

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia

Tel: 61+88331 0808
Mob: 61+4170 88217

Email: toben@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828

May 2009 No 445



..stressed out on day, 28 April 2009

Dear Supporters

Today, 30 April, is the final day of an eventful month during which two court appearances clarified certain matters. On 16 April I was found guilty of contempt charges and a couple of days ago submissions were made in respect of penalty. Also, a submission of costs has been made to court and Mr Jones requests I pay his costs amounting to \$228,852.20. Judge Lander knows I am financially embarrassed and so what? The judge's problem – what penalty should he impose. Mr Margo, for Jones, wants me to pay a fine and or prison. I don't know why the judge stated that a community order for me was unrealistic.

Last week I spent in Melbourne with my legal counsel, Mr David Perkins, who once again through his work confirmed what I have been telling the court for over a decade, that it is a hopeless enterprise for individuals, not versed in law, to defend themselves.

The current situation is the following: the Adelaide Institute website material has been removed and I have unconditionally apologized to the Federal Court for being in breach of the court orders, this being Judge Bruce Lander's findings.

Mr Margo, legal counsel for Jeremy Jones, was not satisfied with my apology because it did not go towards my Holocaust belief, i.e. I refuse to believe in it. In effect I apologized for upsetting the court because I had great difficulties following the orders I consider to be absurd. I liken my apology to that of Bishop Williams who apologized to the Pope for causing him some grief by generating a public controversy.

I would also like to thank all of you for making it easier for me to continue this battle, and especially when I'm in Melbourne I thank: HM, SW, MM for hosting me and Ken F, John B, Helga, Ida and L, for that continued financial support. Now I am again off to Melbourne, there to spend time with Mr Perkins and prepare further legal actions.

Here now are some newspaper articles that in part give a limited view of what has been happening in court, and in this sense, thank you for everything – Fredrick Töben.

Holocaust denier Fredrick Toben vows to defy judge

Pia Akerman | April 14, 2009, [The Australian](#)

REVISIONIST historian Fredrick Toben has declared he will go to jail rather than pay a fine if the Federal Court this week finds him guilty of publishing material denying the Holocaust occurred.

Judge Bruce Lander is expected to rule on Thursday whether Dr Toben breached previous court orders not to publish offensive material about Jews and the Holocaust on his Adelaide Institute website.

But Dr Toben, 64, said yesterday he would refuse to pay any fine if Justice Lander found in favour of plaintiff Jeremy Jones, former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, who brought the civil case against Dr Toben. "If I am found guilty and a fine is handed down, I will on principle refuse to pay the fine, so that means I will have to go in (to jail)," he said in Melbourne, before a meeting with his lawyer.

Dr Toben, a former teacher, was held in Britain for nearly two months last year while German prosecutors tried unsuccessfully to extradite him on an EU warrant. In January, he announced plans to go to Germany to fight charges of publishing "anti-Semitic and/or revisionist" material, but has now told his supporters his challenge is "on hold" because of the pending

Federal Court decision. Dr Toben also spent seven months in Mannheim prison in Germany, in 1999, for inciting racism.

He has pleaded not guilty to 28 charges alleging he breached orders by the Federal Court in 2002 not to publish offensive material on his website.

The original material breached the Racial Discrimination Act, implying that the Holocaust did not happen and doubting the existence of gas chambers at the Auschwitz concentration camp.

Dr Toben was also banned from publishing material implying Jews who were offended or challenged by Holocaust denial were of limited intelligence, and that some Jewish people had exaggerated the number of Jews killed in World War II and the circumstances for financial gain. He faces a possible finding of criminal contempt if found guilty.

Justice Lander has heard that Dr Toben publicly defied the court's authority by casting aspersions on judicial officers and the legal process, promoting a view that the court was "merely a proxy" for Jews. <http://www.theaustralian.news.com.au/business/story/0,,25330953-17044,00html>

Töben guilty but no regrets for defying order on Holocaust claims

SEAN FEWSTER, COURT REPORTER, April 16, 2009 09:45pm

REVISIONIST historian Fredrick Toben does not regret defying a court order to stop insulting Jewish people, saying "freedom of speech demands sacrifice".

The Federal Court, sitting in Adelaide, today found Toben guilty of 24 counts of contempt of court. Justice Bruce Lander ruled Toben acted "wilfully and contumaciously" by uploading, to his website, articles implying Jews offended by Holocaust denial were of "limited intelligence".

Other articles claimed the Auschwitz concentration camp had no gas chambers, and that some Jewish people "exaggerated" the Holocaust "for improper motives".

Toben now faces a fine, jail time or both – under Australian law, the severity of those penalties are at the court's discretion. Asked, outside court, if he felt he had done anything wrong, Toben replied: "according to the judgment, I have". "Why should I regret anything?" he said. "If you believe in something and you want to have the freedom to express your opinion, then you should be prepared to make sacrifices."

"I don't know if I'm allowed to believe anything anymore... if you take away my freedom of expression, you take away my humanity."

The former teacher has long been a controversial figure. In 2008, he spent time in British custody while German prosecutors sought to have him extradited to face charges. In 2006, he was a speaker at an internationally-condemned revisionist history conference organised by Iranian president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad.

Last year, Toben pleaded not guilty to 28 charges of contempt of court.

It was alleged he breached an undertaking he gave to the court, in September 2002, that he would not publish material that "offended, insulted or humiliated Jewish people" on his website.

Today, Justice Lander found 24 of those charges proved beyond reasonable doubt. "Dr Toben's behaviour... is further evidence of his wilful and contumacious disobedience (of the court)," he said. The court will hear submissions on penalty in two weeks.

Holocaust denier found guilty of contempt

Harriet Alexander, *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 17 April 2009

A HOLOCAUST revisionist has been found guilty of contempt and faces a possible jail term after he deliberately flouted court orders not to publish information which vilified the Jewish race on his website. It is the first time an Australian court has found somebody guilty of contempt for breaching the Racial Discrimination Act in relation to Holocaust denial. Fredrick Toben, the director of the Adelaide Institute, published material on his website referring to Anne Frank as one of "those self-serving Holocaust frauds", described the Holocaust as the "Holofoax" and said Holocaust museums were "just a gimmick to collect money".

He had been ordered in 2002 to delete material from the website which offended, insulted or humiliated Jewish people on the basis of their race and not to publish any further material which questioned the

Holocaust or the existence of gas chambers at Auschwitz.

Jeremy Jones, who was the president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, started contempt of court proceedings against Toben in 2006 for publishing material that failed to comply with the court orders, and the academic originally apologised to the court and promised to take the material down. But shortly after that hearing, Toben advised Mr Jones and the court that he had changed his mind and would not remove the offending material from his website.

In the Federal Court yesterday Justice Bruce Lander found Toben guilty of 24 counts of contempt. "His conduct at that time is that of publicly expressed, deliberate and calculated disobedience to orders made by this court and undertakings given to this court," the judge said. He will be sentenced later.

Holocaust denier in contempt, *The Australian*, April 17 2009

Holocaust revisionist Fredrick Toben has been found guilty of criminal contempt after defying orders to stop publishing racist material on his Adelaide Institute website.

In a judgment in the Federal Court yesterday, judge Bruce Lander said Toben's conduct had been wilful and he had steadfastly refused to comply with the law.

"The courts have held, but his conduct shows he does not accept, that the freedom of speech citizens of this country enjoy does not include the freedom to publish material calculated to offend, insult or humiliate or intimidate people because of their race, colour or national or ethnic origin," Justice Lander said. "It is conduct that amounts to criminal contempt."

Toben had pleaded not guilty to 28 counts of contempt arising from allegations by former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, Jeremy Jones.

Mr Jones first lodged a complaint with the Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission in 1996 and later applied to the Federal Court to uphold its ruling, which it did in 2002.

In hearings last year, counsel for Mr Jones, Robin Margo, SC, told the court Toben had defied its orders for six years. Mr Margo said the Adelaide Institute website was publishing last July "virulent anti-Semitic material", including that there were no death gas chambers at Auschwitz concentration camp and that the holocaust was "the world's filthiest blood libel". AAP

Court: Töben guilty of criminal contempt

The Mail-Times, April 17, 2009

Former Goroke teacher Fredrick Toben has been found guilty of criminal contempt after defying orders to stop publishing racist material on his Adelaide Institute website.

In a judgment in the Federal Court today, Justice Bruce Lander said Dr Toben's conduct had been wilful and he had steadfastly refused to comply with the law.

"The courts have held, but his conduct shows he does not accept that the freedom of speech citizens of this country enjoy does not include the freedom to publish material calculated to offend, insult or humiliate or intimidate people because of their race, colour or national or ethnic origin," Justice Lander said. "It is conduct that amounts to criminal contempt."

Dr Toben had pleaded not guilty to 28 counts of contempt arising from allegations from former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, Jeremy Jones.

Mr Jones first lodged a complaint with the Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission in 1996 and later applied to the Federal Court to uphold its ruling, which it did in 2002.

But in hearings last year, counsel for Mr Jones, Robin Margo SC, told the court Dr Toben had defied its orders for six years.

Mr Margo said the Adelaide Institute website was still publishing, (in July 2008), "virulent anti-semitic material", including that there were no death gas chambers at the Auschwitz concentration camp and that the holocaust was "the world's filthiest blood libel".

He urged the court to fine Dr Toben, or impose a period of imprisonment if he could not pay.

After handing down his judgment, Justice Lander adjourned the case to take submissions on penalty.

The federal court ruling came after Dr Toben fled Britain in November last year when a German authorities' bid to have him extradited to face charges of Holocaust denial failed.

The 64-year-old had been arrested a month earlier at Heathrow Airport on a European warrant but a British court later ruled it invalid because it did not provide enough detail. German authorities vowed to continue their attempts to have Dr Toben arrested in other countries. Holocaust denial is a crime in Germany and offenders can face up to five years in jail.

<http://www.news.com.au/heraldsun/story/0,21985,25342690-5005961,00.html>

Letter To The Editor

The Mail-Times, April 22 2009

Right of Reply:

I have searched for truth in history and facing persecution through legal prosecution, all because I refuse to believe in the Holocaust-Shoah, and so my thoughts and opinions have been criminalised.

1. News reports – I did not flee London – Immigration's Mr Gilbert – I left in orderly fashion without being charged, much to Germany's disappointment, which wants me to spend five years in their prison.

1.1 I have never said Holocaust believers are 'of limited intelligence' but rather I said they are either ignorant of the physical facts or they are liars. Further, the Holocaust has no reality in space and time, only in memory.

1.2 My work never aimed calculatngly to insult, humiliate or intimidate people. I do not feel any shame or guilt because I have done nothing wrong, except challenge the official Holocaust-Shoah version of certain historical events.

1.3 What some call 'virulent antisemitic material' is a focus on one of the most contentious historical events of World War Two – The Holocaust-Shoah, ie. The accusation against the Germans for having systematically exterminated 6 million Jews, in particular in homicidal gas chambers.

1.4 Revisionists who question aspects of this historical event are ridiculed, defamed, financially ruined, legally persecuted – all aimed to send a strong signal into the community that any questioning, except from official sources, will not be tolerated.

2. Judge Bruce Lander did not have an easy task because the world watched him when he wrote the judgment that will please those who uphold the Holocaust-Shoah dogma in the western democracies. This whole matter is a political matter of world importance.

2.1 The 16 April 2009 Judgment will please the Holocaust-Shoah enforcers around the world, especially the Germans who are still out to get me. They already have Ernst Zündel – 5 years, Germar Rudolf – 3 years, Sylvia Stolz – 3 years, Horst Mahler – 12 years. In Austria there are Wolfgang Fröhlich and Gerd Honsik imprisoned for five years or more.

2.2 Revisionists' thinking – thoughts and opinions – are criminalized in Germany, Austria, France, Switzerland, Belgium, Israel, et al. The Lander judgment has the potential to criminalise anyone's thoughts and opinions on matters Holocaust-Shoah. Australia's media has not grasped the nettle and protested about this matter – why not?

2.3 Revisionists are criminalized for daring to think and speak about the pillars on which the Holocaust-Shoah rests: Germans 1. Killed six million Jews, 2. in systematic state ordered extermination, 3. mainly in homicidal gas chambers.

3. Question: Is the Holocaust not an historical event?

Answer: Yes.

Q: The like any other historical event why not discuss it openly without criminalizing Revisionists.

A: Hater, Holocaust denier, antisemite, racist, Nazi, xenophobe, terrorist!

4. Pretext of hurt feelings: This argument has another side to it: Germans feel hurt when they are confronted with Holocaust believers who accuse them of having committed horrendous crimes. As an Australian of German heritage it is thus my moral and intellectual duty to look at this allegation.

4.1 The judgment has the potential to prevent my doing this – and this in effect means the war is still going on because the Holocaust believers are behaving like the Inquisition enforcing its dogma.

4.2 The Holocaust dogma is distorting our understanding of world history. Holocaust believers have blood on their hands especially since December 2008-January 2009 when the Jewish State of Israel committed their extermination attack on the Palestinians. The Holocaust-Shoah is Israel's primary propaganda weapon.

5. The Holocaust-Shoah has become a religion:

Dogmas: 6 million, systematic extermination, gas chambers.

High Priest: Elie Wiesel

Inquisition-enforcers: usually by proxy:

Rabbis Abraham Cooper/ Marvin Hier; Profs Alan Dershowitz/Deborah Lipstadt; Abraham Foxman.

5.1 Revisionists don't get burned at the stake but are socially, professionally and financially ruined, and then legally persecuted for their crime of refusing to believe in the Holocaust-Shoah Religion.

5.2 The media vilifies Revisionists – controversial, racists, deniers, antisemites, Nazi.

Fredrick Töben

Goroke

Dr Fredrick Toben apologises to Federal Court
SEAN FEWSTER, COURT REPORTER, April 28, 2009 04:15pm



Fredrick Toben outside court today. Picture: Calum Robertson

A "REVISIONIST historian" who published offensive material saying the Holocaust was exaggerated has apologised - and compared himself to dying Jewish billionaire Richard Pratt.

Dr Fredrick Toben was this month found guilty of 24 counts of contempt of court.

He "wilfully and contumaciously" breached Federal Court orders to stop publishing articles implying Jewish people offended by Holocaust denial were of "limited intelligence".

He was further banned from claiming some Jewish people "exaggerated" the Holocaust "for improper motives".

Today, Toben's sentencing submissions were derailed by a comment he published on his website hours earlier. "Richard Pratt gets an indulgence, will Toben get the same?" his message asks. "What does this tell us about the Australian judicial system?"

Federal prosecutors this week dropped deception charges against Pratt because of his ill-health.

Giving evidence, Toben said he "unequivocally apologised" for breaching the court's orders. "I would be the last one to challenge the system," he said.

"I've tried to follow the orders to the best of my ability ... the court orders do not make sense to me."

Robin Margo, SC, who is pursuing the contempt charge, asked Toben to explain the website message.

"These are very, very difficult cases for judges to make decisions on," Toben said. "If a man is sick I fully understand he should not be brought to court. "(Retired Federal Court judge) Marcus Einfeld, who was sick, did not receive any indulgence, Pratt did, and now there is me."

Mr Margo asked if Toben was aware both Pratt and Einfeld - who was jailed for perverting the course of justice - were Jewish.

"Are you feeling persecuted?" Toben said. "It's got nothing to do with being Jewish or non-Jewish, we're dealing here with justice."

Judge Bruce Lander will sentence Toben on a date to be set.

Comments cannot be published for legal reasons

http://www.news.com.au/adelaidenow/story/0,22606,25399705-2682,00.html?from=public_rss

Holocaust denier Fredrick Toben prepared to go to jail

Gavin Lower | April 29, 2009, [The Australian](#)

HOLOCAUST denier Fredrick Toben was ready to go to jail yesterday, as he faced a hearing on what punishment he should face for breaching a court order barring him from publishing material denying the Holocaust.

The retired teacher and revisionist historian said before yesterday's Federal Court hearing in Adelaide that his bag was packed and he was "ready to go".

Toben, 64, was found guilty this month of 24 counts of contempt of court by keeping material denying the Holocaust and vilifying Jews on his Adelaide Institute website, in defiance of court orders made in 2002 and undertakings he gave in 2007.

Toben, who has vowed to go to jail rather than pay any fine imposed on him, is still a free man after Justice Bruce Lander reserved his decision on what penalty he should impose.

The case against Toben was brought by the former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, Jeremy Jones, on the grounds Toben had persistently breached the Racial Discrimination Act since the 2002 court decision against him.

Dr Toben apologised in court yesterday for breaching the orders and accepted that his actions had undermined the court's authority.

Under cross-examination by Mr Jones's counsel, Robin Margo SC, Toben told the court he was prepared to accept "full censorship".

Toben said he had done his best to "negotiate" the court orders against him, which he described as confusing. "I will now not print anything unless I seek counsel," he told the court.

<http://www.theaustralian.news.com.au/story/0,25197,25402511-5006787,00.html>

Applicant's Schedule of Costs

27.04.09

		Amount	GST	Total
Solicitors Costs		42,040.81	4,204.08	46,244.89
Disbursements				
Counsel's fees	R Margo SC	108,750.00	10,875.00	119,625.00
	R Graycar	20,053.09	2,005.31	22,058.40
	S Prince	18,000	1,800.00	19,800.00
	Total	146,803.09	14,680.31	161,483.40
Court fees		735.00	0.00	735.00
Transcripts		4,365.30	436.53	4,801.83
Service fees		610.00	61.00	671.00
External printing		1,275.53	127.55	1,403.08
Express post		123.36	12.34	135.70
Search fees		52.80	5.28	58.08
Couriers		919.59	91.96	1,011.55
Adelaide travel				
Nov 2007 Moore J		3,951.27	395.13	4,346.40
Aug 2008 Lander J				
Out of pocket		947.07	94.71	1,569.43
Air/Accom	3 nights X 4	6,223.63	622.36	6,845.99
Total Travel		11,121.97	1,112.20	12,234.17
TOTAL COSTS		208,047.45	20,804.75	228,852.20

Holocaust revisionist 'not afraid of jail', *The Independent Weekly*, 28/04/2009 4:27:00 PM

After serving time in Germany and being held in custody in Britain, holocaust revisionist Fredrick Toben says he doesn't fear going to jail in Australia because of his views. But the 65-year-old has offered the Federal Court an apology after refusing to comply with previous orders to stop publishing racist material on his Adelaide Institute website.

Dr Toben appeared in the court in Adelaide today for sentencing submissions after being convicted on 24 counts of contempt. He told the court he still considered the previous orders to be unreasonable and absurd.

But he offered an "unreserved apology" and said he would be extremely upset if his actions had offended the judge.

Dr Toben's counsel David Perkins urged the court not to send his client to jail, despite the fact that he had no

money and no assets to pay a fine or to cover the costs of his prosecution.

Justice Bruce Lander questioned what weight he could give to Dr Toben's apology, considering he had given one before and withdrawn it. However, he adjourned the question of penalty to a date to be fixed.

Outside the court Dr Toben told reporters he would not be concerned if the judge sent him to jail. "I was prepared to be there today," he said.

"I have no fear of prison. "The longer you are in jail the more innocent you become."

But whether or not he would shut down his Adelaide Institute website was subject to legal advice, he said.

The allegations of contempt were brought against Dr Toben by the former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, Jeremy Jones.

Mr Jones first lodged a complaint with the Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission in 1996

and later applied to the Federal Court to uphold its ruling, which it did in 2002.

But in hearings last year, counsel for Mr Jones, Robin Margo SC, told the court Dr Toben had defied its orders for six years.

Mr Margo said the Adelaide Institute website was still publishing, (in July 2008), "virulent anti-semitic material", including that there were no death gas chambers at the Auschwitz concentration camp and that the Holocaust was "the world's filthiest blood libel". In his judgment earlier this month Justice Lander said Dr Toben's conduct had been wilful and he had steadfastly refused to comply with the law.

"The courts have held, but his conduct shows he does not accept that the freedom of speech citizens of this country enjoy does not include the freedom to publish material calculated to offend, insult or humiliate or intimidate people because of their race, colour or national or ethnic origin," the judge said.

"It is conduct that amounts to criminal contempt."

The Federal Court ruling came after Dr Toben fled Britain in November last year when a German bid failed

to have him extradited to face charges of Holocaust denial.

He had been arrested a month earlier at Heathrow Airport on a European warrant but a British court later ruled it invalid because it did not provide enough detail. German authorities vowed to continue attempts to have Dr Toben arrested in other countries.

In 1999 Dr Toben was imprisoned for nine months at Mannheim Prison for breaching Germany's Holocaust law that prohibits anyone from defaming the dead.

Comment from Fredrick Toben:

I did not flee Britain - please seek out Mr Gilbert of British Immigration at Heathrow Airport for confirmation.

Further, it was stated that I hid in the plane before being arrested, which like the 'fleeing' after my being released from Wandsworth prison, is just another example of the media attempting to smear me. At no time did the journalist who authored this 'fleeing' story contact me for comment.

FT

<http://www.independentweekly.com.au/news/local/news/general/holocaust-revisionist-not-afraid-of-jail/1498413.aspx#>

Written apology over holocaust denial, ABC News, 28 April 2009

A man who breached Federal Court orders to remove website material which denied the holocaust has issued a written apology.

Fredrick Toben, 65, was found guilty of 24 charges of contempt for breaching orders not to publish material vilifying Jewish people on his Adelaide Institute website.

At a hearing in Adelaide on the penalty he should receive, Toben said he would submit to censorship. He provided a written apology through his lawyer.

The court heard Toben had previously said he would rather go to jail on principle than pay a fine. But Toben told the court he

unreservedly apologised for his breaches of court orders and would not withdraw his apology as he had in the past.

Judge Bruce Lander said it was clear Toben did not have the money to pay a fine or the legal costs of the senior member of Australia's Jewish community, Jeremy Jones, who instigated the proceedings.

But Judge Lander expressed concern Toben could breach the orders again if he felt he had been provoked.

The judge said Toben did not seem to understand that the case was not scrutinising his beliefs or determining whether the holocaust happened.

The court will impose a sentence later.

Text of President Ahmadinejad's Remarks at U.N. Conference on Racism, 21 April 2009

In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful... [Protestors in clown costumes escorted out by security] May he bestow upon his prophets... Praise be upon Allah, the Almighty, who is just, kind, and compassionate. May he bestow upon his prophets his blessings and his grace from Adam to Noah; Abraham, Moses, Jesus Christ, and His last prophet, Mohammed. Peace be upon them all who are the harbingers of monotheism, fraternity, love ... [Applause] ... human dignity and justice.

Mr. Chairman. I call upon all distinguished guests to forgive these ignorant people.

In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful. Praise be upon Allah, the Almighty, who is just, kind, and compassionate, and praise and salutations of the Almighty God to the great prophet. May he bestow upon [us] His blessings, His grace. We thank the Almighty God. Praise be upon him who is just and who is compassionate. And the salutations and regards of Allah to his prophets, from Noah to Abraham, Moses, Jesus Christ, and his last prophet Mohammed. Peace be upon them all who are the harbingers of monotheism, fraternity, love, human dignity, and justice.

Mr. Chairman. Honorable Secretary General of the United Nations. Madam High Commissioner. Ladies and Gentleman. We have gathered here in the follow up to the Durban conference against racism and racial discrimination to work out practical mechanisms for our holy and humanitarian

campaigns. Over the last centuries, humanity has gone through tremendous suffering and pain. In the middle ages, thinkers and scientists were sentenced to death. It was then followed by a period of slavery and slave trade, when innocent people in millions were captivated and separated from their families and loved ones, to be taken to Europe and America under worse conditions; the dark period that also experienced occupations, lootings, and massacres of innocent people.

Many years passed by before nations rose up and fought for their liberty and freedom, and they paid a high price. They lost millions of lives to expel the occupiers and proclaim their independence. However, it did not take long that the coercive powers imposed two wars in Europe which also plagued a part of Asia and Africa. Those horrific wars claimed about 100 million lives and left behind massive devastation. Had lessons been learned from the occupations, horrors, and crimes of those wars, there would have been a ray of hope for the future. The victorious powers called themselves the conquerors of the world while ignoring or downtreading the rights of other nations by the imposition of oppressive laws and international arrangements.

Ladies and gentlemen, let us take a look at the U.N. Security Council, which is one of the legacies of World War II and World War I. What was the logic behind their granting themselves the veto rights? How can such a logic comply with humanitarian or spiritual values? Could it be in conformity with

the recognized principles of justice, equality before law, love, and human dignity? [Applause] Or rather, with discrimination, injustice, violation of human rights, or humiliation of the majority of nations and countries?

That Council is the highest decision-making world body for safeguarding the international peace and security. How can we expect the realization of justice and peace when discrimination is legalized and the origin of law is dominated by coercion and force rather than by justice and the right?

Coercion and arrogance is the origin of oppression and wars. Although today many proponents of racism condemn racial discrimination in their words and in their slogans, a number of powerful countries have been authorized to decide for other nations based on their own interests and at their own discretions. And they can easily ridicule and violate all laws and humanitarian values, as they have done so.

Following World War II, they resorted to military aggression to make an entire nation homeless on the pretext of Jewish sufferings. And they sent migrants from Europe, the United States, and other parts of the world in order to establish a totally racist government in the occupied Palestine...

[Delegates walk out in protest. Applause] And in fact in compensation for the dire consequences of racism in Europe...

Okay, please. Thank you. And in fact in compensation for the dire consequences of racism in Europe, they helped bring to power the most cruel and repressive, racist regime in Palestine. [Applause]

The Security Council helped stabilize this occupation regime and supported it in the past 60 years, giving them a free hand to continue their crimes. It is all the more regrettable that a number of Western governments and the United States have committed themselves to defend those racist perpetrators of genocide whilst the awakened conscience and free minded people of the world condemn aggression, brutalities and bombardments of civilians in Gaza. They have always been supportive or silent against their crimes. And before that, they have always been silent with regard to their crimes.

Distinguished delegates, ladies and gentlemen, what are the root causes of U.S. attacks against Iraq or invasion of Afghanistan? [Shouts from audience] What are the root causes of U.S. attacks against Iraq invasion of Afghanistan? Was the motive behind the invasion of Iraq anything other than the arrogance of the then U.S. administration and the mounting pressures on the part of the owner of wealth and power to expand their sphere of influence, seeking the interests of giant arms manufacturing companies, affecting a noble culture with thousands of years of historical background, eliminating potential and practical traits of Muslim countries against the useful Zionist regime, or to control and plunder energy resources of the Iraqi people? Why, indeed almost a million people were killed and injured and a few more millions were displaced and became homeless. Why, indeed the Iraqi people have suffered enormous losses amounting to hundreds of billions of dollars. And why was hundreds of billions of dollars imposed on the American people and its allies as a result of these military actions? Wasn't the military action against Iraq planned by the Zionists and their allies in the then U.S. administration in complicity with the arms manufacturing companies and the owner of the wealth?

The invasion of Afghanistan; restore peace, security, and economic well being in this country. The United States and its allies not only have failed to contain [?] in Afghanistan, but also the illicit cultivation of narcotics multiplied in the course of their presence. The basic question is: What was the responsibility of the job of the then U.S. administration and its

allies? Did it represent the world? Have they been mandated by them? Have they been authorized on behalf of the people of the world to interfere in all parts of the globe? And of course mostly in our region aren't these measures a clear example of egocentrism, racism, discrimination, or infringement upon the dignity and independence of nations?

Ladies and gentlemen, who are responsible for the current global economic crisis? Where did the crisis start from? From Africa? From Asia? Or was it first from the United States, then spreading to Europe and to their allies? For a long time they imposed inequitable economic regulations. By their political power on the international economy they imposed a financial and a monetary system without a proper international oversight mechanism on nations and governments that played no role in the repressive trends or policies. They have not even allowed their people to oversee or monitor their financial policies. They introduce all laws and regulations in defiance to all moral values only to protect the interests of the owners of wealth and power. They further presented a definition of market economy and competition that denied many of the economic opportunities that could be available to other countries of the world. They even transferred their problems to others whilst the wave of crisis lashed back, plaguing their economies with thousands of billions of dollars in budget deficits. And today, they are injecting hundreds of billions of cash from the pockets of their own people into the failing banks companies and financial institutions making the situation more and more complicated for the economy and their people. They are simply thinking about maintaining power and wealth. They couldn't care any less about the people of the world and even about their own people.

Mr. President, ladies and gentlemen, racism is rooted in the lack of knowledge concerning the truth of human existence as the selected creature of God. It is also the product of his deviation from the true path of human life and the obligations of mankind in the world of creation. Failing to consciously worship God, not being able to think about the philosophy of life or the path to perfection that are the main ingredients of divine and humanitarian values, have restricted the horizon of human outlook, making transient and limited interests a yardstick for his actions.

That is why the cells of the Devil's power took shape and expanded its realm of power by depriving others from enjoying equitable and just opportunities to development. The result has been the making of an unbridled racism that is posing the most serious threat against the international peace and has hindered the way for building peaceful coexistence in the entire world. Undoubtedly, racism is the symbol of ignorance which has deep roots in history. And it is indeed a sign of frustration in the development of human society. It is therefore crucially important to trace the manifestations of racism in situations or in societies where ignorance or lack of knowledge prevails in the societies. This increasing general awareness and understanding towards the philosophy of human existence is the principle struggle against such manifestations; which is the key to understanding the truth that humankind centers on the creation of the universe, and the key to a return to the spiritual and moral values, and finally the inclination to worship God the Almighty. The international community must initiate collective moves to raise awareness in the afflicted societies where the ignorance of racism still prevails so as to bring to a halt the spread of these malicious manifestations.

Dear friends, today the human community is facing a kind of racism which has tarnished the image of humanity in the

beginning of the third millennium. The world Zionism personifies racism that falsely resorts to religion and abuse religious sentiments to hide their hatred and ugly faces. However, it is of great importance to bring into focus the political goals of some of the world powers and those who control huge economic resources and interests in the world. They mobilize all their resources, including their economic and political influence and world media to render support in vain to the Zionist regime, and maliciously endeavor to diminish the indignity and disgrace of this regime. This is not simply a question of ignorance, and one cannot conquer this ugly phenomenon through cultural campaigns. Efforts must be made to put an end to the abuse by Zionists and their supporters of political and international means and respect of the will and aspirations of nations. Governments must be encouraged and supported in their fights aimed at eradicating this barbaric racism [applause] and to move towards reforming ... [applause] ... the current international mechanisms.

There is no doubt that you are all aware of the conspiracies of some powers and Zionist circles against the goals and objectives of this conference. Unfortunately, there has been literature and statements in support of Zionism and their crimes, and it is the responsibility of honorable representatives of nations to disclose these campaigns which run counter to humanitarian values and principles. It should be recognized that boycotting such a session as an outstanding international capacity is a true indication of supporting the blatant example of racism.

In defending human rights it is primarily important to defend the rights of all nations to participate equally in all important international decision making processes without the influence of certain world powers. And secondly it is necessary to restructure the existing international organizations and their respective arrangements. Therefore this conference is a testing ground and the world public opinion today and tomorrow will judge our decisions and our actions [applause].

Mr. President. Mr President. Ladies and gentlemen. The world is going through fundamental changes, radical fundamental changes. Power relations have become so weak and fragile. The sounds of cracks in the pillars of world oppression can now be heard. Major political and economic structures are at the brink of collapse. Political and security crises are on the rise. The worsening crises in the world economy for which there can be seen no bright prospect, amply demonstrate the rising tide of far reaching global changes. I have repeatedly emphasized the need the change the wrong direction in which the world has been managed today. And I have also warned of the dire consequences of any delay in this crucial responsibility.

Now, in this [?] and valuable event, I would like to announce here to all leaders thinkers, and to all nations of the world present in this meeting and those who have a hunger for peace and economic well being, that the management, the inequitable and unjust management of the world, is now at the end of the road. This deadlock was inevitable since the logic of this imposed management was oppressive.

The logic of collective management of world affairs is based on noble aspirations which centers on human beings and the supremacy of the Almighty God. Therefore it defies any policy or plan which goes against the interest of nations. Victory of the right over the wrong and establishment of a just world system have been promised by the Almighty God and his messengers and it has been a shared goal of all human beings from different societies and generations in the course of history. Realization of such a future depends upon the

knowledge of the creation and the belief in the hearts of all the faithful [applause]. The making of a global society is in fact the accomplishment of a noble held in the establishment of a common global system that will be run with the participation of all nations of the world in all major and basic decision making processes and the definite route to this sublime goal. Scientific and technical capacities as well as communication technologies have created a common and wider spread understanding of the world society and has provided the necessary ground for a common system.

Now it is incumbent upon all intellectuals, thinkers, and policy makers in the world to carry out their historical responsibility with firm belief to this definite route, I also want to lay emphasis on the fact that the western liberalism and capitalism, like communism, has reached to its end since it has failed to perceive the truth of the world and human[kind] as it is. It has imposed its own goals and directions on human beings with no regard for human and divine values, justice, freedom, love, or brotherhood; has based the living on the intensive competition securing individual and collective material interests.

Now we must learn from the past by initiating collective efforts by dealing with present challenges, and in this connection and in closing my remarks I wish to draw your kind attention to two important points. One: It is absolutely impossible to improve the existing situation in the world. However, it must be noted that it could only be achieved through the cooperation of all countries in order to get the best out of existing capacities and resources in the world. My participation in this conference is because of my conviction of these important issues, as well as to our common responsibility to defending the rights of nations vis-a-vis the sinister phenomenon of racism, and being with you, the thinkers of the world. [Applause]

Two: Mindful of the inefficacy of the current international political, economic, and security systems on the world scene, it is necessary to focus on the divine and humanitarian values and by referring to the true definition of human beings, and based upon justice and respect for the rights of all people in all parts of the world, and by acknowledging the past wrongdoings in the past dominant management of the world undertake collective measures to reform the existing structures. In this respect, it is crucially important to reform the structure of the Security Council, including the elimination of the discriminatory veto right ... [applause] ... and change the current world and financial monetary systems. It is evident that lack of understanding on the urgency for change is equivalent to the much heavier costs of delay.

Dear friends, be aware that to move in the direction of justice and human dignity is like the national rapid flow in the current of a river. Let us not forget the essence of love and affection, the promised bright future of human beings is a great asset that will serve our purpose in keeping us together to build a new world and to make the world a better place full of love fraternity and blessings; a world devoid of poverty and hatred, [inaudible] the increasing blessings of God Almighty and the righteous management of the perfect human being. Let us all join hands in amity in playing our share in the fulfillment such a decent new world.

I thank you Mr. President, Secretary General, and all distinguished participants for having the patience to listen to me. Thank you very much.

