the victims of this predatory criminal and insane financial terrorism? Nobody!

There is only one cure – a new financial system, which means outlawing speculation, usury and other financial criminal activities. The war against terror starts at Wall Street, Mr President. Show us that you can.

The Honourable Louis Farrakhan on Banks

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OLxQ1qQnStA>

'Did you know that from 1900 to 1913 the Federal Government had a very manageable debt into the millions of dollars. But in 1913 something happened. Four things were set up in 1913. 1. The Federal Reserve Bank, 2. The I.R.S., 3. The F.B.I., 4. The Anti-defamation League of B'nai B'rith. All were set up in the same year. Is that a coincidence or is there a tie-in? ... Woodrow Wilson sold the American Presidency to the international bankers. He was elected in 1912 and in 1913 the Federal Reserve Act became law and the Central Bank of the United States was taken over by a group of private banking institutions. Then somebody else began printing the money. Like Rothschild said he don't care who rules as long as he controls the purse strings. In 1913 America was not yet at war.

In the last winter solstice of the six-thousand year rule of the enemy, America was betrayed by one of her presidents and one of her Senators and one of her members of the United States treasury.

Do you know why I'm teaching the subject of Jesus' sayings? 'Cause after I've said what I'm sayin' today, if I ain't got a Saviour, I'm sure gonna need one after I say what I say today. Let me just finish.

Don't be frightened for me, you'd better be frightened for yourself because some stuff is going down in America right now as we speak and all our lives are in danger right now and I got to sound the alarm, brothers and sisters –

– **'I don't care nothin' about my life - it's your lives that I want to save.'** –

– Listen, now that the bank that prints the money is now in private hands ...get America into a war...the war in Europe started in 1914 and by 1917 under a

lie about the Lusitania being sunk by German subs the American people were called into a war to end all wars.

This is heavy, man. I mean, you can't believe how wicked, how low down and rotten, wait a minute, wait a minute, you can't believe how wicked these people are, to play games with your lives and the lives of your babies, create a war just to get more money, to charge more interest and send your babies to die for bullshit! ...



– **'I don't care nothin' about my life - it's your lives that I want to save.'** –

Excuse me, but bullshit is the droppin' of a cow or bull. This B.S. is lies and deceit and the American people went to war in 1917 and Black people sued to become a part of the war because we didn't want to be left out of America, so America signed us up to fight the war **and Black men died but they don't know what the hell they were dyin' for and neither did the White ones know what they were dyin' for.**

But the man of sin gotta be revealed and I thank God that he put it in my heart. I don't have no fear of those bastards, I want to expose them all!

God is present God is in the world and I'm goin' to prove to you by my life that God has power to save.'