

# ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300  
Adelaide 5067  
Australia  
Email: [info@adelaideinstitute.org](mailto:info@adelaideinstitute.org)  
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online  
ISSN 1440-9828



September 2009 No 461

## The Advertiser

Make the most of every day

### Holocaust 'revisionist' Fredrick Toben silenced, sent to jail

An Adelaide man found to have vilified Jewish people on his website has been jailed after he failed to overturn a contempt of court finding.

Dr Fredrick Toben was originally sentenced to three months in jail for contempt, for repeated internet publication of material in breach of the Racial Discrimination Act.

Federal Court Justice Jeffrey Spender today said the case was not about the Holocaust, but whether Toben had complied with court orders.

All three judges dismissed an appeal against the contempt finding and upheld the three month sentence. Toben was immediately taken into custody by federal police.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Letter from a supporter:

**"I write to express my support to Dr Toben and to state unashamedly that FREE SPEECH IS DEAD IN AUSTRALIA. THE CRIMINALS HAVE BEEN VINDICATED BY OUR SHAM-LAWS. SHAMEFUL. SHAMEFUL. ONE DAY THESE PEOPLE WILL STAND BEFORE THE GREATEST JUDGE OF ALL. MAY GOD HELP THEM !!!!!!! Dr Toben is a hero and one of the greatest free and brave thinkers in Australia to date. The man is an intellectual giant and a lover of humanity, honesty, truth and all things decent.**

\*\*\*\*\*



### Holocaust denier Fredrick Toben to serve time in jail

By Tim Domin | August 13, 2009

**HOLOCAUST denier Fredrick Toben has been taken into custody to serve a three-month jail term over publishing offensive material on the internet.**

The 64-year-old was taken from the Federal Court in Adelaide by Australian Federal Police today after losing his appeal against his conviction for contempt of court. The Full Court of the Federal Court also ruled that his jail term, originally imposed in May this year, was in no way excessive.

"In our opinion, the sentence of three months cannot, on any stretch of the imagination, be considered excessive or unwarranted," the three-judge panel said.

The judges said Toben also had a disregard for the orders of the court and had acted to undermine the authority of the court.

Earlier this year, Toben was found guilty on 24 counts of contempt for ignoring a previous court order preventing him from publishing racist material on the Adelaide Institute website. When he later imposed a three-month sentence, Justice Bruce Lander said Toben had continued to breach those 2002 orders, which prevented him from publishing specific anti-Semitic material.

The 2002 orders stemmed from a racial discrimination case brought against him by Jeremy Jones, a former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry. In his final submissions today, counsel for Toben, David Perkins, suggested the material published on the Adelaide Institute website, which questioned whether the holocaust even occurred, was just a "drop in the bucket" compared to the amount of revisionist material available on the internet.

But in their verdict, the judges said the case before them was not about the holocaust, gas chambers or the execution of Jews during World War II. They said it was about whether or not Toben had complied with orders of the court.

"Obedience to the court is not optional," they said.

As the court rose, Toben asked if he could say something to the judges, only to be cut off by Justice Jeffrey Spender who simply said, "No".

\*\*\*\*\*



Two handsome gentlemen

**Dr Töben prior to his Gulag holiday, with Adelaide Institute Director Peter Hartung**

**"We refuse to believe FILTHY LIES"**

## Voice to be held in contempt

*Freedom of speech should not be freedom to vilify, argue Steven Lewis and Peter Wertheim | August 18, 2009*

**IN a legal first, Australia's most notorious Holocaust denier, Fredrik Toben, has been jailed for three months following the failure of his appeal this week for contempt of court arising from breaches of Australia's anti-vilification laws.**

The sentence follows seven years of Toben repeatedly ignoring court orders requiring him to remove racist material from his Adelaide Institute website.

His journey to prison began in 2002 when the Federal Court found Toben's website breached the racial-hatred provisions of the Racial Discrimination Act.

According to the court, material on the site suggested the Holocaust did not occur, that there were no gas chambers at Auschwitz, that Jewish people who believed in the Holocaust were of limited intelligence and that they have exaggerated the number of Jews killed during World War II to profit from what he described as "a Holocaust myth".

But it's not these claims, no matter how offensive they may be, that have landed Toben with a prison term.

There are no criminal sanctions under the act.

Toben is going to jail for contempt of court. He was ordered to remove the offending material and he didn't.

He promised to remove the material and then reneged. He apologised to the court but then recanted. True to form, he all but invited the court to lock him up.

Toben referred to judges as "the Jewdiciary" and, again true to form, accused them of bias without a shred of evidence. We all have to obey the law and court orders. There are no special rules and privileges for the Tobens of this world.

While the decision to jail Toben will be welcomed by most fair-minded people, questions will rightly be asked about free speech and turning Toben into a poster-boy for racist fringe groups.

The suggestion that Toben, and others like him, should be able to say whatever they like regardless of how hurtful, inaccurate and ugly it might be, goes to the heart of our dearly held belief in freedom of expression. But does this sort of commentary, publicly attacking people because of their race, ethnicity or religion, really constitute community debate? Is it an exercise of free speech, or an abuse of it? When Jews in Australia are targeted, these questions take on a very sharp edge. Australia has the world's second highest percentage of Holocaust survivors after Israel.

Like all freedoms, the proper limits of free speech are exceeded when it is about causing harm. The basic question is whether vilification is sufficiently harmful to justify an intrusion by the law into this fundamental personal freedom.

Whether it's Jews, Muslims, homosexuals or women, the public vilification of entire groups of people can only undermine, and ultimately destroy, their sense of security, the birthright of every Australian.

Being constantly vilified as a member of a group, instead of being judged on one's individual merits, compromises one's social relationships. One is put on the defensive with workmates, friends, neighbours and

anyone else with whom one interacts. Such is the power of modern communications. And vilification is the invariable precursor to violence against members of the targeted group.

The Racial Discrimination Act protects innocent people from this sort of harm.

But the harm has to be proved in court according to objective criteria. The act makes it clear that it is not unlawful to publish material in good faith as part of a genuine academic, artistic or scientific debate, whether anyone takes offence or not. What's clear in the Toben case, and what the court found, was that his material is not part of a genuine debate about history or politics, as he claimed. The real thrust of his material is to use the internet to stoke up hatred against Jews as a group.

Some argue that if Toben had been left alone to spruik from his Adelaide-based hate website he would have remained an obscure failed school teacher talking to like-minded nutters. Not so. Toben is a determined publicity hound. In 1999 he travelled to his native Germany and was convicted in Mannheim of incitement to racial hatred and Holocaust denial. In Germany, for obvious reasons, trying to whitewash the Nazis' crimes is a criminal offence. Toben spent seven months in jail. In 2006, Toben went to Tehran for an anti-Semitic hatefest, hobnobbing in the media limelight with a cavalcade of some of the world's most notorious racists including Iranian President Ahmadinejad and US Ku Klux Klansman David Duke.

The publicity around the legal proceedings against Toben in Australia has been a mere zephyr in his international media whirl.

For reasons that defy conventional analysis, Toben has spent most of his adult life vainly working to rehabilitate the universally disgraced reputation of Nazi Germany.

And for Toben, "the Jews" are the principal obstacle.

If Toben and his patsies confined their activities to ranting among themselves in private, few would care.

But using our cherished freedoms and easy access to the mass media as a way of striking at the security of an entire group of people on racial grounds tears at the fabric of our community and ultimately threatens those very freedoms.

History has vividly demonstrated that the relentless infusion of racism into public discourse is like drip-feeding poison into the democratic body politic. And in the words of American philosopher George Santayana: "Those who do not remember the past are condemned to repeat it."

*Steven Lewis and Peter Wertheim are lawyers with Slater and Gordon who ran the racial vilification and contempt cases against Fredrick Toben.*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Letter to the editor*

### **The Australian To the Editor:**

In the Australian, August 18, 2009, issue, Steven Lewis and Peter Wertheim wrote, "Freedom of Speech should not be freedom to vilify." It has its certain merits; however, when it comes to those who question or deny the Holocaust, the word is grossly overused in denying freedom to those who question. Instead of debating the issue, these people are vilified and character assassinated by instilling and reading into what they believe the questioners had written, as they have done

to the statements used by Dr. Toben, which, to the average reader do not sound offensive, racial hatred, racist or anti-Semitic but logical questions when one considers that a Holocaust did not occur as such, for killing was not limited only to Jews.

Pulling a "Holocaust" out of World War II, is, in itself, a racist act. Any government which requires persons within its jurisdiction to accept a belief, without allowing public questioning or debate as to its validity, is thereby establishing a government religion. Most government constitutions in the "free world" preclude the establishment of a government religion. Portraying Jews as the exclusive victims of the so-called Holocaust has the effect of deifying the Jewish people.

Like all freedoms, the proper limits of free speech are exceeded when it is about causing physical and mental harm....But harm has to be proved according to objective criteria. The questions raised by the historian/revisionists were never debated, or proved wrong or harmful .simply genuine questions of a academic group seeking clearer facts and scientific debate, which never occurred. The thrust of his material to use the internet to stoke up hatred against Jews as a group, is an unproven statement that has never been clarified or actually been part of an open debate about history, but only used to their means to distort the intent of the questioning the Holocaust did not occur, or that there were no gas chambers at Auschwitz, that Jewish people who believed in the Holocaust were of limited intelligence and that they have exaggerated the number of Jews killed during World War II from what he described as "a Holocaust myth," are reasonable. In a legal first in Australia , Fredrik Toben, has been jailed for three months following the failure of his appeal for contempt of court rising from repeatedly ignoring court orders to remove material the court found that breached the racial-hatred provisions of the Racial Discrimination Act .However, the claims as listed are no criminal sanctions under the [Racial Discrimination] act. Toben went to jail for contempt of court...

The Anti-Defamation League, the Jewish Congress, and many other Jewish organizations are "watchdog groups" around the world, seeking to condemn anyone for any statements that can be twisted into a "Hate Crime," turning people into "criminals" and having them imprisoned. History shows that ever since "Jews" were finally dispersed from the land of Milk and Honey, as promised by their God if they would obey his commandments, they have sought revenge in many countries to where they had migrated, and not assimilated.

No Christian, or other public affairs groups have ever made criminal charges against the public vilifying of Jesus Christ by Jews from the time they insisted that the Romans crucify him.. No non-Jewish group has ever taken Menachem Begin when he made a speech to the Knesset ( the Israeli Parliament) that was published in The New Statesman in June 25, 1982. when he said: "Our race is the master race. We are divine gods on this earth. We are different from the inferior races as they are from insects---other races compare to us as human excrement."

Neither did any group speak out against Golda Meir, who was Israel's Prime Minister in 1969 when she said: "There is no such thing as a Palestinian people. It is not as if we came and threw them out and took there country. They did not exist." Now, for more than 60

years they have been wiping out the Palestinians, whom she claimed did not exist. (Is not a denial of an ethnic group an act of racism and hate?) "It is the Jews who originated biblical exegesis (a critical analysis of the Bible), just as they were the first to criticize the forms and doctrines of Christianity...Truly has Darmesteter written: 'The Jew was the apostle of unbelief, and every revolt of mind originated with him.'" (Bernard Lazare, Antisemitism: It's History and Causes, London: Britons Publishing Co., 1967, pp. 149-151).

"Ever since the Jews invented the libel charge of 'anti-Semitism' in the 1880s (The word 'anti- Semitism' was first printed in 1880.' The Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. I (1901), p. 641), it has been built up with Jewish money, organizations, propaganda, and lies (such as the Holocaust-Holohoax), so that now the word is like snake venom which paralyzes one's nervous system. Even the mention of the word 'Jew' is shunned unless used in a most favorable and positive context." (Charles A. Weisman, Who is Esau-Edom?, p. 63).

There is no concerted effort by any group to fight free speech vilifying non-Jewish races or ethnic groups by their smears and gross insults. While there is still some freedom of speech, the world needs to counter the Jewish influence that is being inflicted through this "Hate Crime Law" being foisted upon the governments of still independent nations, and superceding the United Nations Resolutions.

Considering that one of the basic principles of the Charter of the United Nations is that of the dignity and equality inherent in all human beings, and that all Member States have pledged themselves to take joint and separate action in co-operation with the Organization to promote and encourage universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion, that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenants on Human Rights proclaim the principles of non-discrimination and equality before the law and the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion and belief.

Convinced that freedom of religion and belief should also contribute to the attainment of the goals of world peace, social justice and friendship among peoples and to the elimination of ideologies or practices of colonialism and racial discrimination as based on This Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief:

Article 1

1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right shall include freedom to have a religion or whatever belief of his choice, and freedom, either individually or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in worship, observance, practice and teaching.
2. No one shall be subject to coercion which would impair his freedom to have a religion or belief of his choice.

Article 3

Discrimination between human being on the grounds of religion or belief constitutes an affront to human dignity and a disavowal of the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, and shall be condemned as a violation of the human rights and fundamental freedoms proclaimed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and enunciated in detail in the International Covenants on Human Rights, and as an obstacle to friendly and

peaceful relations between nations. All this makes no need for the "Hate Crime Law" that has been instigated by the self-serving Jewish organizations, and being foisted on individual nations to supersede their own government laws and the United Nations where no serious action has been taken against their ethnic crimes. Words that are turned and twisted to meet such a law will, and can only encourage more hatred.

Amy Phillips Aremia  
Raleigh, NC 27613  
USA

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*



### Holocaust Denial in Australia

In Australia, there are no specific statutes prohibiting Holocaust denial. However, the Australian courts have made it clear that Holocaust denial is a form of antisemitism and vilification, which is prohibited by Federal anti-discrimination laws. In doing so, Australian law has developed genuine protection against Holocaust denial, and has demonstrated that Jews will have a means of redress.

The leading judgement is that of Jones v Toben [2003] FCA 137 decided by the Federal Court of Australia in 2003. The case concerned a website of an extreme right wing group, the Adelaide Institute, run by Fredrick Toben. The material published on the website denies the reality of the Holocaust and makes antisemitic statements. This material was held to be in breach of the Racial Discrimination Act 1975 (Cth), as it was reasonably likely to offend, insult, or humiliate and intimidate Jews in Australia because of their origins. The court ordered the respondent, Adelaide Institute director Fredrick Toben, to remove all offensive material from the site.

When an order of the Court is not complied with, it is possible to charge a perpetrator with contempt of court. In 2007 the ECAJ brought a successful action for contempt of court, which required Toben and the Adelaide Institute to comply with the 2002 order.

Telegraph.co.uk

### Adolf Hitler 'did shake hands with Jesse Owens'

A veteran German sports reporter has claimed that Adolf Hitler did in fact shake hands with black US athlete Jesse Owens at the 1936 Berlin Olympics. At the time, it was reported that Hitler had stormed out of the stadium furious that Owens, who had just run his way to the first of four gold medals in the 100 metres, had beaten his Aryan sportsmen. However, Siegfried Mischner, 83, said that Owens carried around a photograph in his wallet of Hitler shaking his hand before he left the stadium.



Jesse Owens: Owens felt the newspapers of the day reported 'unfairly' on Hitler's attitude towards him, Photo: AP

Owens, who felt the newspapers of the day reported "unfairly" on Hitler's attitude towards him, tried to get Mischner and his journalist colleagues to change the accepted version of history in the 1960s, the Daily Mail reports.

Mischner, who was a reporter at the time, claimed Owens showed him the photograph and told him: "That was one of my most beautiful moments." He said: "It was taken behind the honour stand and so not captured by the world's press. But I saw it, I saw him shaking Hitler's hand." The predominant opinion in post-war Germany was that Hitler had ignored Owens.

"We therefore decided not to report on the photo. The consensus was that Hitler had to continue to be painted in a bad light in relation to Owens."

Mischner's claims cannot be verified because all other witnesses, including Owens, are dead.

Owens, who died in 1980 aged 66, was the son of sharecroppers and won four track and field gold medals - the 100m, the long jump, the 200m and the relay race - at Berlin.

He insisted that he had not been snubbed by Hitler but made no reference to meeting him and shaking hands. "When I passed the Chancellor he arose, waved his hand at me, and I waved back at him. I think the writers showed bad taste in criticising the man of the hour in Germany," he said.

\*\*\*\*\*

## **Sport, Politics and History - Adolf Hitler, Jesse Owens and Franklin D Roosevelt**

*by David Brockschmidt*

Lies, especially about those in the media regarding History, are addictive. The rulers produce them and the masses love them. They are even willing to die for them in the National interest, of course.

Here is the conversation I had with a good Aussie sports-fan: He had the typical 'don't worry mate, she'll be right' attitude. "So you love sports, Mate" I said. "You bet", he replied. "Not only sports, but the History of sports". "Good on you Mate, I love the History of sports too, here we have something in common. So what do you think?" I asked him "of Jesse Owens, the black American runner who won four Gold medals for the USA in the 1936 Berlin Olympics?" "Yeah", he replied, "great bloke, fantastic runner, he showed 'Adolf and his Master Race, who is boss. Adolf stormed out of the stadium in Berlin because his Master Race was beaten by a Negro." "Was that so?" I asked him. "Yes mate, you better believe it, I read that in newspapers, in books, saw it on Telly, listened to it on radio, learned it at school and Uni. And, the Pollies and the men of cloth told me that too." I said "Sorry Mate, but I think that the bastards have lied to you, they haven't told you the whole story." I asked "Would you like to hear the true story of Jesse Owens and the Berlin Olympics, and Adolf Hitler's reaction to Jesse Owen's great victories?" He replied, "Yes mate, I am all eyes and ears, go for it!" "Jesse Owens was the 'Golden Boy' of the US Olympic team of 1936, which included 357 male and female athletes, competing in 18 sports disciplines. When Adolf Hitler was present in the Olympic Stadium, and Jesse Owens won his Gold medals, Hitler did not storm out of the Olympic stadium, as told by the lying western media today, but he waved and smiled at the US team, and later personally congratulated Jesse Owens on his victory, with a handshake. Jesse Owens carried the photograph of this historic handshake, for many years" "Jesse Owens was not only a personal friend of the German long-jump champion, and Silver medal winner - Luz Long, who later died as a soldier at the Eastern front in World war Two. Jesse Owens was also the star and hero of the Berliners, and the Germans as a whole, who celebrated him. The other sports hero of the 1936 Olympics, was the runner Nurmi, from Finland. Australia was represented by 33 athletes competing in 6 sports disciplines. The Aussies were praised by the German Olympic committee as "outstanding and excellent athletes" Jesse Owens name was immortalised on, the Olympic wall of Honour, at the Olympic stadium, four times in 1936. Even after World war two, a street next to the Olympic stadium was named after him.

"So here you go mate, the so-called Master-race in Germany, celebrates an Afro-American sports-hero, and a little Finnish runner, officially, and by the masses. So much for German racism."

"When the US Olympic team returned back home, one would have expected that Jesse Owens, the star of the

US team, who did America proud by winning four Gold medals, would have been invited to the Whitehouse by the US President Franklin D. Roosevelt, and congratulated by him. One would have thought that he would be celebrated by the American people, including their President, as an American hero. But nothing happened, Mate. To FDR, the old trickster, and war-monger, Jesse Owens was just a black boy- an 'Uncle Tom'. Good enough to bring the medals home, good enough to die in wars for the Anglo-American power elite, and the Wall street parasites. This is the mob which creates war, starvation and miseries for mankind, and dictatorships everywhere until today. No power and glory for the black-fellows in Roosevelt's America. Celebrated in Hitler's Germany but ignored, forgotten and lynched back home. So much for racism in America."

"Last, but not least, Mate, let me remind you that the so-called defeated 'Master race', the Olympic team of Germany, which had 426 athletes, competing in 19 sports disciplines in the Summer Olympics in Berlin, and the Winter Olympics in Garmisch-Parten-Kirchen in 1936, came first in front of all other nations. The German medal total was 95, 36 gold, 29 silver, 30 bronze. The USA medal total was 60, 25 gold, 20 silver, 15 bronze." He looked at me and said, "Bloody amazing mate, I didn't know that. Anyhow, lets watch the cricket now, lets hope we can beat the Bloody Poms, and bring the Ashes at least symbolically home" I finished our conversation, telling him "Never trust the media, the court historians, and the Pollies. Let's have another Stubby, Mate!"

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Press Release: Horst Mahler Drops Appeal**

*Saturday, August 22, 2009*

*From: "Völkische Reichsbewegung" <info-hm@voelkische-reichsbewegung.org>*

[Many supporters of the German struggle for human rights have difficulty understanding the arguments of political dissident Horst Mahler, who is deeply steeped in Hegelian philosophy. This abstruseness makes the severity of Mahler's sentence all the more striking. The present government, which its opponents say combines the most repressive aspects of the East and West German occupation regimes, apparently feels more threatened by Mahler than by other Revisionists!] *Translated by J M Damon, who asks that he be informed of any errors.*

*The original German follows the translation.*

### **Horst Mahler Withdraws Appeal of 12-year Sentence**

*Open Letter to the Sons of the Confederation B'nai B'rith*  
German Dissident Horst Mahler has withdrawn his appeals of the verdicts of Munich District Court II (Six years incarceration), Potsdam District Court (5 years and 2 months),



and Landshut District Court (10 months..)  
 With Mahler's retractions, these verdicts take full legal force. His retraction of the Munich appeal coincides with the decision of the Federal High Court to reject his appeal. His sentences resulted from numerous instances of alleged "Denial of 'Holocaust,'" "Incitement of the Masses to the Detriment of the Jews" and "Disparagement of the Federal Republic of Germany."  
 In the opinion of the Defense these verdicts would be overturned if German courts followed minimal constitutional standards of a state of laws, because of numerous blatant legal errors.  
 Mahler has withdrawn his appeals because he is convinced, on the basis of his personal experience, that fair and unbiased rulings on the facts of the case cannot be expected, either from the Revisionsgerichten (appeal courts) or the Bundesverfassungsgericht (Federal High Court.)

In a comprehensive open letter to the "Sons of the Confederation" (B'nai B'rith), the unofficial opinion-forming organ of organized World Jewry, he explains his decision in detail.



He begins with the observation that World Jewry, in violation of international law, declared a holy war against the German Reich on 24 March 1933, seven weeks after the National Socialists came to power.

They have continued to violate international law and to wage war against the Reich ever since.

Since the military defeat of the Reich

they have waged psychological warfare in order to obliterate the German Volksgeist (national character.)

Mahler presents his concept of the nature of Judaism, a belligerent and warlike power, in 33 theses.

He concludes that the present German regime's persecution of Reich citizens for their acts of self-defense against the looming annihilation of their Volk results from Judaism's compulsion to dominate the world.

In accordance with this view, he declares himself and other incarcerated practitioners of self-defense to be prisoners of war of the Sons of the Jewish Confederation: Ernst Zündel (7 years incarceration, including incarceration in Canada while awaiting extradition, which was not reckoned in his German prison sentence);

Wolfgang Fröhlich (6 years incarceration); Germar Rudolf (2 1/2 years incarceration); Sylvia Stolz (3 1/4 years incarceration); and Kevin Käther (8 months incarceration.)

Mahler bases his conviction on the founding myth that Jaweh, the god of the Jews, fulfilled his oft-repeated promise to grant his "favorite people" dominion over all the nations.

In the story of Esau's blessing (Gen. 27, 40), however, he sees (perceives) the end of Jacob's (Israel's) dominion in the event that Esau (the Nations of the Earth) should rise up against his brother Jacob (Israel).

Mahler presents his views against the background of Hegelian philosophy with a novel interpretation of the relationship of the twin brothers Jacob and Esau.

He shows that Jacob, the younger brother, overthrew the world, making it godless for Jewish Verstandnisdanken since the Enlightenment.

The world of Verstandnisdanken or spatial dimensions is opposed to the German world of Vernunftdenken or spiritual dimensions.

Jacob did this by diverting the paternal blessing of world

dominion from his brother to himself after deceiving the blind tribal father, Isaak.

God does not acknowledge Verstandesdenken, however.

In the present financial crisis the godless world of Verstandnisdanken has brought about the collapse of the global finance system, which is dominated by Jewish plutocrats.

Consequently World Jewry is compelled to make the transition from Verstandnisdanken to Vernunftdenken.

The spiritual dimension must take precedence over the spatial dimension.

Reason must take precedence over sensation.

Only when Verstand (mere comprehension of what is tangible) gives way to Vernunft or enlightened reason (the sense in which Kant and Hegel used the term) will mankind be able to comprehend God and protect the world from falling into chaos. Enlightened reason is incorporated in the German Volksgeist or national character, which produced German idealistic philosophy in such luminaries as Kant, Fichte, Schelling and Hegel.

From the beginning, the philosophers of German Idealism opposed the Judeo-French Enlightenment and the Masonic counter-church that it created.

The present global crisis has revealed that deception is inherent within the "bankocracy," as Karl Marx called the lords of private finance in his analysis of "fictional capital."

These "banksters" as we call them today are driven by the compulsion to constantly increase their share of the world's wealth.

Through the mechanism of compound interest, the bankocracy cum plutocracy has now mutated into an open "kleptocracy" or Rule of Thieves.

The exposure of this systemic relationship has made normal functioning of money and credit within the private banking system impossible, since money is ultimately a relationship of trust.

Private banks have irretrievably lost this trust, since no one can trust an exposed fraudster.

Only a state that has been liberated from the interest slavery of private bank capital can regain the trust that is indispensable for a healthy monetary system.

For this reason it is necessary to place all financial affairs in the hands of the Volksgemeinschaft (commonwealth), which will be compelled to free itself of debt by revealing the fraudulent nature of the pretended wealth inherent in financial paper and abolishing its legal status.

Since the real power of World Jewry resides in financial paper and control of the media through money, Mahler sees in the present crisis an act of liberation similar to that depicted in the Esau Story as "throwing off the Yoke of Jacob."

In view of the Esau blessing, Mahler calls on the Sons of the Confederation to clear the way for Vernunftdenken or rational enlightened thought, which he identifies as "neo National Socialism without persecution of the Jews and without concentration camps for political dissidents."

He explains the concrete steps necessary for a peaceful systemic change and makes the following suggestions for a "Staff for the Formation and Promotion of a Reich Chancellery:"

- Former Chancellor Gerhard Schröder for Foreign Policy;
- Industrialist Ferdinand Piech for Economic Policy;
- Prof. Ernst-Wolfgang Böckenförde (Federal Constitutional Judge) for Judicial Policy;
- Gen. Rainer Güntzel for Defense;
- Wolfgang Schaubenstein (Head Prosecutor) for combatting piracy and gang violence;
- Sylvia Stolz (Attorney and Self-Defense Champion for the German Nation) for Public Health and Protection of

Environment;

- Michael Birthelm (Author of the handbook for liberation "Komm ' heim ins Reich!" ["Come Home to the Reich!"] in the area of "Cultivation of Intellectual Resistance in the German Reich";
- Bernd Striegel (Author of the book "Über das Geld – Geschichte und Zukunft des Wirtschaftens" [Concerning Money: the History and Future of Economic Endeavor] in the area of currency and fiscal policy;
- Eva Herman (author) in the area of family policy;
- Ursula Haverbeck (journalist) in the area of policy for the elderly;
- Dr. Rolf Kosiek (physicist and journalist) in the area of scientific policy;
- Romuald Schaber (leader of agricultural association) in the area of agricultural policy;
- Dirk Zimmermann (builder) in the area of protection of youth and vital skills;
- Prof. Sigurd Schulien (physicist and journalist) in the area of energy policy;
- Hans Michael Fiedler (poet) in the area of protecting and cultivating the German language;
- Manfred Lorenz (biologist and author) in the area of policy for genetic technology; and others.

Mahler suggests that an international truth commission be called under the umbrella of Pope Benedict XVI to accurately and reliably examine the history of Europe and the Jewish People, especially since 1492, the year the Jews were driven from Spain and the conquest of America began.

This commission would have access to the vast store of information and documents accumulated in the Vatican.

It would be oriented to the realization that world history is "the progression of God through the world to Himself" (Hegel); that without exception the nations are "Divine Concepts" (Herder); and that Man is unable to evaluate God.

This latter concept would bring about the end of relativistic conceptualizing of God, which blasphemes God and pits the nations against each other."

Mahler closes his letter as follows:

"Heinrich von Treitschke and Kaiser Wilhelm II popularized the sentiment that "The Jews are our misfortune."

I however would say: "Those who hate the Jews are our misfortune.

Our victory will be measured by the admiration we show to our enemy Judea.

This is true Love of Enemy as explained in Matthew 4:44."

And I would also quote Hellmut Diwald to you Sons of the Alliance:

"Do not consider Germany dead until you see its corpse.

And you could be mistaken even then!

Long live the Holy German Empire!"

\*\*\*\*\*



## Antisemitic Organisations in Australia

While Australia is a country which condemns all manifestations of antisemitism, the nation is nonetheless host to a number of individuals/organisations notorious for endorsing and fomenting the world's oldest hatred. Some of the more well-known Australian culprits include:

### The Australian League of Rights (ALR)

Founded in 1946 by the late Eric Butler, this antisemitic organisation promotes Holocaust denial and publishes weekly newsletters, monthly magazines and a quarterly magazine. It conducts regular meetings, implements 'action' campaigns and seeks publicity for its antisemitic analysis of domestic and international affairs. Through its website, the ALR continues to promote and foment antisemitism and racism in Australia. Other main activities include the distribution of books, videos, cassette tapes and antisemitic publications such as *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, which promote the League's conspiracy-driven agenda.

### Adelaide Institute (AI)

The Holocaust-denying Adelaide Institute (AI), features venomous and hateful material on its website. The organisation was established in 1994, by Fredrick Toben, who has travelled frequently to address far-right gatherings both in Australia and abroad. Toben is to appear before the Federal Court of Australia in May 2007, charged with failing to obey a 2002 court order to remove antisemitic material from his website. In 1999, he served seven months in a German prison for inciting racial hatred and defaming the memory of people murdered in Nazi death camps. In December 2006, Toben travelled to Iran for a state-sponsored conference on Holocaust denial.

### Citizens Electoral Council of Australia (CEC)

The Citizens Electoral Council of Australia (CEC), is the Australian arm of the U.S. based Lyndon LaRouche extremist political cult. The Melbourne based group has targeted Jewish organisations and individuals, promotes the view that the world is headed for financial disaster, and has raised millions of dollars in Australia by preying on elderly and disempowered Australians. The CEC distributes conspiracy theory propaganda, and participates in the mass mailings of literature reflecting the beliefs and philosophy of LaRouche, an antisemite and convicted felon. The CEC promotes the view that world finances have been usurped by a cabal of Jewish bankers called the Oligarchy, and that the "terrorism" which commenced with 9/11, is being implemented by powerful international financiers whose objective is to globally unify police states under the false cloak of a "war against terrorism."

### Australian Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

The Australian Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), was founded by lawyer John Bennett, following his expulsion from the Victorian Council for Civil Liberties (now Liberty Victoria) in 1980, after linking his Holocaust-denial views with the council, thereby making his position untenable. The ACLU should not be confused with the bona fide Australian Council for Civil Liberties. The ACLU is in fact one of our nation's most antisemitic Holocaust-denying organisations, with a long history of espousing xenophobic views, and with ties to notorious racist organisations, both locally and abroad. Purporting to be an annual legal guide for the layperson, Bennett also promotes his own agenda through "Your Rights" a publication available at newsagents and other venues. It is of concern that this booklet, and use of the name Australian Civil Liberties Union, may give Bennett undeserved legitimacy and credibility in the community.

### Australia First Party (AFP)

Founded in 1996 by Graeme Campbell, the Australia First Party (AFP) is a right-wing nationalist movement concerned about issues of immigration, multiculturalism and Asianisation. Sydney branch president *Jim Saleam* has stated that "the war on Zionism has just begun" and that peace can only be achieved by dismantling the Zionist monstrosity. He has accused Australian Zionists of hating "with all the venom of their Nazi-like souls", and labelled them as "essential agents of a foreign state" and "enemies of the idea of Australian independence." A popular speaker in far-right circles, Saleam described the Australian Jewish News as dripping anti-Arab and anti-Palestinian hate,

and also accused the Jews of Israel of not being related to the Hebrews of the Bible, instead falsely describing them as descendants of the Russian Khazars. In 2004, Saleam's AFP Sydney branch letterboxed material which requested "a Commission of Inquiry into the Zionists" and which also promoted "Neturei Karta" for its "rational, balanced, Jewish view." Saleam recently described this tiny group on the farthest fringes of Judaism, as representing "the only possible Judaism." In reality, Neturei Karta advocates the dismantling of the State of Israel until the arrival of the Messiah, and has a long history of making extremist statements and supporting antisemites and Islamic radicals.

Another AFP Sydney political activist is German-born *Welf Herfurth*, who has an impressive history of involvement with extremist organisations and forums both here and overseas. The former One Nation member has labelled Zionism as a myth, and denied the Holocaust, which he described as highly lucrative for the Jews, and having brought post-war Germany to its knees. Herfurth asks how Australian nationalists could imitate the German ultra-right National Democratic Party of Germany (NPD) example, by breaking down nationalist stereotypes promoted by the Jewish owned media, and he dreams of "German liberation from bondage to NATO and Israel."

### **Sheik Al- Hilali**

Known as Australia's most senior Muslim cleric, the Egyptian born sheik in 1988, gave an antisemitic lecture to a group of Muslim students at the University of Sydney, where he was quoted as saying "Judaism controls the world by secret movements (and) destructive doctrines and groups such as communism, libertinism, Freemasonry, Baha'ism, the Rotary clubs, the nationalistic and racist doctrines. The Jews try to control the world through sex, then sexual perversion, then the promotion of espionage, treason and economic hoarding." Hilali also endorsed the infamous blood libel Russian forgery *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, which claimed that Jews controlled the media and were the underlying cause of all wars threatening the peace and security of the planet. In 2004, the controversial mufti gave a sermon in a Lebanese mosque, where he reportedly praised the September 11 attacks on the U.S. as "God's work against the oppressors" and endorsed Arab martyrs and a holy war against Israel. In 2006, Hilali was dumped from John Howard's Muslim Community Reference Group after denying the Holocaust and labelling it a "Zionist lie." He also described Israel as a "cancer." Uncertainty continues over his future as the nation's spiritual leader over recent statements regarding women, and his mockery of Australia made on Egyptian television.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **The Toben case – Welcome to Talmudistan**

*by Mohammed A. Hegazi*

I went to Adelaide in order to attend the final kangaroo court hearing that dispatched Dr Fredrick toben to prison. Toben was appealing against the decision by a lower court judge to impose on him a three-month jail term for an alleged "contempt of court" offence. The reason given for the allegation was that on numerous occasions Toben did not believe the allegation that Germans gassed jews in the fourties of the twentieth century. The said judge ordered Toben not to insult jews who believed in the Holocaust myth, and to stop "vilifying" them by believing and asserting that those deluded individuals were "of limited intelligence", an assertion with which many, including my humble self, would totally agree. People do not need to be of super intelligence in order to be able to see that the so called

"Jewish Holocaust" was a total fabrication.

Jews in Germany were among many other groups accused of being "enemies of the state". They were arrested and sent to concentration camps to be used as forced labour for the German war effort during WW2. There is not a shred of real or logical evidence that any Jew had been deliberately killed. The conditions in the camps might have been bad, the food rations might have been inadequate, but the allegation that Jews were mass-killed is totally absurd. Some workers died because of infectious typhus, a disease transmitted by body lice. The corpses of the dead had to be burnt in order to stop the spread of the epidemic. The claim that six million Jews were executed is a blatant lie propagated by demented individuals who survived the war.

Anyway, I delved into the "Adelaide High Court" where a "full court" of three judges sat on the bench. As the hearing progressed, I could clearly see that the judges had made up their minds before walking into the room. As the formalities continued, David Perkins, the defence counsel, opened for the three judges every possible avenue that would have enabled them to reach a sensible decision. He tried to make them understand that the "Anti Discrimination Act" should not override the constitutional right to free speech, but they shunned him and insisted that the whole matter was an ordinary run-of-the-mill simple case of contempt of court that had nothing to do with the Holocaust.

As the legal theatrics progressed, the outcome became apparent to me. Hiding behind this flimsy façade of "contempt of court", the presiding judge began to read the justification for the forthcoming sentence. My stomach could not take such nonsense. I stood up in protest and walked out of the courtroom before the sentence was announced. At the door of the courtroom, I turned around to face the judges and gave a respectful bow, which is a custom in court procedures. It shows respect for the law, but not necessarily for the judges who failed it.

As I waited outside the door of the courtroom, I reflected sadly on my last forty years as an Australian citizen. Is this really the free country I loved so dearly? Will it continue to be my refuge from the ugly totalitarian system I left back at my birthplace Egypt?

My colleague, the brave fearless Fredrick Toben, walked out a few minutes after. We exchanged a reassuring look and, together with Peter Hartung and several other supporters, walked for a few metres in the direction of a number of Federal Police agents, who were shyly ready to carry out the instructions they previously received. Fredrick bid us goodbye and walked to them with a friendly smile saying, "Here I am, I am ready and I have nothing to carry with me." Minutes later, I met David Perkins who, almost talking to himself, remarked, "They gave us no chance!" Unlike the programmed robots I have seen on the day, David struck me as a very decent strong believer in and advocate of free speech. He probably believed that the law of the land and its custodians would never transform Australia into a member of the Talmudic group of nations. I believe that, on 13 August 2009, he faced his day of reckoning.