

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300

Adelaide 5067

Australia

Tel: 61+88331 0808

Mob: 61+4170 88217

Email: toben@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online

ISSN 1440-9828

June 2009 No 446

MEDIA RELEASE 13 May 2009 Dr Fredrick Töben's Prison Sentence

Today's prison sentence is a great victory for the truth. It shows that Dr Töben's research concerning the alleged gas chambers of Auschwitz cannot be challenged in the normal academic manner of debate.

Mr Jeremy Jones, the self appointed representative of Australia's Jewish community has spent over \$220,000 in this grossly improper use of the court system to try to silence him.

Dr Töben has shown himself to be a man of great integrity who will not bend - even prison will not make him recant his views.

The Adelaide Institute website will continue to operate as normal during Dr Töben's absence.

Acting Director
Adelaide Institute

From: Adelaide Institute
Sent: Friday, 15 May 2009 5:49 PM
Subject: New administration at Adelaide Institute

Please be advised that effective as at 13th May 2009, I, Peter Hartung wish to advise you that I am now the Director of Adelaide Institute and its website. Dr Töben is preparing for his impending imprisonment of three months. Any personal emails will be of course forwarded to him.

Peter Hartung
Director
Adelaide Institute

From: Adelaide Institute
Sent: Friday, 15 May 2009 8:42 PM
Subject: Please support Dr Töben at his court appearance - prison sentence expected to be carried out

Please note that Dr Töben's next court appearance is 10.00am, Wednesday 27th May 2009, at the Federal Court of Australia, Angas Street, Adelaide. It is expected that he will be imprisoned at this time. Anyone who is able to support Dr Töben and attend this hearing, please make a special effort.

Please advise your intended presence to Peter Hartung admin@australiafreepress.org or info@adelaideinstitute.org

Best regards,
Peter Hartung
Director
Adelaide Institute

**"To sin by silence when they should protest makes cowards of men."
Abraham Lincoln**

**Amy Aremia: Not much time
24 May 2009 AAREMIA@nc.rr.com**

There is not much time to answer before Dr. Töben's final hearing on May 27th. Although there seems virtually no hope for his freedom, I believe that every Australian, as well as every American and other free nations, should protest these attacks on freedom of speech and thought, the root problem on which these cases are about. The power to act now is in your court to defend the freedoms Australia still enjoys, and to keep Australia from a tyrannical world court usurping national laws.

Dr. Töben's case is another terrifying precedent; he also faces a warrant and deportation to Germany for his questioning the Holocaust that are turned into "crimes of anti-Semitism," a term invented by Jewish organizations to falsely arrest any one for criticism of what they consider to be against Jews, and who want governments of all free nations to recognize their "Hate Crime Law," in order to criminalize and silence any opposition to their own twisted claims and facts. Yet they are free to commit the same acts as is evident in what they are doing to the Palestinians, among other things.

Unless nations protest against this law, which, in itself promotes hate, and defend their country's freedoms, this International Hate Crime enforcement will take over all free nations who will no longer be able to have their own jurisdiction, but be ruled by the United Nations International Criminal Courts which will become the fearful masters of the world. Any alert person can see it happening... . We are fast losing the moral standards and religious beliefs that inspired freedom and liberty through misinformation and false propaganda.

**Remember Rev. I.B. Pranaitis' book:
The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians, by**

At the beginning of the 16th century the Jews began to distribute the Talmud openly, aided by the printing press, then recently invented. The first printed edition of the whole Talmud containing all its blasphemies against the Christian religion was published in Venice in 1520. By the end of the 16th century and at the beginning of the 17th, many famous men diligently studied the Talmud. The Jews, fearing for themselves, began to expunge parts of the Talmud, which were openly critical of Christians. Thus the Talmud that was published in Basle in 1587 had been mutilated in many places.

The Rabbis of Germany and other countries, during the Synod in Poland in 1631, declared that nothing, which would annoy Christians and cause persecution of Israel, should be printed. Only the Rabbis and the Jews could now possess the genuine books, which Christians rarely see? Later, in Holland, where the Jews who were expelled from Spain, were kindly received, the Talmud, very similar to the Venetian edition, was published there in 1644-1648.

The, next, latest device invented to deceive censors was to insert the word *haiah* (was) with the genuine text, to indicate that the matter in question once had its place there; by doing so they only cleanse the outside appearance. For in many places they do show their secrecy, ex-gr, by words *gam attah*, meaning "even now". viz: "this law obliges"; and *aphilu bazzeman hazzeh*, "even to this day" viz. "this law holds." There is another very well known book of the Jews called the Zohar.

There is no other way to express the intent of the Hate Crime Law, except that it will grant to Israel and the Zionists the power to rule a global world through the teachings in their Talmud.

ONE OBJECT OF ALL THE ACTIONS AND PRAYERS OF THE JEWS SHOULD BE TO DESTROY THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION – *The Talmud*: Schabbath-118a.



You've heard of Fredrick Töben?

... the Adelaide man who on 27 May 2009 is going to be gaoled for three months in South Australia because his research over the past dozen years has revealed that the alleged killing by the Germans of 6 million Jews in the concentration camps of Germany and Poland during World War Two is certainly not true.

Since 1996 Dr Töben has been persecuted through both the Human Rights & Equal Opportunity Commission and in the Federal Court of Australia by Mr. Jeremy Jones, plaintiff, former Executive vice-president and president, Executive Council of Australian Jewry on the grounds of 'hurt feelings'.

This is Töben's real crime, accentuated by using the world-wide-web – The Internet – as his means of freedom of expression in Australia as we like to know it.

The Hon Catherine Branson, BA, Llb, QC, now president of the Human Rights Commission, made no proper provision for Dr Töben – as the defendant – to secure adequate legal advice to present his arguments as she sat in judgment in the matter during Töben's 2001-2002 trial in the Federal Court of Australia.

Contact for further information:

Peter Hartung – info@adelaideinstitute.org

Remember this one side-ness in the coming months.



VIAM MONSTRARE

FROM THE ARCHIVES

On freedom of speech and Gerald Fredrick Töben

Canberra correspondent Bernard Keane, Wednesday, 15 October 2008

Holocaust denier Gerald Fredrick Töben remains in prison in the UK and will return to court this Friday. He will not be tried before 2009 at the earliest and, given the apparently fanatical zeal of German prosecutors, is likely to [remain in prison until then](#).

Media coverage of his case remains virtually zero. This partly reflects the fact that the natural constituency to speak up on his behalf, the Left and human rights advocates, are the ones most likely to find his views especially repugnant. Julian Burnside called last week for the Government to give him all assistance to which he was entitled as an Australian citizen. Otherwise, there's been near-total silence. Right-wing commentators, who are normally happy to defend free speech when it's being used against the Left and minorities, have also been peculiarly silent. According to DFAT, Australian consular staff visited Toben last week and he will continue to be provided with consular assistance. The Government, however, has said nothing about him or on his behalf.

One of the worst aspects of this, as [a UK commentator noted](#) last week, is that this risks making Töben a martyr and giving him credibility. The man is a fool, at best, and holds disgusting views that even David Irving has expressed reservations about. That puts him way beyond any civilized discourse. It does not, however, put him beyond the fundamental protection that should be afforded free speech that does not amount to vilification or incitement to violence.

But if we disentangle the details of what has happened to Töben, maybe a few more people might begin to question what has happened. Töben was *en route* from the US to Dubai. His plane had a scheduled stopover in London, and police boarded the plane and arrested him on a European Arrest Warrant from the German Government for publishing "anti-Semitic and/or revisionist" material prior to 2004. This is not an offence in the United Kingdom (or here). As [another UK commentator](#) pointed out, Töben's arrest is therefore contrary to UK Government promises that people would never be extradited under the European Arrest Warrant — introduced to expedite counter-terrorism activities — for activities that were not crimes in the UK.

There is also a [separate legal issue](#) about whether Töben's activities fall within the "European framework list" of offences that permit extradition and if so, whether it occurred in the UK as well as Germany and therefore Toben should be tried there rather than in Germany. That's because Töben didn't commit his breaches of German law in Germany. He committed them in Australia, when he uploaded material onto his website. Anyone who downloaded them in Germany might have been breaching German law, but Töben didn't, because he wasn't there.

Of course, the Germans' argument will be that in publishing his material on the internet that means he was publishing in Germany, along with everywhere else. This isn't a view confined to Germans trying to make up for their country's Nazi past. Joe Gutnick inflicted significant damage on free speech in Australia in 2002 when he convinced the High Court that a comment in a Dow Jones online publication published in the US could be the subject of libel proceedings under Australia's absurdly restrictive defamation laws, rather than in the US.

If we accept this approach, then, depending on extradition treaties, bloggers and online publishers could find themselves suffering the same fate as Töben — hauled off to a country where expressing a particular opinion constitutes an offence, regardless of whether it is an offence in their own country — or one they happen to be in at the time. Sounds melodramatic, right?

Andrew Sinclair of the Queensland Council for Civil Liberties, while not commenting specifically on the Töben case, raised a scenario. What happens to an internet activist if a plane is forced to land, like the Qantas flight last week, due to a mechanical emergency, and touches down in a country with an extradition treaty with a jurisdiction with harsh restrictions on free speech? Töben's plane was on a scheduled stopover at Heathrow when he was seized and taken from it. To this extent, he is arguably responsible for his current predicament, and should have avoided any EU country. But what if he had been on a plane that was forced to land in an EU country due to mechanical fault?

The combination of the UK's willingness to extradite people when they have committed no offence under UK law, and governments' willingness to claim jurisdiction over the internet, has trapped Fredrick Töben. We should be

speaking up for him now, rather than waiting for a more appealing victim of this attack on free speech. And so should our Government. <http://www.crikey.com.au/2008/10/15/on-freedom-of-speech-and-gerald-fredrick-toben/>